

NOTES ON HUPPERT'S  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -CONJECTURE

Liguo He

Department of Mathematics  
Shenyang University of Technology  
Shenyang, 110023, P.R. CHINA  
e-mail: heliguo@online.ln.cn

**Abstract:** For a finite group  $G$ , in this note, we prove that if  $G$  is nilpotent-by-nilpotent, then Huppert's  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -conjecture is valid for  $G$ . Also we show that if  $G/F(G)$  is nilpotent and Sylow subgroups of  $F(G)$  are all non-Abelian, then the conjugacy version of Huppert's  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -conjecture is true for  $G$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 20C15

**Key Words:** finite group, conjugacy class, Sylow subgroup

1. Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\pi(n)$  be the set of different prime divisors of the positive integer  $n$ . Write  $Cl(g)$  to denote the set of all conjugates of  $g$  in  $G$  and  $Irr(G)$  to denote the set of the irreducible complex characters of  $G$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) &= \bigcup_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \pi(\chi(1)), & \sigma(G) &= \text{Max}_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \{|\pi(\chi(1))|\}, \\ c\rho(G) &= \bigcup_{g \in G} \pi(|Cl(g)|), & c\sigma(G) &= \text{Max}_{g \in G} \{|\pi(|Cl(g)|)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a solvable group  $G$ , B. Huppert [3, 1] conjectured that  $|\rho(G)| \leq 2\sigma(G)$ . Since there is some analogy between conjugacy lengths and character degrees of a finite group, it was also conjectured that  $|c\rho(G)| \leq 2c\sigma(G)$ .

In this note, we discuss the conjectures. Note that there exist supersolvable and metabelian groups which are counterexamples against the latter conjecture.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Assume that  $N \triangleleft \triangleleft G$ ,  $M \trianglelefteq G$ . Then:*

1.  $\rho(N) \subseteq \rho(G)$ ,  $\sigma(N) \leq \sigma(G)$ ;
2.  $\rho(G/M) \subseteq \rho(G)$ ,  $\sigma(G/M) \leq \sigma(G)$ .

*Proof.* Omitted. □

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be solvable and  $F(G)$  be Fitting subgroup of  $G$ . Set  $\mathcal{T}(G) = \{p \mid O_p(G) \text{ is non-Abelian}\}$ , then  $\rho(G) = \pi(G/F(G)) \cup \mathcal{T}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of [4, Theorem 17.7]. □

Observe that if  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  were nontrivial, then it should easily find  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\pi(\chi(1)) \supseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Thus the problem probably reduces to the investigation of  $\pi(G/F(G))$ .

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is nilpotent-by-nilpotent (metanilpotent), then  $|\rho(G)| \leq 2\sigma(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G/F(G)$  is an Abelian group. By Gaschütz's Theorem 1.12 and Proposition 12.1 of [4], it follows that  $\text{Irr}(F(G)/\Phi(G))$  is a faithful and completely reducible  $G/F(G)$ -module, let

$$\text{Irr}(F(G)/\Phi(G)) = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n,$$

$V_i$ 's are faithful and irreducible  $\bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(V_i)$ -modules respectively, where  $\bar{G} = G/F(G)$  and  $C_{\bar{G}}(V_i) = \{v \in V_i \mid vg = v \text{ for any } g \in \bar{G}\}$ . Because  $C_{\bar{G}}(V_i) \geq F(G)$  and  $G/F(G)$  is Abelian, we get that  $\bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(V_i)$  acts fixed-point-free on  $V_i$ . Set  $\eta = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ , then

$$I_G(\eta) = \cap_{i=1}^n I_G(\lambda_i) = \cap_{i=1}^n C_G(V_i) = F(G),$$

we get by Clifford's Theorem 6.11 of [2] that  $\chi = \eta^G$  is irreducible. Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(F(G))$  faithful, and  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ , then  $\rho(G) = \pi(\chi(1)) \cup \pi(\xi(1))$ . Hence

$$|\rho(G)| = |\pi(\chi(1)) \cup \pi(\xi(1))| \leq 2 \max\{|\pi(\chi(1))|, |\pi(\xi(1))|\} \leq 2\sigma(G).$$

By the hypothesis, we know that  $G/F(G)$  is nilpotent. We may assume that  $G/F(G)$  is Abelian. For the nilpotent group  $G/F(G)$ , we see that  $\pi(G/F(G)) = \pi(Z(G/F(G)))$ . Set  $Z/F(G) = Z(G/F(G))$ , then since  $F(G) \leq F(Z)$ , and  $F(Z) \text{ char } Z \trianglelefteq G$  so that  $F(Z) \leq F(G)$ , it follows that  $F(G) = F(Z)$ , thus  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a faithful and completely reducible  $Z/F(G)$ -module, by the above, it implies that  $|\rho(Z)| \leq 2\sigma(Z)$ .

By Lemma 1.2, we know that  $|\rho(G)| = |\pi(G/F(G)) \cup \mathcal{T}(F(G))|$  and  $|\rho(Z)| = |\pi(Z/F(Z)) \cup \mathcal{T}(F(Z))|$ . Also since  $F(G) = F(Z)$ , we conclude that

$$|\rho(G)| = |\rho(Z)| \leq 2\sigma(Z) \leq 2\sigma(G),$$

the proof is of complete. □

The following result is easily obtained from the above theorem.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose that the finite group  $G$  is supersolvable, then  $|\rho(G)| \leq 2\sigma(G)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose that  $G/F(G)$  is nilpotent, and Sylow subgroups of  $F(G)$  are all non-Abelian, then  $|c\rho(G)| \leq 2c\sigma(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G/F(G)$  is Abelian. By Gaschütz's Theorem 1.12 of [4], it follows that  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a faithful and completely reducible  $G/F(G)$ -module, let

$$F(G)/\Phi(G) = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n,$$

$V_i$ 's are faithful and irreducible  $\bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(V_i)$ -modules respectively, where  $\bar{G} = G/F(G)$  and  $C_{\bar{G}}(V_i) = \{v \in V_i \mid vg = v \text{ for any } g \in \bar{G}\}$ . Because  $C_G(V_i) \geq F(G)$  and  $G/F(G)$  is Abelian, we get that  $\bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(V_i)$  acts fixed-point-free on  $V_i$ . Set  $v = v_1v_2 \cdots v_n$ , then

$$C_G(v) = \cap_{i=1}^n C_G(v_i) = \cap_{i=1}^n C_G(V_i) = F(G),$$

we get by the above that  $|Cl_G(v)| = |G : F(G)|$ . Because  $F(G)$  is nilpotent and none of its Sylow subgroups are Abelian, we may choose  $w \in F$  such that  $\pi(|Cl_{F(G)}(w)|) = \pi(F(G))$ ; further we may see that  $\pi(|Cl_G(w)|) \supseteq \pi(F(G))$  and  $\pi(G) = \pi(|Cl_G(w)|) \cup \pi(|Cl_G(v)|)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rho(G)| &= |\pi(|Cl_G(v)|) \cup \pi(|Cl_G(w)|)| \\ &\leq 2 \max\{|\pi(|Cl_G(v)|)|, |\pi(|Cl_G(w)|)|\} \leq 2c\sigma(G). \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis, we know that  $G/F(G)$  is nilpotent. We may assume that  $G/F(G)$  is Abelian. For the nilpotent group  $G/F(G)$ , we see that  $\pi(G/F(G)) = \pi(Z(G/F(G)))$ . Set  $Z/F(G) = Z(G/F(G))$ , then since  $F(G) \leq F(Z)$ , and  $F(Z) \text{ char } Z \trianglelefteq G$  so that  $F(Z) \leq F(G)$ , it follows that  $F(G) = F(Z)$ , thus  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a faithful and completely reducible  $Z/F(G)$ -module, by the above, it implies that  $|\pi(Z)| = |c\rho(Z)| \leq 2c\sigma(Z)$ , hence we get that

$$|c\rho(G)| \leq |\pi(G)| = |\pi(Z)| = |c\rho(Z)| \leq 2c\sigma(Z) \leq 2c\sigma(G),$$

as required. □

### References

- [1] B. Huppert, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York (1998).
- [2] I.M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York (1976).
- [3] I.M. Isaacs, Solvable groups character degrees and sets of primes, *J. Algebra*, **104** (1986), 209-219.
- [4] O. Manz, T.R. Wolf, *Representations of Solvable Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1993).