

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR MAPS IN CONE METRIC SPACES

M. Rangamma¹, K. Prudhvi² §

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Osmania University

Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper, we prove existence of coincidence points and a common fixed point theorem for four maps in cone metric spaces. Our result generalizes and extends some recent results.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words: cone metric space, common fixed point, coincidence point

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2007 Huang and Zhang [7] have generalized the concept of a metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space and obtained some fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying different contractive conditions. Subsequently, Abbas and Jungck [1] and Abbas and Rhoades [2] have studied common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces (see also [7], [8] and the references mentioned therein). Recently, Guangxing Song et al [6] have obtained coincidence points and common fixed point theorems for two mappings in cone metric spaces. In this paper we generalize and extend the Theorem 2.1 of Guangxing Song et al [6] for four self-mappings.

Received: May 25, 2012

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

In all that follows, E is a real Banach space. For the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$, let $C(f, g)$ denotes set of coincidence points of f, g that is $C(f, g) := \{z \in X : fz = gz\}$.

We recall some definitions of cone metric spaces and some of their properties [7].

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach space and P be a subset of E . The set P is called a cone if and only if:

- (a) P is closed, nonempty and $P \neq \{0\}$;
- (b) $a, b \in R, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \implies ax + by \in P$;
- (c) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \implies x = 0$.

Definition 1.2. Let P be a cone in a Banach space E define partial ordering \leq with respect to p by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write $x < y$ to indicate $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$ while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{int}P$, where $\text{int}P$ denotes the interior of the set P . This Cone P is called an order cone.

Definition 1.3. Let E be a Banach Space and $P \subset E$ be an order cone. The order cone P is called normal if there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$0 \leq x \leq y \quad \text{implies} \quad \|x\| \leq K \|y\|.$$

The least positive number K satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set of E . Suppose that the map $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

- (d1) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (d2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (d3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space. It is obvious that the cone metric spaces generalize metric spaces.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ is:

(i) a Cauchy sequence if for every c in E with $0 \ll c$, there is N such that for all $n, m > N$, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$;

(ii) a convergent sequence if for any $0 \ll c$, there is an N such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$, for some fixed x in X . We denote this $x_n \rightarrow x$

($n \rightarrow \infty$). A cone metric space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Definition 1.6. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$. Then the pair (f, g) is said to be (IT)-Commuting at $z \in X$ if $f(g(z)) = g(f(z))$ with $f(z) = g(z)$.

2. Common Fixed Point Theorem

In this section we obtain existence of coincidence points and a common fixed point Theorem for four self-mappings defined on a cone metric space.

The following theorem extends and improves Theorem 2.1 in [6].

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be constants with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Suppose that the mappings S, T, f and g are four self-maps on X such that $S(X) = T(X)$ and $T(X) \subset f(X), S(X) \subset g(X)$ and satisfy the condition

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq a_1d(fx, gy) + a_2d(Sx, fx) + a_3d(Ty, gy) + a_4d(fx, Ty) + a_5d(Sx, gy) \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . Then the maps (S, f) and (T, g) have a coincidence point in X . Moreover if (S, f) and (T, g) are (IT)-Commuting at p , then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose x_0 is an arbitrary point of X , and define the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = gx_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = fx_{2n+2},$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By the equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a_1d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) + a_2d(Sx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + a_3d(Tx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + a_5d(Sx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a_1d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_2d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fx_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + a_5d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq a_1d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_2d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_4[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_5d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4)d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + (a_3 + a_4)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}).$$

Which implies that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)}{1 - (a_3 + a_4)}d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}),$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \delta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}),$$

where $\delta = \frac{(a_1+a_2+a_4)}{1-(a_3+a_4)} < 1$. Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Similarly, it can be shown that $d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \delta d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$.

Therefore, for all n

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \delta d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq \delta^{n+1}d(y_0, y_1).$$

Now, for any $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m). \\ &\leq [\delta^n + \delta^{n+1} + \dots + \delta^{m-1}]d(y_1, y_0) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta^n}{1 - \delta}d(y_1, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

From definition (1.3), we have

$$\| d(y_n, y_m) \| \leq \frac{\delta^n}{1 - \delta}K \| d(y_1, y_0) \| .$$

Which implies that $d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $T(X)$ which is complete there exists $z \in T(X)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow z$. Since $T(X) \subset f(X)$, then there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $z = fu$. Let us prove that $z = Su$. Then by the triangle inequality and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su, z) &\leq d(Su, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, z) \\ &\leq a_1d(fu, gx_{2n-1}) + a_2d(Su, fu) + a_3d(Tx_{2n-1}, gx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fu, Tx_{2n-1}) + a_5d(Su, gx_{2n-1}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, z). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| d(Su, z) \| &\leq K(a_1 \| d(fu, gx_{2n-1}) \| + a_2 \| d(Su, fu) \| \\ &\quad + a_3 \| d(Tx_{2n-1}, gx_{2n-1}) \| \\ &\quad + a_4 \| d(fu, Tx_{2n-1}) \| + a_5 \| d(Su, gx_{2n-1}) \| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \| d(Tx_{2n-1}, z) \|).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su, z) &\leq a_1d(z, z) + a_2d(Su, z) + a_3d(z, z) \\ &\quad + a_4d(z, z) + a_5d(Su, z) + d(z, z) \\ &\leq (a_2 + a_3)d(Su, z), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Implies $Su = z$.

Therefore,

$$z = Su = fu, \quad u \text{ is a coincidence point of } \{S, f\}. \tag{2}$$

Since, $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$ there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $z = gv$. We shall show that $Tv = z$. Then by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tv) &= d(Su, Tv) \\ &\leq a_1d(fu, gv) + a_2d(Su, fu) + a_3d(Tv, gv) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fu, Tv) + a_5d(Su, gv) \\ &\leq a_1d(z, z) + a_2d(z, z) + a_3d(Tv, z) \\ &\quad + a_4d(z, Tv) + a_5d(z, z) \\ &\leq (a_3 + a_4)d(z, Tv), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Implies $z = Tv$.

Therefore,

$$z = Tv = gv, \quad v \text{ is a coincidence point of } \{T, g\}. \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3) it follows

$$Su = fu = Tv = gv (= z). \tag{4}$$

Since, $(S, f), (T, g)$ are (IT) -commuting

$$\begin{aligned} d(SSu, Su) &= d(SSu, fu) \\ &= d(SSu, Tv) \\ &\leq a_1d(fSu, gv) + a_2d(SSu, fSu) + a_3d(Tv, gv) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fSu, Tv) + a_5d(SSu, gv) \\ &= a_1d(Sfu, gv) + a_2d(SSu, Sfu) + a_3d(Tv, gv) \\ &\quad + a_4d(Sfu, Tv) + a_5d(SSu, gv) \end{aligned}$$

$$=a_1d(SSu, Su) + a_2d(SSu, SSu) + a_3d(z, z) + a_4d(SSu, Su) + a_5d(SSu, Su),$$

$$d(SSu, Su) = (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) < d(SSu, Su).$$

Contradiction, since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Implies $SSu = Su(= z)$, $Su = SSu = Sfu = fSu$ (since $C(S, f)$ is (IT)-commuting), $SSu = fSu = Su(= z)$.

Therefore

$$Su = z, \text{ is a common fixed point of } S \text{ and } f. \tag{5}$$

Similarly

$$Tv = TTv = Tgv = gTv \Rightarrow TTv = gTv = Tv(z). \tag{6}$$

Therefore,

$$Tv(= z) \text{ is a common fixed point of } T \text{ and } g. \tag{7}$$

In view of (5) and (6) it follows that S, T, f and g have a common fixed point namely z . uniqueness, let z_1 be another common fixed point of S, T, f and g . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z_1) &= d(Sz, Tz_1) \\ &\leq a_1d(fz, gz_1) + a_2d(Sz, fz) + a_3d(Tz_1, gz_1) \\ &\quad + a_4d(fz, Tz_1) + a_5d(Sz, gz_1) \\ &\leq a_1d(z, z_1) + a_2d(z, z) + a_3d(z_1, z_1) \\ &\quad + a_4d(z, z_1) + a_5d(z, z_1) \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5)d(z, z) < d(z, z) \end{aligned}$$

(since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$), which is a contradiction. Hence $z = z_1$ and moreover S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be constants with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Suppose that the mappings S, T and f are three self-maps on X such that $S(X) = T(X)$ and $T(X) \subset f(X), S(X) \subset f(X)$ and satisfy the condition*

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq a_1d(fx, fy) + a_2d(Sx, fx) + a_3d(Ty, fy) + a_4d(fx, Ty) + a_5d(Sx, fy) \tag{8}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . Then the maps (S, f) and (T, f) have a coincidence point in X . Moreover if (S, f) and (T, g) are (IT) -Commuting, then S, T and f have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. We easily complete the proof if we put $f = g$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for careful reviewing of the article.

References

- [1] M. Abbas, G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **341** (2008), 416-420.
- [2] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric Spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **22** (2009), 511-515.
- [3] I. Beg, M. Abbas, Coincidence point and invariant approximation for mappings satisfying generalized weak contractive condition, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2006), 1-7, Article ID 74503.
- [4] V. Berinde, A common fixed point theorem for compactible quasi contractive self mappings in metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **213** (2009), 348-354.
- [5] B. Fisher, Four mappings with a common fixed point, *J. Univ. Kuwait Sci.* (1981), 131-139.
- [6] Guang Xing Song, X. Huang, Xiaoyan Sun, Yian Zhao, Guotao Wang, New common fixed point theorems for maps on cone metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **23** (2010), 1033-1037.
- [7] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **332**, No. 2 (2007), 1468-1476.
- [8] S. Rezapour, Halbarani, Some notes on the paper "cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **345** (2008), 719-724.

