

## SMALL SETS AND POSTULATION ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on a weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ . Here we classify the sets  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  with  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r), \mathcal{I}_S \otimes \mathcal{L}) > 0$  and small cardinality.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14M25, 14N05

**Key Words:** weighted projective spaces

### 1. Introduction

Fix positive integers  $r, q_i, 0 \leq i \leq r$ . We assume  $0 < q_0 \leq q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_r$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  denote the weighted projective space with weights  $q_0, \dots, q_r$  (see [5], [6], [1]). We work over an algebraically closed base field  $\mathbb{K}$ . We always assume that either  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or that no  $q_i$  is divided by  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ . This assumption is essential to see  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  as a quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^r$  by the action of a finite abelian group with order not divided by  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$  and hence to use many foundational results lifted from (see [6], [1]). In Theorem 1 we assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ , because it uses [7], Proposition 1.27.

From now on we assume that the weights  $(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  are reduced, i.e. no prime divides  $r$  of them. Every weighted projective space is isomorphic (as an

algebraic variety) to a weighted projective space with reduced weights (see [5], Proposition 1.3, [6] §1.3, [1], Proposition 3C.5). With this assumption for each  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a unique rank 1 reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(y)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $t = y$ . Let  $m$  be the minimal common multiple of the integers  $q_0, \dots, q_r$ . Each rank 1 reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)$  for a unique  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see [1], Theorem 7.1 (a), (b)). The sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)$  is locally free (i.e. it is a line bundle) if and only if  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  (see [1], Theorem 7.1 (c)). The line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(m)$  is ample (see [5], Proposition 2.3 (a)). We fix weighted homogeneous coordinates  $x_0, \dots, x_r$  on  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  with weight  $w(x_i) = q_i$  for all  $i$ . For each integer  $t \geq 0$  let  $S_t$  denote the set of all  $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  which are weighted homogeneous of weight degree  $t$ . Set  $S_t := \{0\}$  if  $t < 0$ . For each  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)) \cong S_t$ ,  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)) = 0$  if  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(t)) \cong S_{-t-q_0-\dots-q_r}$  (see [5], §3, [6], §1.4). Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(m)$  is spanned. This line bundle is very ample, at least if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  (see [7], Proposition 1.27). Let  $\phi_m : \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $N := h^0(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r), \mathcal{I}_S(m)) - 1$ , denote the embedding induced by the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{I}_S(m)|$ . Let  $\alpha(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ , denote the minimal integer  $t$  such that  $\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  is set-theoretically cut out by hypersurfaces of degree  $\leq t$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ . Fix an integer  $t \geq \alpha(q_0, \dots, q_r) - 1$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  be a zero-dimensional subscheme such that  $\text{deg}(Z) \leq 2t + 1$ .*

*We have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r), \mathcal{I}_S(tm)) > 0$  if and only if there is a curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$  such that  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_C(m)) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(Z \cap C) \geq t + 2$ .*

In the case  $r = 2$  a miracle occurs (under our default assumption that the weights are normalized):  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)}(m)$  is projectively normal (see [1], Remark 3 at page 138) and hence it is very ample. Since the weights are normalized, we have  $(q_i, q_j) = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Hence  $m = q_0q_1q_2$ . If  $(q_0, q_1, q_2) \neq (1, 1, 1)$ , then  $q_2 > q_1$  and either  $q_1 > q_0$  or  $q_0 = q_0 = 1$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume  $r = 2$  and  $q_0 > 1$ . Fix an integer  $t \geq 1$  and a finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)$ . Set  $E := \{x_0 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)$ .*

(a) *Assume  $t \geq 2$  and  $\sharp(S) \leq tq_1 + 1$ . We have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_S(tm)) > 0$  if and only if  $\sharp(S \cap E) \geq tq_0 + 2$ .*

(b) *Assume  $t = 1$ .*

(ii) *Assume  $q_1 = 2$  and  $\sharp(S) < 9$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(m)) > 0$  if and only if  $\sharp(S \cap E) \geq 6$ .*

(iii) *Assume  $q_1 > 2$  and  $\sharp(S) < 9$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(m)) = 0$ .*

See Proposition 1 and 2 for the case  $q_0 = 1$ .

### 2. The Proofs

**Remark 1.** Fix any projective scheme  $W$ , any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $W$  and zero-dimensional schemes  $Z' \subset Z \subset W$ . If  $h^1(W, \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes \mathcal{L}) > 0$ , then  $h^1(W, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}) > 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $q_0 > 1$  and  $(q_i, q_j) = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$ , we have  $q_2 > q_1 > q_0$ . We have  $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ , because any weighted projective line is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as an abstract variety. Let  $S_{tm}|_E$  denote the restriction of  $S_{tm}$  to  $E$ . Since  $(q_0, q_1) = 1$ , we may identify  $S_{tm}|_E$  with the set of all weight homogeneous  $g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$  with weight  $tm = tq_0q_1q_2$ . Take an exponent  $(i_1, i_2)$  with weight  $tq_0q_1q_2$ , i.e. with  $i_1q_1 + i_2q_2 = tq_0q_1q_2$ . Since  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ , there are  $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i_1 = j_1q_2$ ,  $i_2 = j_2q_1$  and  $j_1 + j_2 = tq_0$ . Hence  $\dim(S_{tm}|_E) = tq_0 + 1$ . Since  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_E(tm)) = 0$ , we also get that  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_{S \cap E}(tm)) > 0$  if and only if  $\sharp(S \cap E) \geq tq_0 + 2$ . Now assume  $k := \sharp(S \cap E) \leq tq_0 + 1$ . Set  $F := \{x_1 = 0\}$ . As above we see that for any finite set  $A \subset F$  we have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_A(tm)) = 0$  if and only if  $\sharp(A) \geq tq_1 + 2$ .

(a) For any  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  set  $C_a := \{x_1^{q_0} + ax_0^{q_1} = 0\}$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $C_a$  is an effective Weil divisor with weighted degree  $q_0q_1$ .  $C_0$  is just  $F$  counted with multiplicity  $q_1$ . We have  $C_a \cap C_b = (0, 0, 1)$  for all  $a \neq b$ . The curve  $C_a$  is not a Cartier divisor of  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)$ , but for all, except at most the first one, we look at sets  $S_i \cap C_a$  contained in the subset of  $C_a$  in which it is a Cartier divisor. For each  $a \neq 0$  let  $\rho_{C_a, tm} : S_{tm} \rightarrow H^0(C_a, \mathcal{O}_{C_a}(tm))$  denote the restriction map. The vector space  $\text{Im}(\rho_{C_a, tm})$  contains the set  $\Delta$  of all weighted homogeneous  $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_2]$  with weight  $tm$ . Take  $(i_0, i_2) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i_0q_0 + i_2q_2 = tq_0q_1q_2$ . Since  $(q_0, q_2) = 1$ , there are integers  $j_0, j_2$  such that  $i_0 = j_0q_2$ ,  $i_2 = j_2q_0$  and  $j_0 + j_2 = tq_1$ . Hence  $\Delta$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of dimension  $tq_1 + 1$ . For any  $a \neq 0$  let  $u_a : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C_a$  denote the normalization map. and  $v_a : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)$  the composition of  $u_a$  with the inclusion  $C_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)$ . For any integer  $c$  let  $\mathcal{L}_a(c)$  denote the quotient of  $v_a^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2)}(cq_0q_1))$  by its torsion (the torsion is zero if  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$ ). We have  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{L}_a(c)) = c + 1$  and  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c))$  is spanned by  $v_a^*(H^0(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, 1, q_2)}(cq_0q_1q_2)))$ . Hence for any  $A \subset C_a$  such that  $\sharp(A) \leq c + 1$  we have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_A(cq_0q_1)) = 0$ . Notice that each  $P \in \mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2) \setminus (E \cup F)$  is contained in a unique curve  $C_a$ ,  $a \neq 0$ .

(b) In this step we assume  $t \geq 2$ . Since  $\sharp(S \cap F) \leq \sharp(S) \leq tq_1 + 1$ , we saw that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{F \cap S}(tq_0q_1q_2)) = 0$ . Set  $S_0 := S \setminus S \cap (E \cup F)$  and  $k'' := \sharp(S \cap (E \cup F))$ .

Fix  $a_1 \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $b_1 := \sharp(S_0 \cap C_{a_1})$  is maximal. Set  $S_1 := S \setminus S \cap C_{a_1}$ . For each integer  $i \geq 2$  define recursively  $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ , the integer  $b_i$  and the set  $S_i$  in the following way. Fix any  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $b_i := \sharp(C_{a_i} \cap S_{i-1})$  is maximal and set  $S_i := S_{i-1} \setminus C_{a_i} \cap S_{i-1}$ . Notice that the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  is non-decreasing and that  $b_i = 0$  if and only if  $S_{i-1} = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $S_0 \subset \cup_{h=1}^{i-1} C_h$ . Let  $e$  be the last integer such that  $b_e > 0$ . We have  $e \leq \sharp(S) - k''$ . In particular we have  $e \leq tq_1 + 1$ .

**Claim 1.** *We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S_{i-1} \cap C_{a_i}}((t-1)q_2 + 1 - i)q_0q_1)) = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, e\}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 1.* By assumption  $a_i \neq 0$ . By step (a) it is sufficient to prove that  $b_i \leq (t-1)q_2 + 1 - i$  for all  $i$ . Assume that this is not true at take the first integer  $j \in \{1, \dots, e\}$  such that  $b_j \geq (t-1)q_2 + 2 - j$ . Since the sequence  $\{b_i\}$  is non-decreasing, we get  $\sharp(S_0) \geq j(2 + (t-1)q_2 + 2 - j) \geq (rt - 1)tq_2 + 2$ . Since  $t \geq 2$  and  $q_2 \geq 2q_1$ , we get a contradiction, concluding the proof of Claim 1.

First assume  $(t-1)q_2 - e \leq k'' - 2$ . Since  $b_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, e\}$ , we get  $\sharp(S) \geq t(t-1)q_2 + 2 > tq_1 + 1$ , a contradiction.

Now assume  $(t-1)q_2 - e \geq k'' - 1$ . We apply  $e$  times Claim 1, first with  $i = 1$ , then with  $i = 2$ , and so on. Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{F \cap S}(tq_0q_1q_2)) = 0$ , step (a) gives  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_S((tq_0q_1q_2)) \leq h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_{S \cap E}(((t-1)q_2 - e)q_1q_2))$ . Since  $\sharp(S \cap E) \leq k''$  and we assumed  $k'' \leq (t-1)q_2 - e$ , we may use again step (a) and get  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_S(tq_0q_1q_2)) = 0$ .

(c) Now assume  $t = 1$  and  $q_1 > q_0$ . The curve  $\phi_m(\{x_0 = 0\})$  is an irreducible curve of degree  $q_0$ , while all other irreducible curves of  $\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, q_1, q_2))$  have degree  $\geq q_1 > q_0$ . Apply [4], Theorem 3.8. □

Now we look at the case  $r = 2$  and  $q_0 = 1$ . Since  $(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,1)}(m)) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ , it is sufficient to study the case  $q_2 > 1$ .

**Remark 2.** Fix an integer  $q_2 \geq 2$ . We take  $r = 2$  and  $q_0 = q_1 = 1$ . Hence  $m = q_2$ . We have  $h^0(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, q_2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,q_2)}(q_2)) = q_2 + 2$ . Let  $\phi_{q_2} : \mathbb{P}(1, 1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  denote the morphism associated to the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,q_2)}(q_2)|$ . Call  $T_{q_2}$  its image. The normal surface  $T_{q_2}$  is a cone with vertex  $O = \phi_{q_2}((0, 0, 1))$  and with bases a rational normal curve of  $\mathbb{P}^{q_2}$ . The variety  $T_{q_2}$  is projectively normal and scheme-theoretically cut out by quadrics.

**Proposition 1.** *Fix integers  $q_2 \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 1$ . We take  $q_0 = q_1 = 1$  and take the set-up of Remark 2. Hence we identify  $\mathcal{O}_{T_{q_2}}(t)$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,q_2)}(tq_2)$ . Let  $S \subset T_{q_2}$  be a finite set such that  $\sharp(S) \leq 3t$ . If  $\sharp(S) \geq 2t + 2$ , then assume  $t \geq 3$ . We have  $h^1(T_{q_2}, \mathcal{I}_S(t)) > h^1(T_{q_2}, \mathcal{I}_{S'}(t))$  for each  $S' \subsetneq S$  if and only if  $S$  and  $q_2$  are as follows:*

- (i)  $\sharp(S) = t + 2$  and  $S$  is contained in a line through  $O$ ;
- (ii)  $\sharp(S) = 2t + 2$ ,  $O \notin S$  and there are two lines  $L_1, L_2 \subset T_{q_2}$  through  $O$  such that  $\sharp(S \cap L_1) = \sharp(S \cap L_2) = t + 1$ ;
- (iii)  $q_2 = 2$ ,  $\sharp(S) = 2t + 2$  and  $S$  is contained in a smooth hyperplane section of the quadric cone  $T_2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_{q_2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  is projectively normal, for any finite set  $A \subset T_{q_2}$  we have  $h^1(T_{q_2}, \mathcal{I}_A(t)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}, \mathcal{I}_A(t))$ . Since  $T_{q_2}$  is cut out by quadrics, if  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  is a line and  $\sharp(L \cap T_{q_2}) \geq 3$ , then  $L \subset T_{q_2}$ . Since  $T_{q_2}$  is cut out by quadrics, if  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  is a smooth conic and  $\sharp(L \cap T_{q_2}) \geq 5$ , then  $L \subset T_{q_2}$ . Since  $T_{q_2}$  is cut out by quadrics, but it is not a plane, it contains no plane cubic. Moreover, if  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  is an irreducible plane cubic we have  $\sharp(L \cap T_{q_2}) \leq 6$ . Now take a reduced but not irreducible cubic plane curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{q_2+1}$  and take an irreducible component  $T$  of  $C$ ; we just saw that if  $\deg(T \cap L_{q_2}) \geq 2 \cdot \deg(T) + 1$ , then  $T \subset T_{q_2}$ . Hence Proposition 1 follows from [4], Theorem 3.8, after identifying the lines, the reduced conics of  $T_{q_2}$  (in the case  $\sharp(S) = 3t$  and  $S$  contained in a plane cubic  $C$ , we use the assumption  $t \geq 3$ , because we need  $\sharp(S \cap C) > 6$ ).  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Take  $q_0 = 1$  and  $q_2 > q_1 > 1$ ,  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ . Hence  $m = q_1q_2$ . Set  $T_{q_1, q_2} := \phi_m(\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2))$ . The singular variety  $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)$  is a compactification of  $\mathbb{A}^2$  adding a curve  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(q_1, q_2) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  (see [1], Example 3 at page 124). In this case  $E$  is a generator of the class group of  $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)$  and  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)}(q_1q_2)|E) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_1, q_2)}(q_1q_2)) = 1$ . For any other irreducible curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)$  we have  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)}(q_1q_2)|C) \geq q_1$ . Hence  $E$  is the only line of  $T_{q_1, q_2}$  and  $T_{q_1, q_2}$  contains no other curve of degree  $< q_1$ .

**Proposition 2.** Take  $q_0 = 1$  and  $q_2 > q_1 > 1$ ,  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ . Take  $T_{q_1, q_2}$  as in Remark 3. Hence  $m = q_1q_2$ . We identify  $\mathcal{O}_{T_{q_1, q_2}}(t)$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)}(tq_1q_2)$ . Let  $S \subset T_{q_0, q_1}$  be finite set such that  $\sharp(S) \leq 2t + 1$ . If  $\sharp(S) \geq 2t + 1$ , then assume  $q_1 \neq 2$ . We have  $h^1(T_{q_0}, \mathcal{I}_S(t)) > 0$  if and only if  $\sharp(S \cap E) \geq t + 2$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $T_{q_1, q_2}$  is projectively normal. Hence

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}(q_1, q_2), \mathcal{I}_S(tm)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(S)}(t)).$$

Since  $\sharp(S) < 3t$ , we have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(S)}(t)) > 0$  if and only if there is a line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  such that  $\sharp(L \cap \phi_m(S)) \geq t + 2$ . The singular variety is a compactification of  $\mathbb{A}^2$  adding a curve  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(q_1, q_2) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  (see [1], Example 3 at page 124). This curve is embedded in  $T_{q_0, q_1}$  as a line, because  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2)}(q_1q_2)|E) =$

1. Hence if  $\#(S \cap E) \geq t + 2$ , then  $h^1(T_{q_0}, \mathcal{I}_S(t)) > 0$ . To get the converse it is sufficient to check that if  $A \subset T_{q_1, q_2}$ ,  $\#(A) = 3$  and  $A$  spans a line  $L$ , then  $L = \phi_m(E)$ , i.e. that if  $B \subset \mathbb{P}(1, q_{1,2})$ ,  $\#(B) = 3$  either  $B \subset E$  or  $h^1(\mathbb{P}(1, q_{1,2}), \mathcal{I}_B(q_1 q_2)) = 0$ . This is done as in the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We first check the “only if” part.

Since  $h^1(\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)), \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(Z)}(t)) > 0$ , we have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(Z)}(t)) > 0$ . By [3], Lemma 34, there is a line  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  such that  $\deg(D \cap \phi_m(Z)) \geq t + 2$ . Since  $t + 2 > \alpha(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ , we have  $\phi_m(Z) \subset D$ . Now we check the “if” part. It is sufficient to prove  $h^1(\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)), \mathcal{I}_{D \cap \phi_m(Z)}(t)) > 0$  (Remark 1). Let  $\rho : H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(t)) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(t))$  denote the restriction map. Let  $\rho' : H^0(\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)), \mathcal{O}_{\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r))}(t)) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(t))$  denote the restriction map. Since  $\rho$  is surjective,  $\rho'$  is surjective. Since  $\rho'$  is surjective, we have  $h^1(\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)), \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(Z) \cap D}(t)) > 0$  if and only if  $h^1(D, \mathcal{I}_{\phi_m(Z) \cap D}(t)) > 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $\deg(Z \cap D) \geq t + 2$ .  $\square$

**Question 1.** Take  $r > 2$  and  $q_0, \dots, q_r$  normalized. Let

$$\phi_m : \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

denote the embedding associated to the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(m)|$ . Extend the proof of [7], Proposition , to find the maximal degree.

$\deg(L \cap \phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)))$  and the maximal number of points.

$\#(L \cap \phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)))$ , where  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  is a line not contained in  $\phi_m(\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r))$ . Compute the largest integer  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_r)}(tm)$  is  $k$ -spanned and/or  $k$ -very ample in the sense of [2].

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

[1] M. Beltrametti, L. Robbiano, Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces, *Exp. Math.*, **4** (1986), 111-162.  
 [2] M.C. Beltrametti, A.J. Sommese, *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, **16**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (1995).

- [3] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, M. Idà, On the stratification of secant varieties of Veronese varieties via symmetric rank, *J. Symbolic. Comput.*, **46**, No. 1 (2011), 34-53.
- [4] A. Couvreur, The dual minimum distance of arbitrary dimensional algebraic-geometric codes, *J. Algebra*, **350**, No. 1 (2012), 84-107.
- [5] C. Delorme, Espaces projectifs anisotropes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **103** (1975), 203-223.
- [6] I. Dolgachev, Weighted projective varieties, In: *Group Actions and Vector Fields, Proceedings, Vancouver 1981*, 34-71; *Lect. Notes Math.*, **956**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1982).
- [7] M. Rossi, L. Terracini, Weighted projective spaces from the toric point of view with computational applications, *ArXiv*: 1112.1677v2.

