

A CONSTRUCTION OF LIMITS AND  
COLIMITS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

Martin Dowd

1613 Wintergreen Pl.  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626, USA  
e-mail: MartDowd@aol.com

**Abstract:** A construction of limits and colimits in the category of topological structures over a first order language is given. The construction readily specializes to the full subcategory of models of an equational theory.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 18A35

**Key Words:** category of topological structures

1. Introduction

Let  $L$  be a first order language, which for simplicity will be assumed not to have relation symbols. Let  $\text{Struct}_L$  be the category of structures in  $L$ . An object is a set  $S$ , with each  $n$ -ary function of  $L$  interpreted as a an  $n$ -ary function on  $S$ . A morphism is a homomorphism of structures (i.e., “preserves the functions”).

Let  $\text{TopS}_L$  denote the category where:

1.  $S$  is equipped with a topology,
2. the functions of the structure are continuous (the structure and the topology are “compatible”), and
3. morphisms are continuous as well as structure preserving.

It is well-known that a diagram in  $\text{Struct}_L$  has a limit or colimit. We will show that this can be equipped with a topology, such that the resulting topological structure, together with the limit or colimit cone in  $\text{Struct}_L$ , comprise a limit or colimit in  $\text{TopS}_L$ .

Let  $T$  be a set of (implicitly universally quantified) equations in  $L$ . Let  $\text{Mdl}_T$  denote the full subcategory of  $\text{Struct}_L$  consisting of the models of  $T$ . Let  $\text{TopM}_T$  denote the full subcategory of  $\text{TopS}_L$ . The construction of limits and colimits in  $\text{Struct}_L$  can be adapted to  $\text{Mdl}_T$ . We will show that in a similar way the construction of limits and colimits in  $\text{TopS}_L$  can be adapted to  $\text{TopM}_T$ .

In particular a simple description results of the amalgamated product in the category of topological groups, which is stated to be lacking in Khan and Morris [1].

## 2. Substructures

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $X$  in  $\text{TopS}_L$  and a substructure  $W \subseteq X$ , the subspace topology is compatible with the substructure.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $V \subseteq W$  is open, and  $n$  is an  $n$ -ary function; let  $f_r$  denote the restriction to  $W^n$ . Then  $w \in f_r^{-1}[V]$  iff  $w \in W^n$  and  $w \in f^{-1}[V]$ . That is,  $f_r^{-1}[V] = W^n \cap f^{-1}[V]$ , so  $f_r^{-1}[V]$  is open in  $W^n$ .  $\square$

## 3. Products

**Lemma 2.** *Given objects  $X_i$  in  $\text{TopS}_L$ , the product topology is compatible with the product structure. The product topology is that induced by the projections. The product structure equipped with the product topology is the product in  $\text{TopS}_L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the subbasic open set which is  $U_j$  in component  $j$  and  $X_i$  for  $i \neq j$ ; suppose  $f$  is  $n$ -ary. Then  $f(\langle x_{1i} \rangle, \dots, \langle x_{ni} \rangle) \in U$  iff  $f(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}) \in U_j$ . So  $f^{-1}[U]$  is in fact the subbasic open set which is  $f^{-1}[U_j]$  in component  $j$  and  $X_i^n$  for  $i \neq j$ , and in particular is open. The product topology is that induced by the projections since this is true of the topological spaces. Thus, given a cone from the  $X_i$  to any  $Y \in \text{TopS}_L$ , the induced map from  $Y$  to  $\times_i X_i$  (the product in  $\text{Struct}_L$ ) is continuous.  $\square$

## 4. Limits

**Theorem 3.** *The limit of a diagram in  $\text{TopS}_L$  is the limit in  $\text{Struct}_L$ , equipped with the topology induced by the limit cone.*

*Proof.* The equalizer in  $\text{Set}$  is the equalizer in  $\text{TopS}_L$ .  $\square$

### 5. Quotients

**Lemma 4.** *Given  $X$  in  $\text{TopS}_L$  and a congruence relation  $\equiv$  on  $X$ , the quotient topology is compatible with the quotient structure.*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$  let  $\bar{x}$  denote the image under the canonical epimorphism, and extend the notation to subsets of  $X$ , and to the functions of the structure. Suppose  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \in \bar{V}$  where  $\bar{V}$  is open in  $\bar{X}$ . Then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V$  (where  $V = \cup \bar{V}$  is the saturated open set whose image is  $\bar{V}$ ). Thus there are open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  such that if  $x_k \in U_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V$ . Since  $V$  is saturated and  $\equiv$  is a congruence relation,  $U_k$  may be replaced by its saturation. Then if  $\bar{x}_k \in \bar{U}_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  then  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \in \bar{V}$ .  $\square$

### 6. Coproducts

So far everything has been determined by forgetful functors; this is no longer the case for the coproduct. The underlying structure is the coproduct structure, but it must be given a topology specific to the new category.

Let  $t$  denote a term over  $L$ . We suppose that the distinct variables from left to right are  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . Let  $H$  denote the set of closed terms  $\hat{t}$  of the form  $t(c_1, \dots, c_r)$ , where  $c_l \in X_{i_l}$ . This is a structure in an obvious and well-known manner. We define the topology  $T_H$  on  $H$  as that whose subbasic open sets are the sets  $U_{t,U_1, \dots, U_r}$ , where  $U_l$  is an open set of  $X_{i_l}$ . The closed terms in this open set are those, where  $c_l \in U_l$ . From hereon  $H$  is supposed to be equipped with this topology.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function of  $L$  then  $f$  is a continuous function on  $H$ .*

*Proof.* A closed term  $f(\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_n)$  is in a subbasic open set iff the term  $t$  has the closed term as an instance, and the open sets contain the constants. An (subbasic) open set for  $\hat{t}_k$  is obtained by taking  $t_k$  as the term, and the open sets for its constants as for  $t$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *The map  $X_i \mapsto H$  mapping  $c$  to itself is continuous.*

*Proof.* The inverse image of  $U_{c,W}$  is  $W$ .

Let  $\equiv$  be the equivalence relation on  $H$ , where two closed terms are equal iff all their constants are from the same structure and they are equal in the structure. This is easily seen to be a congruence relation in the structure  $H$ . It is not difficult to show that  $H/\equiv$  is the coproduct in  $\text{Struct}_L$  (see Dowd [1] for example).  $\square$

**Theorem 7.**  *$H/\equiv$ , equipped with the quotient topology, is the coproduct in  $\text{TopS}_L$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $K \in \text{TopS}_L$  and  $\text{TopS}_L$  morphisms  $\nu_i : X_i \mapsto K$ , there is a unique  $\text{Struct}_L$  morphism  $\phi : H \mapsto K$  such that  $\phi(c) = \nu_i(c)$  for each  $c \in X_i$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq K$  is open and  $\phi(\hat{t}) \in V$ . Write  $\hat{t}$  as  $t(c_1, \dots, c_r)$  where  $c_l \in X_{i_l}$ . Let  $t_K$  be the function on  $K$  interpreting  $t$ . Since  $t_K$  is continuous there are open sets  $U_l \subseteq X_{i_l}$  such that for  $\langle c'_1, \dots, c'_r \rangle \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_r$ ,  $t_K(\nu_{i_1}(c'_1), \dots, \nu_{i_r}(c'_r)) \in V$ . Thus,  $\phi[U_{t,U_1, \dots, U_r}] \subseteq V$ , and  $\phi$  has been shown to be continuous. If  $d = f(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  in  $X_i$  then  $\phi(d) = \phi(f(c_1, \dots, c_n))$ , so  $\phi$  respects  $\equiv$ . Thus,  $\phi$  factors through the canonical epimorphism in  $\text{Top}$ , and the theorem follows.  $\square$

## 7. Colimits

**Theorem 8.** *The colimit of a diagram in  $\text{TopS}_L$  is obtained from the coproduct and the coequalizer in the usual way.*

*Proof.* Immediate.  $\square$

## 8. Equational Theories

Theorem 3 holds in  $\text{TopM}_T$  because substructures and products of models are models. Theorem 7 must be modified, in a standard manner, namely, the relation  $\equiv$  is modified to include the equivalences arising from  $T$ .

## References

- [1] M. Dowd, Higher type categories, *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, **39** (1993), 251-254.
- [2] M.S. Khan, Sidney A. Morris, Free Products of Topological Groups with Central Amalgamation, I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **273** (1982), 405-416.