

PICONE-TYPE IDENTITIES AND INEQUALITIES FOR  
GENERAL QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS.  
PART II: COMPARISON RESULTS AND EXTENSION TO  
SOME NON AUTONOMOUS EQUATIONS

Tadie

Mathematics Institut

5, Universitetsparken 5, 2100, Copenhagen, DENMARK

**Abstract:** In Part I we saw that when the main coefficients  $a(x)$  and  $c(x)$  fulfil the conditions (1.7) for the equation (1.2) or the conditions (1.7) and (2.1) for the equation (1.1) (see [2]), the oscillation of the solutions of those equations follows.

In this part, we show using some comparison results how, those results can be extended to more general equations via some minor hypotheses on the added terms including some non autonomous cases.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 35J60, 35J70

**Key Words:** Picone's identity, quasilinear elliptic equations

### 1. Extension via Comparison Results

In this part of the work we display some examples where some of our results can apply.

#### 1.1. Some Direct Applications

Inspired by some equations displayed in [4, 1] we consider for  $\beta > \alpha > \gamma > 0$  the equation

$$\nabla \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla w) \right\} + a(x)B(x) \cdot \Phi(\nabla w) + g(x, w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$g(x, t) = C(x)|t|^{\beta-1}t + D(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}t.$$

With

$$f(x, t) := C(x)|t|^{\beta-1}t + D(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}t - c(x)|t|^{\alpha-1}t, \tag{4.2a}$$

the equation becomes

$$\nabla \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla w) \right\} + a(x)B(x) \cdot \Phi(\nabla w) + c(x)\phi(w) + f(x, w) = 0. \tag{4.2b}$$

Obviously if  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad C(x), D(x) \geq 0$  and  $c(x) \leq \min\{C(x), D(x)\}$  then  $tf(x, t) \geq 0$ . In application of B) of the Theorem 3.2 we have

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that (2.1) holds and  $a$  satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t \left[ r^{n-1} \max_{|x|=r} a(x) \right]^{-1/\alpha} dr = \infty. \tag{4.2c}$$

*Then if there is a non negative Holder-continuous function  $\mu$  such that*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \min\{C(x), D(x)\} = 2\mu(x) > 0,$$

*any non trivial solution of (4.1) is strongly oscillatory.*

*Proof.* Consider for  $c(x) := \mu(x)$  the equation (4.2b). Then (4.2a) reads

$$tf(x, t) := C(x)|t|^{\beta+1} + D(x)|t|^{\gamma+1} - \mu(x)(x)|t|^{\alpha+1}. \tag{4.2c}$$

When  $|t| \geq 1$ ,

$$C(x)|t|^{\beta+1} - \mu(x)|t|^{\alpha+1} = |t|^{\beta+1}[C(x) - \mu(x)|t|^{\alpha-\beta}] > 0,$$

and for  $|t| < 1$ ,

$$D(x)|t|^{\gamma+1} - \mu(x)|t|^{\alpha+1} = |t|^{\gamma+1}[D(x) - \mu(x)|t|^{\alpha-\gamma}] > 0.$$

These inequalities make  $tf(x, t) \geq 0$  and B) of the Theorem 3.2 applies.  $\square$

Consider for  $\eta, \alpha, \nu > 0$  the equation

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{|x| + \eta} |\nabla u|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u \right\} + \frac{1}{|x|^2 + \nu} |u|^{\alpha-1} u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.3}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any  $\alpha, \eta, \nu > 0$ , if  $3 \leq n < 2+\alpha$  or  $2+\alpha \leq n < 2+\alpha+\alpha^2$ , then the classical solutions of (4.3) are strongly oscillatory.*

*Proof.* As  $A(r) = r^{-1}$  and  $\gamma(r) = \frac{1}{|x|^2 + \nu}$ , if  $3 \leq n < 2 + \alpha$  then (Cia) of (1.7) is satisfied. In fact  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau}^t s^{(2-n)/\alpha} ds = \infty$  and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau}^r q(r) dr = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau}^r \frac{s^{n-1}}{s^2 + \nu} ds = \infty.$$

If  $2 + \alpha \leq n < 2 + \alpha + \alpha^2$  then (Ciia) of (1.7) is satisfied. □

If we reduce the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to any exterior domain  $\Omega_{r_0}^c := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| > r_0 > 0\}$  then the result remains true even with  $\eta = \nu = 0$ . This applies to the problem (2.7) in [3]. In fact for

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{|x|} |\nabla u|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u \right\} + \frac{1}{|x|^2} |u|^{\alpha-1} u = 0 \quad \text{in } |x| \geq 1 \tag{4.3a}$$

where  $\alpha = 3$ , as  $A(r) = r^{-1}$  and  $\gamma(r) = r^{-2}$ , Theorem 4.2 applies. □

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $g_0$  be a positive function such that*

$$\int_a^\infty r^{n-1} \{ \min_{|x|=r} g_0(x) \} dr = \infty.$$

*Then if  $a(x)$  satisfies (Cia),  $\forall \gamma \in (0, \alpha)$  and  $\phi_\gamma(t) = |t|^{\gamma-1} t$  any non trivial solution of*

$$\nabla \left\{ a(x) \Phi(\nabla v) \right\} + g_0(x) \phi_\gamma(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.4}$$

*is strongly oscillatory and that solution is unique.*

*Proof.* For a  $\beta > 0$ , rewrite the equation as

$$\nabla \left\{ a(x) \Phi(\nabla v) \right\} + \beta g_0(x) \phi(v) + f(x, v) = 0,$$

where  $f(x, t) = g_0(x) |t|^{\gamma-1} t \{ 1 - \beta |t|^{\alpha-\gamma} \}$ .

The non trivial solutions of  $\nabla \left\{ a(x) \Phi(\nabla v) \right\} + g_0(x) \phi(v) = 0$  are oscillatory and by the homogeneous character of the equation, if  $u$  is such a solution, so is  $\lambda u, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a solution  $v$  of (4.4), the normalized associated function  $w(x) := \frac{v(x)}{\lambda}$  where  $\lambda := |v|_\infty$  satisfies for

$$f_\gamma(x, t) = \lambda^{\gamma-\alpha} f(x, t), \quad \nabla \left\{ a(x) \Phi(\nabla w) \right\} + \beta g_0(x) \phi(w) + f_\gamma(x, w) = 0.$$

If we take  $\beta \leq \lambda^{\gamma-\alpha}$  then as  $t f_\gamma(x, t) \geq 0$  for  $|t| \leq 1$ , Theorem 3.2 applies. □

## 2. Comparison Results

Let  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and assume that

$$P_0 v = \nabla \cdot \{a(x)\Phi(\nabla v)\} + c(x)\phi(v) = 0 \geq (\neq) P_0 u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.5)$$

$$P_0 v = \nabla \cdot \{a(x)\Phi(\nabla v)\} + c(x)\phi(v) = 0 \leq (\neq) P_0 w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.6)$$

Then we have the following inequalities :

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)v\Phi(\nabla v) - a(x)v\phi\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)\Phi(\nabla u) \right\} \geq a(x)Z(v, u) \quad (4.7)$$

$$\text{and } \nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)w\Phi(\nabla w) - a(x)w\phi\left(\frac{w}{v}\right)\Phi(\nabla v) \right\} \geq a(x)Z(w, v). \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover for

$$(a) \quad P_i v = \nabla \cdot \{a(x)\Phi(\nabla v)\} + c_i(x)\phi(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n; \quad i = 1, 2, \\ i \neq j \implies \\ (b) \quad \nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)v_i\Phi(\nabla v_i) - a(x)v_j\phi\left(\frac{v_i}{v_j}\right)\Phi(\nabla v_j) \right\} = \\ = a(x)Z(v_i, v_j) + |v_i|^{\alpha+1}\{c_j - c_i\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then we get the following result:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume that  $v, u, w \in \mathbb{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are those in (4.5)-(4.8). Then if  $v$  is strongly oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then:*

- (i)  $u$  has a zero inside any connected component of  $\text{supp}(v)$ ;
- (ii)  $v$  has a zero inside any connected component of  $\text{supp}(w)$ , where

$$\text{supp}(W) := \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | W(x) \neq 0\}}.$$

Moreover if  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are differentiable in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and satisfy (4.9), then if  $c_j(x) > c_i(x)$ ,  $v_j$  has a zero inside any connected component of  $\text{supp}(v_i)$ .

*Proof.* In fact if we integrate over any component of  $\text{supp}(v)$  any of (4.7) and (4.8), we get a contradiction unless e.g. for (4.6)  $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u = kv$  violating (4.5). This holds even if we suppose that  $u$  is null on the boundary of that component.  $\square$

We now consider for  $\lambda > 0$  the equation

$$P_\lambda u := \nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla u) \right\} + \lambda c(x)\phi(u) = g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.10\lambda)$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Assume that  $c$  satisfy the conditions (Cia) of (1.7).*

i) *If  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad g(x) \leq (\neq)0$ , then any bounded and non trivial classical solution of (4.10 $\lambda$ ) is strongly for any  $\lambda > 0$ .*

ii) *Assume that in addition, there is an  $\nu, r_0 > 0$  such that for any bounded  $D \subset \Omega_{r_0}^c$  of non zero measure*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|dx < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_D c(x)dx > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (4.11)$$

$$|g| \quad \text{is bounded and} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad c(x) > \nu > 0.$$

*Then for large  $\lambda > 0$  any bounded and non trivial classical solution  $u_\lambda$  of (4.10 $\lambda$ ) is strongly oscillatory unless it satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf |u_\lambda(x)| = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla v) \right\} + \lambda c(x)\phi(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (4.11\lambda)$$

has a strongly oscillatory solution  $v_\lambda$ , say.

i) Any classical and bounded solution  $u_\lambda$  of (4.10 $\lambda$ ) satisfies

$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla v_\lambda) \right\} + \lambda c(x)\phi(v_\lambda) = 0 \geq P_\lambda(u_\lambda)$  and from Theorem 4.4 (i),  $u_\lambda$  has a zero inside any connected component of  $\text{supp.}(v_\lambda)$ .

ii) Let  $v_1$  be a strongly oscillatory solution of

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla u) \right\} + c(x)\phi(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We rewrite the equation in (4.10 $\lambda$ ) as

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla u) \right\} + c(x)\phi(u) + (\lambda - 1)c(x)\phi(u) - g(x) = 0. \quad (4.12\lambda)$$

For a classical and bounded solution of (4.12 $\lambda$ )  $u_\lambda$  say,

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)v\Phi(\nabla v) - a(x)v\phi\left(\frac{v}{u_\lambda}\right)\Phi(\nabla u_\lambda) \right\}$$

$$= aZ(v, u_\lambda) + |v|^{\alpha+1} \left\{ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\phi(u_\lambda)} \right\}. \quad (4.13\lambda)$$

Assume that  $\exists R_0, \lambda_0, \beta > 0$  such that  $\forall \lambda > \lambda_0 + 1$  any classical and bounded solution  $u_\lambda$  of (4.10 $\lambda$ ) satisfies  $|u_\lambda(x)| > \beta \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{R_0}^c$ .

The solution  $v = v_1$  defined above has a nodal set  $G$ , say, inside  $\Omega_{R_0}^c$ . From (4.13 $\lambda$ ), as  $u_\lambda > \beta$  in  $\Omega_{r_0}^c, \forall \lambda > \lambda_0$

$$0 \geq \int_G \left\{ a(x)Z(v, u_\lambda) + |v|^{\alpha+1} \left[ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\beta} \right] \right\} dx. \tag{4.14}$$

But from (4.11), for large  $\lambda$ ,  $\int_G |v|^{\alpha+1} \left[ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\beta} \right] dx > 0$  conflicting with (4.14). □

Assume the (2.1) holds. Let  $u$  be a classical non trivial and bounded solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consider for  $\lambda > 0$  a solution  $w := w_\lambda$  of the equation

$$\nabla \left\{ a(x)\Phi(\nabla w) \right\} + a(x)B(x).\Phi(\nabla w) + \lambda c(x)\phi(w) = g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.15}$$

As before,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \left\{ a(x)b(x)u\Phi(\nabla u) - a(x)b(x)u\phi\left(\frac{u}{w}\right)\Phi(\nabla w) \right\} &= a(x)uB(x).\Gamma(u, w) \\ + b(x) \left[ a(x)Z(u, w) - a(x)uB(x).\Gamma(u, w) + |u|^{\alpha+1} \left\{ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\phi(w)} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15\lambda}$$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Assume that  $a$  and  $c$  satisfy (Cia) of (1.7).*

(i) *If  $g(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $\forall \lambda \geq 1$  any non trivial classical solution of (4.15) is strongly oscillatory.*

ii) *Assume that in addition, there is an  $\nu, r_0 > 0$  such that for any bounded  $D \subset \Omega_{r_0}^c$  of non zero measure*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|dx < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_D c(x)dx > 0 \quad \text{or} \\ |g| \text{ is bounded and} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad c(x) > \nu > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

*Then for large  $\lambda > 0$  any bounded and non trivial classical solution  $u_\lambda$  of (4.15) is strongly oscillatory unless it satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf |u_\lambda(x)| = 0$ .□*

*Proof.* From the hypotheses on  $a$  and  $c$ ,  $u$  is strongly oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) If we replace in (4.15 $\lambda$ )  $b$  by  $b(x) + k$  for any  $k > 0$  and integrate the result over any nodal set  $G$  of  $u$ , we get  $\forall k > 0$ ,

$$0 = \int_G a(x)uB(x).\Gamma(u, w)dx + \int_G (b(x) + k) \left[ a(x)Z(u, w) - a(x)uB(x).\Gamma(u, w) + |u|^{\alpha+1} \left\{ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\phi(w)} \right\} \right] dx \quad (4.16)$$

which holds only if among other

$$\int_G \left[ a(x)Z(u, w) + |u|^{\alpha+1} \left\{ (\lambda - 1)c(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\phi(w)} \right\} \right] dx = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

This is absurde if  $g$  is a negative function and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Therefore  $w$  cannot be strictly positive in  $G$ .

(ii) The proof of this part follows the process used for (ii) of the last theorem, using (4.17). □

### References

- [1] R. Marik, *Oscillation Theory of Partial Differential Equations with  $p$ -Laplacian*, Folia Univ. Agric. et Silvic. Mendel. Brun., Brno (2008).
- [2] Tadié, Picone-type identities and inequalities for some quasilinear elliptic equations. Part I: Oscillations and uniqueness, *Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **69**, No. 1 (2011).
- [3] Wan-Tong Li, Xiaohu Li, Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear differential equations with integrable coefficient, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **13** (2000), 1-6.
- [4] Norio Yoshida, Forced oscillation criteria for superlinear-sublinear elliptic equations via picone-type inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **363** (2010), 711-717.

144