

**RANKS AND BORDER RANKS:  
SOME INVARIANTS TO BOUND  
THEM FOR VERONESE EMBEDDINGS**

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics  
University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Let  $X_{m,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n_{m,d}}$ ,  $n_{m,d} := \binom{m+d}{m} - 1$ , be the Veronese embedding of order  $d$  of  $\mathbb{P}^m$ . For any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n_{m,d}}$  the  $X_{m,d}$ -rank  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P)$  of  $P$  is the minimal cardinality of  $S \subset X_{m,d}$  such that  $P \in \langle S \rangle$ . Fix a zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset X_{m,d}$ . Here we give a way to find upper bounds for all  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P)$ ,  $p \in \langle Z \rangle$  in terms of geometrical, numerical or cohomological properties of  $Z$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14N05

**Key Words:**  $X$ -rank, ranks, border rank, Veronese embedding

### 1. Introduction

For all integers  $m \geq 1$  set  $n_{m,d} := \binom{m+d}{m}$  and  $Y_m := \mathbb{P}^m$ . Let  $j_{m,d} : Y_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_{m,d}}$  denote the Veronese embedding of  $Y_m$  by the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{Y_m}(d)|$ . Set  $X_{m,d} := j_{m,d}(Y_m)$ . For any zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset Y_m$  let  $z(Z)$  denote the minimal integer  $k$  such that there is a reduced degree hypersurface  $W$  of  $Y_m$  containing  $Z$ . We often use  $j_{m,d}$  to define the integer  $z(Z)$  when  $Z$  is seen as a subscheme of  $X_{m,d}$ . Let  $k(Z)$  be the minimal integer  $k$  such that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(k)) = 0$ . We also introduce the following integer  $w_d(Z)$ , which is essential if  $m \geq 3$ . Fix a zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset Y_m$ . Let  $w_d(Z)$  be the minimal integer  $\dim(\langle T \rangle)$ , where  $T \subset X_{m,d}$  is a connected curve containing  $j_{m,d}(Z)$ . We hope that these integers will be useful, but we are only able to give

a few easy remarks concerning them and their use. Take any  $P \in \langle j_{m,d}(Z) \rangle$ . Fix  $T$  computing  $w_d(Z)$  and take a general hyperplane  $V$  of  $W := \langle T \rangle$ . The proof of Proposition 1 below gives  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P) \leq w_d(Z)$ .

**Example 1.** Take  $m = 2$ . Fix an integer  $x \geq 4$ , a line  $L \subset Y_2$ , a set  $S \subset L$  such that  $\sharp(S) = x$  and any finite set  $S' \subset L \setminus S$ . For each  $Q \in S$  fix a length 2 zero-dimensional scheme  $v_Q$  with  $Q$  as its reduction and not contained in  $L$  (i.e. a tangent vector at  $Q$  not tangent to  $L$ ). Set  $Z := \cup_{Q \in S} v_Q$  and  $Z_1 := Z \cup S'$ . Thus  $\text{length}(Z) = 2k$  and  $\text{length}(Z_1) = 2k + \sharp(S')$ . The double line  $2L$  contains  $Z$  and  $Z_1$ , but it is not reduced. Let  $W' \subset Y_2$  be any smooth degree  $k$  plane curve containing  $S$ . Set  $W := L \cup W'$ . Thus  $W$  is a reduced plane curve of degree  $k+1$  containing  $Z_1$  and hence  $Z$ . It is easy to check that  $z(Z) = z(Z_1) = k+1$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $Z \subset Y_m$  be a zero-dimensional scheme. Fix an integer  $k$  such that  $h^1(Y_m, \mathcal{I}_Z(k)) = 0$ . Castelnuovo-Mumford's Lemma says that the homogeneous ideal of  $Z$  is generated by forms of degree at most  $k+1$ . Thus  $z(Z) \leq k+1$ . Hence  $z(Z) \leq k(Z) + 1$ .

**Proposition 1.** Fix a zero-dimensional scheme  $W \subset Y_m$ . Set  $Z := j_{m,d}(W)$  and  $k := z(W)$ . Assume  $k < d$ . Then  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P) \leq \binom{m+d}{m} - \binom{m+d-k}{m} - m + 1$  for all  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Fix any  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ . If  $P \in X_{m,d}$ , then  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P) = 1$ . Hence we may assume  $P \notin X_{m,d}$ . Let  $T \subset Y_m$  be a reduced hypersurface of degree  $k$  containing  $W$ . Set  $U := j_{m,d}(T)$ . By assumption  $U$  is a reduced and connected complete intersection of dimension  $m-1$  and  $P \in \langle U \rangle$ . Since  $T$  is a degree  $k$  hypersurface and  $k < d$ , the restriction map  $H^0(Y_{m,d}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{m,d}}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(d))$  is surjective and its kernel has dimension  $\binom{m+d}{m} - \binom{m+d-k}{m}$ . Thus  $\dim(\langle U \rangle) = \binom{m+d}{m} - \binom{m+d-k}{m} - 1$ . Let  $V \subset \langle U \rangle$  be a general linear subspace of codimension  $m-1$  containing  $P$ . Since  $P \notin U$ , Bertini's Theorem says that  $V \cap U$  is a reduced set of points. To get  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P) \leq \dim(V) + 1$  (and hence prove Proposition 1) it is sufficient to prove that  $U \cap V$  spans  $V$ . This is not quite obvious, because  $U$  is not assumed to be irreducible. Let  $W \subset \langle U \rangle$  be a general linear subspace of codimension  $m-2$  containing  $P$ . We may see  $V$  as a general hyperplane of  $W$ . Bertini's Theorem gives that  $U \cap W$  is a reduced curve. Since  $U \cap W$  (seen as a subcurve of  $Y_m$ ) is a complete intersection, it is connected. Hence  $h^1(W, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap U}) = 0$ . Thus the exact sequence of coherent sheaves on  $W$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{W \cap U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_W(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{V \cap U}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

gives the surjectivity of the restriction map  $\rho : H^0(W, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap U}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(V \cap U, \mathcal{I}_{V \cap U}(1))$ . Since  $W \cap U$  spans  $U$ , we have  $H^0(W, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap U}(1)) = 0$ . Hence the surjectivity of  $\rho$  gives  $H^0(V \cap U, \mathcal{I}_{V \cap U}(1)) = 0$ . Thus  $V \cap U$  spans  $U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $Z \subset Y_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , be a zero-dimensional scheme. Set  $z := \text{length}(Z)$ . Then  $k(Z) \leq z - 1$  and equality holds if and only if there is a line  $L \subset Y_m$  such that  $Z \subset L$ .*

*Proof.* Use [1], Lemma 4.1. □

**Corollary 1.** *If  $k(Z) \geq z - 1$ , then either  $Z$  is reduced and  $b_{X_{m,d}}(P) = r_{X_{m,d}}(P) = z$  or  $Z$  is not reduced,  $b_{X_{m,d}}(P) = z$  and  $r_{X_{m,d}}(P) = d + 2 - z$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 1 and the description of the ranks with respect to rational normal curves ([3], [6], Theorem 4.1). □

To get more we need to distinguish several cases.

**Lemma 2.** *Fix positive integers  $z, d, x$  such that  $d \geq x^2$ . Let  $Z \subset Y_2$  be a length  $z$  zero-dimensional scheme. Assume  $k(Z) \geq x - 2 + d/x$ . Then either  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$ ,  $k(Z) = x - 2 + d/x$ ,  $z = d(x - 2 + k(Z))/x$  and  $Z$  is the complete intersection of a curve of degree  $x$  and a curve of degree  $z - 2 + k(Z)$  or there is an integer  $t \in \{1, \dots, x\}$  and a plane curve  $T$  of degree  $t$  such that  $t(k(Z) - 1 + (5 - t)/2) \geq \text{length}(T) \geq t(k(Z) - t + 2)$ .*

*Proof.* The integer  $k(Z) - 1$  is the integer  $\tau$  of [5], Corollary 2. Apply it with  $z := d$  and  $s := x$ . □

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $Z \subset Y_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , be a zero-dimensional scheme. Set  $z := \text{length}(Z)$  and assume  $k(Z) \geq (z - m + 2)/3$ . Then either there is a line  $D \subset Y - m$  such that  $\text{length}(Z \cap D) = k(Z) + 1$  or there is a smooth conic  $C$  such that  $\text{length}(C \cap Z) = 2 \cdot k(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m = 2$ , then apply the case  $x = 2$  of Lemma 2. Now assume  $m > 2$  and that the lemma is true for  $Y_{m-1}$ . Let  $H \subset Y_m$  be a hyperplane such that  $\text{length}(Z \cap H)$  is maximal. Set  $Z' := Z \cap H$  and  $z' := \text{length}(Z')$ . If  $Z' = Z$ , then we easily conclude by the inductive assumption. Hence we may assume  $Z' \neq Z$ , i.e. that  $Z$  spans  $Y_m$ . The maximality of  $z'$  implies  $z' \geq m$ . Consider the exact sequence of the residual scheme

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(t - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z',H}(t) \rightarrow 0. \tag{2}$$

Since  $\text{Res}_H(Z)$  is zero-dimensional,  $h^2(Y_m, \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(t - 1)) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Hence (2) gives  $k(Z') \leq k(Z)$ . From (2) we get  $k(Z) \geq \max\{k(Z'), k(\text{Res}_H(Z)) + 1\}$ . Thus either  $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{Z',H}(k(Z) - 1)) > 0$  and  $k(Z') = k(Z)$  or  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(k(Z) - 2)) > 0$ . First assume  $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{Z',H}(k(Z) - 1)) > 0$  and  $k(Z') = k(Z)$ . If  $z' - m + 3 \leq 3 \cdot k(Z)$ , then we may use the inductive assumption to get a line  $D$  or a smooth conic  $C$  as in the statement and contained in  $H$ . Thus we may assume

$z' - m + 2 \geq 3 \cdot k(Z)$ . Since  $z - m + 2 \leq 3 \cdot k(Z)$  and  $z' < z$ , we get a contradiction. Now assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(k(Z) - 2)) > 0$ . The maximality of  $Z$  gives  $z' \geq m$  with equality if and only if  $Z$  is in linearly general position. Hence by Remark 2 below we may assume  $z' \geq m + 1$ . Since  $\text{length}(\text{Res}_H(Z)) = z - z'$ , we get the existence of a line  $L'$  or a conic  $C'$  for the scheme  $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ . However,  $L'$  or  $C'$  must be contained in a hyperplane. The maximality of  $z'$  gives  $z' \geq z/2$  and hence  $L'$  or  $C'$  must be contained in  $H$ . Since  $k(Z') \leq k(Z)$ , we came to the situation analyzed before.  $\square$

To simplify the next statement we introduce the following short-hands related to the cohomology groups of line bundles on complete intersections of  $m - 1$  degree  $t$  hypersurfaces of  $Y_m$ . Fix the integer  $m \geq 2$ . For all integers  $u$  set  $\alpha_t(m, u) := \binom{m+u}{m}$  if  $u \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_t(m, u) := 0$  if  $u < 0$ . Then for all integers  $x$  such that  $1 \leq x \leq m - 1$  define recursively the integer  $\alpha(x, u)$  by the formula  $\alpha_t(x, u) := \alpha_t(x + 1, u) - \alpha_t(x + 1, u - t)$ . Each integer  $\alpha(1, u)$  is an alternating sum of certain binomial coefficients  $\binom{m+y}{m}$ , except that we need to use the convention  $\binom{m+y}{m} := 0$  if  $y < 0$ .

**Proposition 2.** Assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  and set  $t := k(Z) + 1$ . Assume  $t < d$ . Then  $w_d(Z) \leq \alpha_t(1, d)$ .

*Proof.* By Castenuovo-Mumford's Lemma the homogeneous ideal of  $Z$  in  $Y_m$  is generated by forms of degree at most  $t$ . Hence Bertini's Theorem shows that the complete intersection  $C$  of  $m - 1$  general hypersurfaces of degree  $t$  containing  $Z$  is smooth outside  $Z$ . Since a complete intersection has no embedded component,  $C$  must be reduced. As any complete intersection  $C$  is connected. Since  $C$  is a complete intersection, the restriction map  $H^0(Y_m, \mathcal{O}_{Y_m}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(d))$  is surjective. Hence  $\dim(\langle j_{m,d}(C) \rangle) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(d)) - 1$ . The well-known cohomology of line bundles on a complete intersection concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** Assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ . Fix integers  $s \geq 1$  and  $z_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq s$ , and set  $z := z_1 + \dots + z_s$ . Let  $t$  be the minimal positive integer such that  $\binom{m+t}{m} \geq z$ . Let  $Z \subset Y_m$  be a general curvilinear subscheme with  $s$  connected components with lengths  $z_1, \dots, z_s$ . Then  $k(Z) = t$ . If  $\binom{m+t}{m} \geq z + m - 1$ , then  $Z$  is contained in a smooth and conneced complete intersection of  $m - 1$  hypersurfaces of degree  $t$ . We have  $w_d(Z) \leq \alpha_t(1, d)$  for all integers  $d > t$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $k(Z) = t$  is just [2] and the cohomology of line bundles on  $Y_m$ . We also get  $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = \binom{m+t}{m} - z$ . Assume  $\binom{m+t}{m} \geq z + m - 1$ . A general intersection of  $m - 1$  general hypersurfaces of degree  $t$  is smooth and connected. Since the set  $\Phi$  of all curvilinear subschemes of  $Y_m$  with  $s$  connected

components with lengths  $z_1, \dots, z_s$  is irreducible, for a general  $Z \in \Phi$  we get that the intersection of  $m - 1$  general elements of  $|\mathcal{I}_Z(t)|$  is smooth and connected. The last assertion follows from Proposition 2.  $\square$

We say that zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset Y_m$  is in *linearly general position* if  $\text{length}(Z \cap V) \leq \dim(V) + 1$  for every proper linear subspace  $V \subsetneq Y_m$ .

**Remark 2.** Assume that  $Z \subset Y_m$  is in linearly general position. If  $z := \text{length}(Z) \leq m$ , then  $z(Z) = 1$ . If  $z > m$ , then  $z(Z) \leq \lceil (z - 1)/m \rceil$  ([4], Theorem 3.2). Set  $t := 1 + \lceil (z - 1)/m \rceil$ . Proposition 3 gives  $w_d(Z) \leq \alpha_t(1, d)$ .

### Acknowledgments

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, M. Idà, On the stratification of secant varieties of Veronese varieties via symmetric rank, *ArXiv*: 0908.1651v4 [math.AG].
- [2] C. Ciliberto, R. Miranda, Interpolations on curvilinear schemes, *J. Algebra*, **203**, No. 2 (1998), 677-678.
- [3] G. Comas, M. Seiguer, On the rank of a binary form, *ArXiv*: math.AG/0112311.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Finite projective schemes in linearly general position, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **1**, No. 1 (1992), 15-30.
- [5] Ph. Ellia, Ch. Peskine, Groupes de points de  $\mathbf{P}^2$ : Caracte et position uniforme, *Algebraic Geometry*, L'Aquila (1988), 111-116, Lecture Notes in Math., **1417**, Springer, Berlin (1990).
- [6] J.M. Landsberg, Z. Teiler, On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors, *ArXiv*: 0901.0487v3.

