

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF
HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR DELAY IMPULSIVE
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DAMPING

S. Pandian¹, G. Purushothaman^{2 §}

¹College of Arts and Science
Thiruvalluvar University
Vandavasi, Tamilnadu, INDIA

²Department of Mathematics
St. Joseph's College of Engineering
Chennai, 119, Tamilnadu, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper, we investigated the sufficient conditions for asymptotic behavior of all solutions of higher order nonlinear delay impulsive differential equation of the form

$$(r(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha)' + p(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha + f(t, x(t - \delta)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_k,$$
$$x^{(i)}(t_k^+) = I_k^{(i)}(x^{(i)}(t_k)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Our results are extension of some known results.

Finally, we give an example to demonstrate our results.

AMS Subject Classification: 34A37, 34K25

Key Words: asymptotic behavior, higher order equation, impulsive differential equation

1. Introduction

In recent years the theory of impulsive differential equations emerging as an important area of research, since such equations have applications in control theory, physics, biology, population dynamics, economics, etc. For further applications and questions concerning existence and uniqueness of solutions of

Received: August 12, 2011

© 2011 Academic Publications, Ltd.

§Correspondence author

impulsive differential equation, see for example [1], [2]. The oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of impulsive differential equations of various order are systematically studied by several authors, see [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]. In [6], the authors investigated the oscillation of higher order nonlinear functional impulsive differential equations. Recently, Liu [9] studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order nonlinear impulsive differential equations. Motivated by the work of [6] and [9], we use impulsive inequality and the Riccati transformation to obtain the sufficient conditions for asymptotic behavior of all solutions of higher order nonlinear delay impulsive differential equations. Our results are extension of some known results.

Consider the impulsive differential equation

$$(r(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha)' + p(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha + f(t, x(t - \delta)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad (1.1)$$

$$x^{(i)}(t_k^+) = I_k^{(i)}(x^{(i)}(t_k)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

where $t \geq t_0$, α is the quotient of odd positive integers, $\delta > 0$, n is a natural number, $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k \dots$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = +\infty$,

$$x^{(i)}(t_k) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{x^{(i-1)}(t_k + h) - x^{(i-1)}(t_k)}{h},$$

$$x^{(i)}(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^{(i-1)}(t_k + h) - x^{(i-1)}(t_k^+)}{h}.$$

A function $x : [t_0 - \delta, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if:

- (i) $x(t)$ satisfies (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty) \setminus \{t_k \in N\}$;
- (ii) $x^{(i)}(t)$ is continuous on $[t_0, \infty) \setminus \{t_k \in N\}$ and it is left continuous at t_k satisfies (1.2);
- (iii) $x^{(i)}(t_0^+) = x_0^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$, where $x^{(0)}(t) = x(t)$;
- (iv) $x(t) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0]$, where $\phi : [t_0 - \delta, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has at most finite discontinuous points of the first kind and is left continuous at these points.

A solution of (1.1) and (1.2) is said to be non oscillatory if it is eventually positive or negative. Otherwise, it will be called oscillatory.

2. Main Results

In this paper, we assume that the following conditions hold:

(H1) f is continuous on $[t_0 - \delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, $xf(t, x) > 0$ and $\frac{f(t, x)}{g(x)} \geq q(t)$ for $x \neq 0$, where $g(\gamma x) \geq \gamma g(x)$ for $\gamma > 0$, $x'g'(x) > 0$, and $q \geq 0, r'$ are continuous on $[t_0 - \delta, +\infty)$, and for any $t \geq t_0$, $q(t)$ is not always equal to 0 in $[t, +\infty)$, $r(t) > 0$.

(H2) $p(t), I_k^{(i)}(x)$ are continuous in $(-\infty, +\infty)$, and there exist positive numbers $a_k^{(i)}, b_k^{(i)}$ such that $a_k^{(i)} \leq \frac{I_k^{(i)}(x)}{x} \leq b_k^{(i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$.

(H3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(i)}}{b_k^{(i-1)}} ds = +\infty,$

(H4) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(2n-1)}}{b_k^{(2n-2)}} \left[\exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^s \frac{r'(\sigma) + p(\sigma)}{\alpha r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) \right] ds = +\infty,$

(H5) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=m}^{n-1} \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} b_{j+k}^{(2n-2)} a_{j+l}^{(2n-1)} \\ & \quad \times \int_{t_{j+m-1}}^{t_{j+m}} \left[\exp \left(- \int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \right] du \\ & + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{j+k}^{(2n-1)} \int_{t_{j+n-1}}^{t_{j+n}} \left[\exp \left(- \int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \right] du \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(H6) The following equality is valid

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{1}{c_k} \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^s \frac{p(\sigma)}{r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) q(s) ds = +\infty,$$

where

$$c_k = \begin{cases} (b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha, & t_k - \delta \neq t_j, \\ \frac{(b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha}{a_j^0}, & t_k - \delta = t_j. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we also assume that solutions to (1.1), (1.2) exist on $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Lemma 1. (see [1]) *Let the function $m \in PC^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} m'(t) &\leq u(t)m(t) + v(t), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ m(t_k^+) &\leq d_k m(t_k) + e_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $u, v \in PC(R_+, R)$ and $d_k \geq 0, e_k$ are constants, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &\leq m(t_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} d_k \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t u(s) ds\right) \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \left(\prod_{t_k < t_j < t} d_j \exp\left(\int_{t_k}^t u(s) ds\right) \right) e_k \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} d_k \exp\left(\int_s^t u(\sigma) d\sigma\right) v(s) ds, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1.1), and conditions (H1)-(H3) be satisfied. Suppose that there exists some $T \geq t_0$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}$, such that $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$ (< 0), $x^{(i+1)}(t) \geq 0$ (≤ 0) for $t \geq T$. Then there exists some $T_1 \geq T$, such that $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$ (< 0), for $t \geq T_1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $T = t_0$, $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $x^{(i+1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq T$. Assume that for any $t_k > T$, $x^{(i-1)}(t_k) < 0$. By $x^{(i+1)}(t) \geq 0$, $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, we have that $x^{(i)}(t)$ is monotonically nondecreasing on $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. For $t \in (t_1, t_2]$, we have $x^{(i)}(t) \geq x^{(i)}(t_1^+)$. In particular, $x^{(i)}(t_2) \geq x^{(i)}(t_1^+)$. Similarly, for $t \in (t_2, t_3]$, $x^{(i)}(t) \geq x^{(i)}(t_2^+) \geq a_2^{(i)} x^{(i)}(t_2) \geq a_2^{(i)} x^{(i)}(t_1^+)$. Therefore by induction, for $t > t_1$,

$$x^{(i)}(t) \geq \prod_{t_1 < t_k < t} a_k^{(i)} x^{(i)}(t_1^+), \quad t \neq t_k.$$

From condition (H2), we have

$$x^{(i-1)}(t_k^+) \geq b_k^{(i-1)} x^{(i-1)}(t_k), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Set $m(t) = -x^{(i-1)}(t)$. Then, for $t > t_1$,

$$m'(t) \leq - \prod_{t_1 < t_k < t} a_k^{(i)} x^{(i)}(t_1^+), t \neq t_k$$

$$m(t_k^+) \leq b_k^{(i-1)}m(t_k), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &\leq m(t_1^+) \prod_{t_1 < t_k < t} b_k^{(i-1)} - x^{(i)}(t_1^+) \int_{t_1}^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} b_k^{(i-1)} \prod_{t < t_k < s} a_k^{(i)} ds \\ &= \prod_{t_1 < t_k < t} b_k^{(i-1)} \left[m(t_1^+) - x^{(i)}(t_1^+) \int_{t_1}^t \prod_{t_1 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(i)}}{b_k^{(i-1)}} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

i. e. ,

$$x^{(i-1)}(t) \geq \prod_{t_1 < t_k < t} b_k^{(i-1)} \left[x^{(i-1)}(t_1^+) + x^{(i)}(t_1^+) \int_{t_1}^t \prod_{t_1 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(i)}}{b_k^{(i-1)}} ds \right].$$

By condition (H3) and $a_k^{(i)} > 0, b_k^{(i-1)} > 0$, for all sufficiently large t , we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$, which is contrary to the assumption. Hence, there exists some j such that $t_j > T$ and $x^{(i-1)}(t_j) \geq 0$. Then

$$x^{(i-1)}(t_j^+) \geq a_j^{(i-1)}x^{(i-1)}(t_j) \geq 0.$$

Note that $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$ yields $x^{(i-1)}(t)$ being monotonically increasing on $(t_j, t_{j+1}]$. For $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > x^{(i-1)}(t_j^+) \geq 0$. Especially, $x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}) > x^{(i-1)}(t_j^+) > 0$. Similarly, for $t \in (t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}]$, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}^+) \geq a_{j+1}^{(i-1)}x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}) > 0$. By induction, for $t \in (t_{j+m-1}, t_{j+m}]$, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$. So for $t \geq t_{j+1}$, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$. Summing up the above discussion, there exists some $T_1 \geq T$ such that $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0, t \geq T_1$. The proof of the other case in this theorem is similar; so we omit it. □

Lemma 3. *Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) and conditions (H1), (H2), (H3) be satisfied. Suppose that there exist an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ and some $T \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0, x^{(i)}(t) \leq 0$, for $t \geq T$, and $x^{(i)}(t)$ is not always equal to 0 in $[t, +\infty)$. Then $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$ for all sufficiently large t .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $T = t_0$. We claim that $x^{(i-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$. If it is not true, then there exists some $t_j \geq T$, such that $x^{(i-1)}(t_j) \leq 0$. Since $x^{(i)}(t) \leq 0, x^{(i-1)}(t)$ is monotonically non-increasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ for $k \geq j$. Also because $x^{(i)}(t)$ is not always equal to 0 in $[t, +\infty)$, there exists some $t_l \geq t_j$ such that $x^{(i)}(t)$ is not always equal to 0 in $(t_l, t_{l+1}]$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume $l = j$, that is, $x^{(i)}(t)$ is not always equal to 0 in $(t_j, t_{j+1}]$. So we have

$$x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}) < x^{(i-1)}(t_j^+) \leq a_j^{(i-1)} x^{(i-1)}(t_j) \leq 0.$$

For $t \in (t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}]$, we have

$$x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+2}) < x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}^+) \leq a_{j+1}^{(i-1)} x^{(i-1)}(t_{j+1}) < 0.$$

By induction, for $t \in (t_{j+m}, t_{j+m+1}]$, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) < 0$. So we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) < 0, x^{(i)}(t) \leq 0, t \in (t_{j+1}, +\infty)$. By Lemma 2, for all sufficiently large t , we have $x^{(i-2)}(t) < 0$. Similarly, we can conclude, using Lemma 2 repeatedly, that for all sufficiently large t , we have $x(t) < 0$. This is a contradiction to $x(t) > 0 (t \geq T)$. Hence, we have $x^{(i-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$. So we have $x^{(i-1)}(t) > 0$ for all sufficiently large t . The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) and conditions (H1)-(H4) be satisfied. Suppose $T \geq t_0, x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq T$. Then there exist some $T' \geq T$ and $l \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ such that for $t \geq T'$,*

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l; \\ (-1)^{i-1} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l + 1, \dots, 2n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. At first we prove that $x^{(2n-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$. If not, then there exists some j such that $t_j \geq T, x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) < 0$. So, $x^{(2n-1)}(t_j^+) = I_j^{(2n-1)}(x^{(2n-1)}(t_j)) \leq a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) < 0$. Let

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_j} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) = \beta < 0.$$

From (1.1), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \right)' \\ = - \frac{f(t, x(t - \delta))}{\alpha r(t) (x^{(2n-1)}(t))^{\alpha-1}} \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is the quotient of positive odd integers, $(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^{\alpha-1} > 0$, we obtain

$$\left(x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \right)' < 0.$$

Hence

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right)$$

is decreasing on $(t_j, t_{j+1}]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+1}) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \\ \leq x^{(2n-1)}(t_j^+) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_j} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \\ \leq a_j^{(2n-1)} \beta < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+2}) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_{j+2}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \leq a_{j+1}^{(2n-1)} a_j^{(2n-1)} \beta.$$

By induction, we obtain

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+n}) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_{j+n}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{j+k}^{(2n-1)} \beta,$$

while for $t \in (t_{j+n}, t_{j+n+1}]$, we have

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) \leq \prod_{t_j \leq t_k < t} a_k^{(2n-1)} \beta \exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) < 0.$$

Also by Lemma 3, $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ for large t . For convenience, we may assume that $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_j$. By condition (H2) we have $x^{(2n-2)}(t_k^+) \leq b_k^{(2n-2)} x^{(2n-2)}(t_k), k = j + 1, j + 2, \dots$. From Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-2)}(t) \leq \prod_{t_j < t_k < t} b_k^{(2n-2)} \left[x^{(2n-2)}(t_j^+) - \beta \int_{t_j}^t \prod_{t_j < t_k < t} \frac{a_k^{(2n-1)}}{b_k^{(2n-2)}} \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \left(- \int_{t_j}^t \frac{r'(v) + p(v)}{\alpha r(v)} dv \right) ds \right] \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0(t \geq t_j)$, one can find that above inequality contradicts condition (H4) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $x^{(2n-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$. So, we have $x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0$ for all sufficiently large t . Without loss of generality, let $x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. So $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ is monotonically nondecreasing on

$(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. If for any t_k , $x^{(2n-2)}(t_k) < 0$, then $x^{(2n-2)}(t) < 0 (t \geq t_0)$. If there exists some t_j such that $x^{(2n-2)}(t_j) \geq 0$, by that $x^{(2n-2)}(t)$ is monotonically increasing and $a_k^{(2n-2)} > 0, b_k^{(2n-2)} > 0$, we get $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ for $t > t_j$. So there exists some $T_1 \geq T$, such that one of the following statements hold

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0, \quad x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1 \tag{2.4}$$

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0, \quad x^{(2n-2)}(t) < 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1. \tag{2.5}$$

When (2.4) holds, Lemma 2 yields that $x^{(2n-3)}(t) > 0$ for all sufficiently large t . Using Lemma 1 repeatedly, for all sufficiently large t , we can conclude that

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0, \quad x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0, \dots, x'(t) > 0, \quad x(t) > 0.$$

When (2.5) holds, by Lemma 3, we have $x^{(2n-3)}(t) > 0$, for all sufficiently large t . Hence, there exists some $T_2 \geq T_1$ such that

$$x^{(2n-3)}(t) > 0, \quad x^{(2n-4)}(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq T_2$$

$$x^{(2n-3)}(t) > 0, \quad x^{(2n-4)}(t) < 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq T_2$$

Repeating the discussion above, we obtain that there exist some $T' \geq T$ and $l \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1\}$, such that for $t \geq T'$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l; \\ (-1)^{i-1} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l + 1, l + 2, \dots, 2n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4 is complete. □

Lemma 5. *Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) and conditions (H1)-(H3), (H5) be satisfied. Suppose $T \geq t_0, x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq T$. Then there exist some $T' \geq T$ and $l \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ such that for $t \geq T'$,*

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l; \\ (-1)^{i-1} x^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l + 1, \dots, 2n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. We first prove that $x^{(2n-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$. If not, then there exists some j such that $t_j \geq T, x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) < 0$. So, $x^{(2n-1)}(t_j^+) = I_j^{(2n-1)}(x^{(2n-1)}(t_j)) \leq a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) < 0$. From (1.1), it is clear that

$$\left(x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds \right) \right)'$$

$$= -\frac{f(t, x(t - \delta))}{\alpha r(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^{\alpha-1}} \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right).$$

Since α is the quotient of positive odd integers, $(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^{\alpha-1} > 0$, we obtain

$$\left(x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right)\right)' < 0.$$

Hence $x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s)+p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right)$ is decreasing on $(t_j, t_{j+1}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+1}) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) \\ \leq x^{(2n-1)}(t_j^+) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_j} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) \end{aligned}$$

i. e. $x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+1}) \leq a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{r'(s)+p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right)$.

Similarly,

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+2}) \leq a_{j+1}^{(2n-1)} a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+2}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right).$$

By induction, we obtain

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+n}) \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{j+k}^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+n}} \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right)$$

Since $x^{(2n-1)}(t) \exp\left(\int_{t_j}^t \frac{r'(s)+p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right)$ is decreasing in $(t_j, t_{j+i}]$, we have, for $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$,

$$x^{(2n-1)}(t) \leq a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^t \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) < 0,$$

Integrating the above inequality from s to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-2)}(t) \leq x^{(2n-2)}(s) + a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \\ \times \int_s^t \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t \rightarrow t_{j+1}$, $s \rightarrow t_j^+$, we have

$$x^{(2n-2)}(t_{j+1}) \leq x^{(2n-2)}(t_j^+) + a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \times \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du.$$

Since $x^{(2n-1)}(t) < 0$ then by Lemma 3, $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ for large t . For convenience, we may assume that $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_j$. By condition (H2) we have $x^{(2n-2)}(t_k^+) \leq b_k^{(2n-2)} x^{(2n-2)}(t_k), k = j + 1, j + 2, \dots$. Therefore

$$x^{(2n-2)}(t_{j+1}) \leq b_j^{(2n-2)} x^{(2n-2)}(t_j) + a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \times \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du.$$

and $x^{(2n-2)}(t_{j+2})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq x^{(2n-2)}(t_{j+1}^+) + a_{j+1}^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_{j+1}) \times \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+2}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_{j+1}}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du \\ &\leq b_{j+1}^{(2n-2)} b_j^{(2n-2)} x^{(2n-2)}(t_j) \\ &+ b_{j+1}^{(2n-2)} a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du \\ &+ a_{j+1}^{(2n-1)} a_j^{(2n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t_j) \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+2}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-2)}(t_{j+n}) &\leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{j+k}^{(2n-2)} x^{(2n-2)}(t_j) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=m}^{n-1} \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} b_{j+k}^{(2n-2)} a_{j+l}^{(2n-1)} \right. \\ &\times \int_{t_{j+m-1}}^{t_{j+m}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{j+k}^{(2n-2)} \\ &\left. \times \int_{t_{j+n-1}}^{t_{j+n}} \exp\left(-\int_{t_j}^u \frac{r'(s) + p(s)}{\alpha r(s)} ds\right) du \right] x^{(2n-1)}(t_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^{(2n-2)}(t) > 0 (t \geq t_j)$, one can find that above inequality contradicts condition (H5) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $x^{(2n-1)}(t_k) > 0$ for any $t_k \geq T$ and $x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$. Remaining proof is the same as that of in Lemma 4. The proof of Lemma 5 is complete. □

Using Lemma 4, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 6. *If the conditions (H1)-(H4) and (H6) are satisfied, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1)- (1.2) satisfies $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2), and suppose by contradiction that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| > 0$. So $x(t)$ is nonoscillatory. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t) > 0$ on $(t_0, +\infty)$. By Lemma 4 and (1.1), there exists $T' \geq t_0$ such that , for $t \geq T'$, we have $x^{(2n-1)}(t) > 0, x'(t) > 0, x(t) > 0$. We use a Riccati transformation of the form

$$V(t) = \frac{r(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha}{g(x(t-\delta))}. \tag{2.7}$$

Differentiating $V(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{-p(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha - f(t, x(t-\delta))}{g(x(t-\delta))} - \frac{x'(t-\delta)g'(x(t-\delta))}{r(t)(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^\alpha} V^2(t) \\ &\leq -p(t) \frac{V(t)}{r(t)} - q(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7) and (H1), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} V(t_k^+) &= \frac{r(t_k^+)(x^{(2n-1)}(t_k^+))^\alpha}{g(x(t_k^+ - \delta))} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{r(t_k)(x^{(2n-1)}(t_k))^\alpha (b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha}{g(x(t_k - \delta))} = (b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha V(t_k) \\ \frac{r(t_k)(x^{(2n-1)}(t_k))^\alpha (b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha}{g(x(t_j^+))} \leq \frac{(b_k^{(2n-1)})^\alpha}{a_j^{(0)}} V(t_k) \end{cases} \\ &= c_k V(t_k) \end{aligned}$$

where c_k 's are defined in (H6). Applying Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &\leq V(t_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} c_k \exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^t \frac{p(s)}{r(s)} ds \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} c_k \exp \left(- \int_s^t \frac{p(\sigma)}{r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) q(s) ds \\ &= \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} c_k \exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^t \frac{p(s)}{r(s)} ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[V(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{1}{c_k} \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^s \frac{p(\sigma)}{r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) q(s) ds \right].$$

By (H6), the above inequality is impossible. The proof is complete. □

Using Lemma 5, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 7. *If the conditions (H1)-(H3) and (H5)-(H6) are satisfied, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1)- (1.2) satisfies $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. □

3. Example

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(t(x^{(2n-1)}(t))^3 \right)' - (x^{(2n-1)}(t))^3 + \frac{1}{t^2} x(t - \frac{1}{3}) = 0, \quad t \neq k, t \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ & x^{(i)}(k^+) = \frac{k}{k+1} x^{(i)}(k), \quad x(k^+) = x(k), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (2n-1), \quad k \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (1.1), (1.2), we see that $r(t) = t, \alpha = 3, p(t) = -1, q(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}, \delta = \frac{1}{3}, t_0 = \frac{1}{2}, t_k = k, t_{k+1} - t_k > \frac{1}{3}, a_k^{(0)} = b_k^{(0)} = 1, a_k^{(i)} = b_k^{(i)} = \frac{k}{k+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)$. It is obvious that the conditions (H1) and (H2) are satisfied.

When $i = 1, a_k^{(1)} = \frac{k}{k+1}, b_k^{(0)} = 1$ and when $i > 1, a_k^{(i)} = b_k^{(i-1)} = \frac{k}{k+1}$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(1)}}{b_k^{(0)}} ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{k}{k+1} ds = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(i)}}{b_k^{(i-1)}} ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} (1) ds = \frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + \dots = \infty.$$

From the above (H3) holds.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(2n-1)}}{b_k^{(2n-2)}} \left[\exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^s \frac{r'(\sigma) + p(\sigma)}{\alpha r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) \right] ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t ds = \infty.$$

This shows that (H4) holds.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{1}{c_k} \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^s \frac{p(\sigma)}{r(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) q(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} (b_k^{(2n-1)})^{-3} \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^s \frac{-1}{\sigma} d\sigma\right) \frac{1}{s^2} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^3 \frac{1}{s^3} ds = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So, (H6) holds. Clearly, all the conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. Therefore, every solution $x(t)$ satisfies $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Professor E. Thandapani, RIASM, Chennai, for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore (1989).
- [2] D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov, *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications*, Longman, Harlow (1993).
- [3] W. Xiu-Li, C. S. Yang, H. Ji, Oscillation of a class of second order nonlinear ODE with impulses, *Appl. Math. and Comput.* , **138** (2003), 181-188.
- [4] C. Zhang, W. Feng, Oscillation for Higher order nonlinear ordinary differential equations with impulses, *Electronic J. of Diff. Eqn.* , **18** (2006), 1-12.
- [5] C. Zhang, W. Feng, Oscillation of Higher order functional differential equations with impulses, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, **13** (2006), 585-598.
- [6] C. Shang, W. Feng, F. Yang, Oscillation of Higher order nonlinear functional differential equations with impulses, *Appl. Math. and Comput.* , **190** (2007), 370-381.
- [7] J. Jiao, L. Chen, L. Li, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second-order nonlinear impulsive differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* , **337** (2008), 458-463.

- [8] X. Tang, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second-order nonlinear delay differential equations with impulses, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* , **233** (2010), 2105-2111.
- [9] Haifeng Liu, Qiaoluan Li, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second-order impulsive differential equations, *Electronic J. of Diff. eqn.* , **33** (2011), 1-7.