

## EVALUATION CODES ON RULED VARIETIES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve over  $GF(q)$  and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $C$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . Here we compute the parameters of the evaluation codes obtained from line bundles on the ruled variety  $X := \mathbf{P}(E)$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14G50, 14H60

**Key Words:** ruled varieties, evaluation codes, linear codes, vector bundles on curves,  $p$ -semistability, finite field nullstellensatz

### 1. Evaluation Codes Using Vector Bundles

Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve over  $GF(q)$  and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $C$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . Here we compute the parameters of the evaluation codes obtained from line bundles on the ruled variety  $X := \mathbf{P}(E)$ . Such codes were studied for certain very special ruled surfaces in [4]. For background on vector bundles on curves and the notion of semistability, see [6]. Here is our main result; here  $\mu(E) := \deg(E)/\text{rank}(E)$ ; for the definition of the line bundle  $L_{a,R}$ , see Section 2.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth and geometrically connected curve of genus  $g$  defined over  $GF(q)$  and  $E$  a rank  $r$   $p$ -semistable vector bundle on  $C$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . Set  $X := \mathbf{P}(E)$  and  $\bar{n} := \text{card}(C(q))$ . Fix integers  $a$  and  $b$  and  $R \in \text{Pic}^b(C)$ . Set  $m := \bar{n} \binom{a+r-1}{r-1}$ . Assume  $0 \leq a \leq q$ . There is  $S \subseteq X(q)$  with  $\text{card}(S(q)) = m$  and with the following properties. Let*

$\phi_{L_{a,R,S}} : H^0(X, L_{a,R}) \rightarrow GF(q)^m$  be the evaluation map at the points of  $S$ . If  $a\mu(E) + b < \bar{n}$ , then  $\phi_{L_{a,R,S}}$  is injective and hence it defines an  $h^0(C, S^a(E) \otimes R) \times m$  linear code  $C(E, L_{a,R}, S)$ . The code  $C(E, L_{a,R}, S)$  has minimum distance  $\geq \bar{n} - a\mu(E) + b$ .

In the remaining part of this section we will recall how to associate a linear code using a vector bundle and a variety, both defined over the same finite field.

Let  $p$  be a prime integer and  $q$  a power of  $p$ .  $GF(q)$  will denote the finite field with  $q$  elements and  $\mathbb{K}$  its algebraic closure. For any scheme  $X$  defined over  $\mathbb{K}$  let  $X(\mathbb{K})$  be the set of its  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$ -points in the usual sense (or, equivalently, in the sense of the theory of schemes). If  $X$  is a reduced scheme defined over  $GF(q)$ , then  $X(q)$  will denote the set of its  $GF(q)$ -points in the usual sense of coding theory: every element of  $X(q)$  corresponds to an equivalence class of morphisms  $\text{Spec}(GF(q)) \rightarrow X$  with the additional condition that the corresponding local ring of  $X$  has  $GF(q)$  (not just a finite extension of  $GF(q)$ ) as its residue field; thus if  $X$  has an embedding into  $\mathbf{P}^x$  defined over  $GF(q)$ , so that we may see  $X(\mathbb{K})$  as a subset of  $\mathbf{P}^x(\mathbb{K})$ , then  $X(q) = X(\mathbb{K}) \cap PG(x, q)$ . Here we will explain how to obtain linear codes using rank  $r$  vector bundles instead of just line bundles (case  $r = 1$ ). First, we consider the case of curves. Let  $X$  be a reduced projective curve defined over  $GF(q)$ . Let  $E$  be a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $X$  defined over  $GF(q)$  and  $H$  an ample and effective Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Since  $H$  is ample, there is an integer  $m_0(E)$  such that for all integers  $m \geq m_0(E)$  the vector bundle  $E(mH)$  is spanned by its global sections. We will fix any integer  $m \geq m_0(E)$  and we will use  $H^0(X, E(mH))$  and  $X(q)$  to define a linear code. Since  $\dim(X) \leq 1$ , and  $E(mH)$  is spanned by its global sections, it is easy to check the existence of  $r - 1$  global sections of  $E(mH)$  which are linearly independent at each point of  $X(\mathbb{K})$  (see e.g. [1], Theorem 2). Since cohomology groups commute with field extensions ([2], Proposition III.9.3), we may even find these linearly independent sections defined over  $GF(q)$ . Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)} \rightarrow E(mH) \rightarrow \det(E(mH)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

defined over  $GF(q)$ . Since  $H$  is ample,  $X \setminus H_{red}$  is affine. Hence by Theorem B of Serre ([2], Theorem III.5.2) the restriction of (1) to  $X \setminus H_{red}$  splits. Thus we obtain

$$E(mH)|_{X \setminus H_{red}} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus H_{red}}^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \det(E(mH)))|_{X \setminus H_{red}}.$$

For all Cartier hypersurfaces  $R_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s+x$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $s+x > 0$ , such that  $R_i \neq R_j$  for all  $i \neq j$  and any Cartier divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i R_i - \sum_{i=s+1}^{s+m} a_i R_i$ ,  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ , set  $\text{Supp}(D) = \cup_{i=1}^{s+m} R_i$ . Call  $H_{red}$  the support of  $H$ . Since

$H$  is ample, there is a (non-necessarily effective) Cartier divisor  $D$  such that  $\det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and  $\text{Supp}(D) \subseteq H_{red}$ . Since  $\det(E(mH)) \cong \det(E)(rmH)$ , the line bundle  $\det(E(mH))$  is represented by a Cartier divisor,  $D + rmH$ , whose support is contained in  $H_{red}$ . Thus  $\det(E(mH))|_{X \setminus H_{red}}$  is trivial. Hence  $E(mH)|_{X \setminus H_{red}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus H_{red}}^{\oplus r}$ . From now on, we fix this trivialization. With this convention the evaluation of any  $f \in H^0(X, E(mH))$  at any  $P \in (X \setminus H_{red})(q)$  gives an element of  $GF(q)^{\oplus r}$  (seen as the set of all matrices with one column and  $r$  rows). Set  $n := \text{card}(X \setminus H_{red})(q)$ . In this way we obtain a  $GF(q)$ -linear map  $\phi : H^0(X, E(mH)) \rightarrow GF(q)^{\oplus rn}$ . The map  $\phi$  defines a code if and only if it is injective. We can find  $H$  (and hence do the construction) simultaneously for all rank  $r$  vector bundles for any (but fixed) finite family of vector bundles.

Now we consider the general case. Let  $X$  be a reduced and projective scheme defined over  $GF(q)$  and without any isolated point. Let  $H$  be an effective ample divisor defined over  $GF(q)$ . First, we fix a rank  $r$  vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . We make the same construction. The only difference is that now instead of the exact sequence (1) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)} \rightarrow E(mH) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \det(E(mH)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

with  $Z$  closed subscheme of  $X$  defined over  $GF(q)$  and everywhere of codimension at least two. We may find  $H$  as above with the additional property  $Z_{red} \subseteq H_{red}$ . Hence restricting (2) to  $X \setminus H_{red}$  gives  $E(mH)|_{X \setminus H_{red}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus H_{red}}^{\oplus r}$ . Fixing any such isomorphism we may work as in the one-dimensional case. We only point out that we may find such an ample  $H$  not passing through any point in  $X(q)$ . With this additional choice we have  $n = \text{card}(X(q))$  and in particular  $n$  does not depend from  $E$ . We can find  $H$  (and hence do the construction) simultaneously for all rank  $r$  vector bundles for any (but fixed) finite family of vector bundles.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $C$  be a smooth, connected and projective curve of genus  $g$  and  $E$  a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $C$ . Let  $\mu(E) := \text{deg}(E)\text{rank}(E)$  denote the slope of  $E$ . By Riemann-Roch we have  $h^0(C, E) - h^1(C, E) = \text{deg}(E) + r(1 - g)$ . Hence  $h^0(C, E) > 0$  if  $\mu(E) > g - 1$ . If  $h^1(C, E) = 0$ , then  $h^0(C, E) = \text{deg}(E) + r(1 - g)$ .  $E$  is called stable (resp. semistable) if for every proper non-zero subsheaf  $F$  of  $E$  we have  $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$  (resp.  $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ ). Every line bundle is stable. There is a unique integer  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s \leq r$  and a unique increasing filtration  $\{E_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq s}$  of  $E$  by subbundles of  $E$  such that  $E_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $E_s = E$  and

$\mu(E_{i+1})/\mu(E_i) < \mu(E_i)/\mu(E_{i-1})$  for every integer  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ . This filtration is called the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$ . Each vector bundle  $E_i/E_{i-1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , is semistable. Set  $\mu_+(E) := \mu(E_1/E_0)$  and  $\mu_-(E) := \mu(E_s/E_{s-1})$ . We have  $\mu_-(E) \leq \mu(E) \leq \mu_+(E)$ .  $E$  is semistable if and only if  $s = 1$  if and only if  $\mu_+(E) = \mu(E)$  if and only if  $\mu(E) = \mu_-(E)$  if and only if  $\mu_-(E) = \mu_+(E)$ . Obviously,  $h^0(C, E) \geq h^0(C, \mu(E_1))$  and hence  $h^0(C, E) > 0$  if  $\mu_+(E) > g - 1$ . Standard properties of the semistability and Serre duality imply  $h^1(C, E) = 0$  if either  $\mu_-(E) > 2g - 2$  or if  $E$  is stable with slope  $2g - 2$ , but it is not the canonical bundle.

**Proposition 1.** *Fix  $n$  distinct points  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in C(q)$ . Let  $E$  be a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $C$  such that  $h^0(C, E) > 0$ . Let  $\phi_E : H^0(C, E) \rightarrow GF(q)^{rn}$  be the evaluation map associated to a trivialization in Section 1. Assume  $n > \mu_+(E)$ . Then  $\phi_E$  is injective and hence it defines an  $h^0(C, E) \times rn$  code  $C(E)$ .  $C(E)$  has minimum distance  $\geq n - \mu_+(E)$ . If  $E$  is stable and  $r \geq 2$ , then  $C(E)$  has minimum distance  $> n - \mu_+(E)$ .*

*Proof.* For any integer  $c > 0$  and any points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_c \in C$  we have  $\mu(E(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_c)) = \mu(E) - c$  and  $\mu_+(E(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_c)) = \mu_+(E) - c$ . Thus  $H^0(E(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_c)) = 0$  if either  $c > \mu_+(E)$  or  $c = \mu_+(E)$ ,  $E$  is semistable and  $E \not\cong \mathcal{O}_C(Q_1 + \dots + Q_c)^{\oplus r}$ . If  $r \geq 2$  and  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_C(Q_1 + \dots + Q_c)^{\oplus r}$ , then  $E$  is semistable, but not stable.  $\square$

Now we consider evaluation codes obtained using line bundles on a variety  $X$  ruled over a curve  $C$ .

Let  $C$  be a smooth and geometrically connected curve of genus  $g$  defined over  $GF(q)$  and  $E$  a rank  $r \geq 2$  vector bundle on  $C$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . Set  $X := \mathbf{P}(E)$ ,  $\bar{n} := \text{card}(C(q))$  and  $n := \bar{n}(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$ . Thus  $n = \text{card}(X(q))$ . The ruled variety  $X$  is equipped with a projection  $f : X \rightarrow C$  whose fibers are  $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$ 's and with a line bundle  $H$  such that its restriction to every fiber of  $f$  has degree one and  $f_*(H) \cong E$ . The latter condition defines uniquely  $H$ . We have  $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}H \oplus f^*(\text{Pic}(C))$ , i.e. for every line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  there is a unique integer  $a$  and a unique line bundle  $R$  on  $C$  such that  $L \cong H^{\otimes a} \otimes f^*(R)$ . Set  $L_{a,R} := H^{\otimes a} \otimes f^*(R)$  ([2], Lemma II.7.9). The restriction of  $L_{a,R}$  to any fiber of  $f$  has degree  $a$ . Thus  $h^0(X, L_{a,R}) = 0$  if  $a < 0$ . Hence the line bundles  $L_{a,R}$  with  $a < 0$  are not interesting to define evaluation codes on  $X$ . If  $a \geq 0$  we have  $f_*(L_{a,R}) \cong S^a(E) \otimes R$  ([2], Proposition II.7.11) and hence  $H^0(X, L_{a,R}) = h^0(C, S^a(E) \otimes R)$ . This formula explains our interest in the symmetric powers of  $E$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $C$  be a smooth and connected projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $F_C : C \rightarrow C$  the absolute Frobenius (see [5]). A vector bundle  $E$  on  $C$  is said to be  $p$ -semistable if it is semistable and for all integers  $t > 0$  the vector bundle  $(F_C)^{t*}(E)$  is semistable (see [5]). Let  $E$  be a  $p$ -semistable vector bundle on  $C$ . By [5], lines 8–10 of p. 365, for every integer  $a > 0$  the vector bundle  $S^a(E)$  is  $p$ -semistable and in particular it is semistable.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $C$  be a smooth and geometrically connected curve of genus  $g$  defined over  $GF(q)$  and  $E$  a rank  $r$   $p$ -semistable vector bundle on  $C$  defined over  $GF(q)$ . Set  $X := \mathbf{P}(E)$ ,  $\bar{n} := \text{card}(X(q))$  and  $n = \bar{n}(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$ . Fix integers  $a$  and  $b$  and  $R \in \text{Pic}^b(C)$ . Assume  $0 \leq a \leq q$ . Let  $\phi_{L_{a,R},X(q)} : H^0(X, L_{a,R}) \rightarrow GF(q)^n$  be the evaluation map at the points of  $X(q)$ . If  $a\mu(E) + b < \bar{n}$ , then  $\phi_{L_{a,R},X(q)}$  is injective and hence it defines an  $h^0(C, S^a(E) \otimes R) \times n$  linear code  $C(E, L_{a,R})$ . The code  $C(E, L_{a,R})$  has minimum distance  $\geq \bar{n} - a\mu(E) + b$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_{\bar{n}}\} = C(q)$ . The restriction,  $\alpha(Q_i)$ , of any section  $\alpha$  of  $L_{a,R}$  to any fiber  $f^{-1}(Q_i)$  of the ruling of  $X$  is a homogeneous degree  $a$  polynomial. Since  $a \leq q$  every homogeneous degree  $a$  forms on  $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$  vanishing on  $PG(r-1, q)$  is identically zero. Thus  $\alpha(Q_i) = 0$  if  $\alpha$  vanishes at all points of  $f^{-1}(Q_i)(q)$ . We have  $\mu(S^a(E)) = a\mu(E)$  and hence  $\mu(S^a(E) \otimes R) = a\mu(E) + b$ . Since  $E$  is  $p$ -semistable,  $S^a(E)$  is semistable (Remark 1) and hence  $S^a(E) \otimes R(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_{\bar{n}})$  is semistable. Since  $\mu(S^a(E) \otimes R(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_{\bar{n}})) = a\mu(E) + b - \bar{n}$ , we have  $h^0(C, S^a(E) \otimes R(-Q_1 - \dots - Q_{\bar{n}})) = 0$ . Hence no nonzero section of  $L_{a,R}$  may vanishes identically on  $\bar{n}$  fibers of the ruling of  $X$ . Since  $a \leq q$ , we obtain the injectivity of  $\phi_{L_{a,R}}$ . Taking a subset of  $C(q)$  instead of  $C(q)$  the same computation gives the lower bound for the minimum distance of  $C(E, L_{a,R})$ .  $\square$

To prove Theorem 1 we use the following construction.

**Example 1.** Fix an integer  $a$  such that  $0 < a \leq q$ . Since

$$h^0(\mathbf{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r-1}}(a)) = \binom{r+a-1}{r-1}$$

and  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{I}_{PG(r-1,q)}(a)) = 0$ , there is  $S \subseteq PG(r-1, q)$  such that  $\text{card}(S) = \binom{a+r-1}{r-1}$  such that 0 is the only homogeneous degree  $a$  forms on  $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$  vanishing on  $S$ . An explicit inductive construction of one such set  $S$  is easy and we present it in the case  $r-1 = 2$ , because it may be useful to give more explicitly the code. Fix any line  $D_1 \in PG(2, q)$  and any  $a+1$  points  $A_{1,1}, \dots, A_{1,a+1} \in D_1(q)$ . Then take a line  $D_2 \subset PG(2, q)$  such that  $A_{1,j} \notin D_2$ . Take  $a$  points  $A_{2,1}, \dots, A_{2,a} \in$

$D_2(q)$ . If  $a = 1$ , then we stop. If  $a \geq 2$  we define inductively  $a + 1$  lines  $D_i \subset PG(2, q)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , and choose  $a + 2 - i$  points  $A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{a+2-i} \in D_i(q)$  such that  $A_{u,v} \notin D_w$  if  $u < w$ . Set  $S := \cup_{i,j} A_{i,j}$ . We need to apply this construction not on a single  $PG(r - 1, q)$  but on all  $\bar{n}$  fibers of the ruling  $f : X \rightarrow C$  over the points of  $C(q)$ . We may do that because we have chosen a trivialization of  $E$  near  $C(q)$  to define the evaluation code. With this explicit construction the proof of Theorem 2 gives verbatim the proof of Theorem 1.

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, Vector bundles over an elliptic curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **7**, No. 3 (1957), 414-452; Reprinted in: *Michael Atiyah Collected Works*, Volume **I**, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford (1988), 105-143.
- [2] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1977).
- [3] J.H. van Lint, G. van der Geer, *Introduction to Coding Theory and Algebraic Geometry*, DMV Seminar Band **12**, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin (1988).
- [4] C. Lomont, Error correcting codes on algebraic surfaces, math.NT/0309123 (2003).
- [5] A. Moriwaki, A note on Bogomolov-Gieseker's inequality in positive characteristic, *Duke Math. J.*, **64**, No. 2 (1991), 361-377.
- [6] C.S. Seshadri, *Fibrés Vectoriels sur les Courbes Algébriques*, Astérisque, **96**, Soc. Math. France (1982).