

ON THE GENERATORS OF
THE 2-CLASS GROUP OF THE FIELD $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$

Abdelmalek Azizi¹, Abdelkader Zekhnini² §, Mohammed Taous³

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Mohammed First University

Oujda, MOROCCO

³Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science and Technology

Moulay Ismail University

Errachidia, MOROCCO

Abstract: Let d be a square free integer such that the 2-class group of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$ is of type $(2, 2, 2)$. In this paper we give the generators of the 2-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$.

AMS Subject Classification: 11R37, 11R27, 11R29

Key Words: class group of type $(2,2,2)$, Hilbert class field

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where d is a square free integer, we denote by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ the 2-class group of \mathbb{k} ; let p, p_1 and p_2 (resp. q, q_1 and q_2) be prime integers congruent to 1 (resp. 3) (mod 4). According to [3], $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ is of type $(2, 2, 2)$ if and only if d is one of the following forms:

Received: August 1, 2012

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

- (1) $d = p_1p_2$, where $\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$, $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right) = -1$ with $p_1p_2 = a^2 + b^2$.
- (2) $d = 2p_1p_2$, where $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and at least two elements of $\left\{\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right), \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right), \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)\right\}$ are equal to -1.
- (3) $d = 2pq$, where $p \equiv 1, q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (4) $d = pq_1q_2$, where p, q_1, q_2 satisfy the conditions A and B :
 $A : p \equiv -q_1 \equiv -q_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right) = -\left(\frac{q_2}{q_1}\right) = 1$.
 $B : One of the following three conditions is satisfied:$
- (I) $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{q_2}\right) = -1$.
- (II) $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = -1, \left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{q_2}\right) = -1$.
- (III) $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) \left(\frac{2}{q_2}\right) = -1$.
- (5) $d = p_1p_2q$, where $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, p_1 or $p_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and at least two elements of $\left\{\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right), \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right), \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right)\right\}$ are equal to -1.

In the case where $d = p_1p_2q$, we adopt the following definitions:

- (i) p_1, p_2 and q are called of type I if one of the following conditions holds:
- (a) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (b) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = 1$.
- (c) $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (ii) p_1, p_2 and q are called of type II if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (a) $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (b) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = 1$.
- (c) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (iii) p_1, p_2 and q are called of type III if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (a) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$.

- (b) $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = -1$.
- (c) $\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right) = -1$.

In this paper we are interested to give the generators of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ and our main result it is a deduction from theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4 :

Theorem (Main Result). *Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where d is a square free integer, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ the 2-class group of \mathbb{k} . Suppose $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ is of type $(2, 2, 2)$, then we have:*

- (1) *If d is of the form (1), then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}], [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2] \rangle$, where $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1$ and \mathcal{H}_2 are prime ideals in \mathbb{k} above 2 and p_1 respectively and $h(d)$ the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*
- (2) *If d is of the form (2) or (3), then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_0], [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2] \rangle$, where \mathcal{H}_0 is the prime ideal in \mathbb{k} above 2 and $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are prime ideals in \mathbb{k} above p_1 (resp. p) if d takes the form (2) (resp. (3)).*
- (3) *Assume d is of the form (4), let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$) be prime ideals in \mathbb{k} above p (resp. q_1, q_2), then:*
 - (i) *If p, q_1 and q_2 satisfy B (I) or B (II) and $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = 1$, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{Q}_2] \rangle$.*
 - (ii) *Else, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{Q}_1] \rangle$.*
- (4) *Suppose d is of the form (5), let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4$) be prime ideals in \mathbb{k} above p_1 (resp. p_2), then:*
 - (i) *If p_1, p_2 and q are of type I, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_3], [\mathcal{H}_4] \rangle$.*
 - (ii) *If p_1, p_2 and q are of type II or of type III, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{H}_3] \rangle$.*

2. Generators of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$

First we give some results that will be useful later.

Proposition 1. *Let d be a square free integer, $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, $a + ib$ an element of $\mathbb{Z}(i)$ and \mathcal{H} an ideal of k such that $\mathcal{H}^2 = (a + ib)$. Let $\varepsilon_d = x + y\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. So:*

- (1) *If $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, then \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .*
- (2) *If $a^2 + b^2 = d$, then we have:*

- (a) If the norm of ε_d is 1, then \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .
- (b) If the norm of ε_d is -1, then:
 - (i) If $(ax \pm yd) \pm b$ or $2(-xb \pm yd) \pm a$ is a square in \mathbb{N} , then \mathcal{H} is principal in k .
 - (ii) Else \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .

Proof. Let $a + ib$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and \mathcal{H} an ideal of k such that $\mathcal{H}^2 = (a + ib)$. We suppose that \mathcal{H} is principal, then there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ and a unit ε in \mathbb{k} such that: $\alpha^2 = (a + ib)\varepsilon$ (1). Let ε_d be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, then a fundamental system of units (UFS) of k is $\{\varepsilon_d\}$ or $\{\sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}\}$, in the later case ε_d is of norm 1. It comes down to cases $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm i, \varepsilon_d, i\varepsilon_d\}$ or $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm i, \varepsilon_d, i\varepsilon_d, i\sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}, \sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}\}$.

(1) Suppose that $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, then we have:

- (i) If $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm i, \varepsilon_d, i\varepsilon_d\}$, then by applying the norm $N_{\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ to Equation (1), we find that $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, which is not the case.
- (ii) If $\varepsilon = \sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}$ or $\varepsilon = i\sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}$, then the norm $N_{\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ applied to Equation (1), imply that $\sqrt{i} \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$, which is absurd. So \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .

(2) Suppose that $a^2 + b^2 = d$, then we have:

- (i) If $\varepsilon = 1$, so by putting $\alpha = \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2$, where α_1, α_2 are in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, Equation (1) imply that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 = a, \\ 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 = b; \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_1^4 - 4a\alpha_1^2 - b^2 = 0, \quad (*) \\ \alpha_2 = \frac{b}{2\alpha_1}; \end{cases}$$

and $\Delta' = 4d$, where Δ' is the discriminant of equation $(*)$ for the unknown α_1^2 , thus $\alpha_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(a \pm \sqrt{d})$, therefore equation $(*)$ admits solution if and only if $2(a \pm \sqrt{d})$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, hence there exist s, t in \mathbb{Q} such that $2(a \pm \sqrt{d}) = (s + t\sqrt{d})^2 = s^2 + t^2d + 2st\sqrt{d}$, which is equivalent to: $\begin{cases} s^4 - 2as^2 + d = 0, \\ t = \frac{\pm 1}{t}; \end{cases}$ and $\Delta' = a^2 - d = -b^2$, as $\Delta' < 0$, then $2(a \pm \sqrt{d})$ is not a square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Similar proof if $\varepsilon = -1$.

- (ii) Let $\varepsilon = \pm i$. So equation (1) is solvable if and only if $2(\pm b \pm \sqrt{d})$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, but this gives us a negative discriminant $\Delta = -a^2$.

(iii) Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d$, then from Equation (1), there exist α_1, α_2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 = a\varepsilon_d, \\ 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 = b\varepsilon_d; \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4\alpha_1^4 - 4a\varepsilon_d\alpha_1^2 - b\varepsilon_d^2 = 0, \quad (*) \\ \alpha_2 = \frac{b\varepsilon_d}{2\alpha_1}; \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \Delta' = 4\varepsilon_d^2d, \text{ so}$$

$$\alpha_1^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_d}{2}(a \pm \sqrt{d}),$$
 thus equation (*) admits solution if and only if $2\varepsilon_d(a \pm \sqrt{d})$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ i.e. if and only if there exist s, t in \mathbb{Q} such that: $2\varepsilon_d(a \pm \sqrt{d}) = (s + t\sqrt{d})^2 = s^2 + t^2d + 2st\sqrt{d}$, as $\varepsilon_d = x + y\sqrt{d}$, so:
$$\begin{cases} s^2 + t^2d = 2xa \pm 2yd, \\ st = ya \pm x; \end{cases}$$
 thus
$$\begin{cases} s^4 - 2(ax \pm yd)s^2 + (ya \pm x)^2d = 0, \quad (\bullet) \\ t = \frac{ya \pm x}{s}; \end{cases}$$
 the discriminant of equation (\bullet) is:

$$\Delta' = (ax \pm yd)^2 - (ya \pm x)^2d = (a^2 - d)(x^2 - y^2d) = -b^2(x^2 - y^2d).$$

- If the norm of ε_d is 1, then equation (\bullet) has no solution.
- If the norm of ε_d is -1, then $s^2 = (ax \pm yd) \pm b$. Hence equation (\bullet) admits solution if and only if $(ax \pm yd) \pm b$ is a square in \mathbb{N} .

(iv) Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d$, then by the same way we find similar results:

- If the norm of ε_d is 1, then there is no solutions.
- If the norm of ε_d is -1, then there is a solution if and only if $2(-xb \pm yd) \pm a$ is a square in \mathbb{N} .

(v) If $\varepsilon = \sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}$ or $\varepsilon = i\sqrt{i\varepsilon_d}$, as in the case (1) we find that i is a square in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, which is absurd. □

We proceed in the same way to prove the following result:

Proposition 2. *Let d be a composite integer, even, square free and product at least of three prime numbers, $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, p a prime number and \mathcal{H} an ideal of k such that $\mathcal{H}^2 = (p)$. Let $\varepsilon_d = x + y\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then we have:*

- (1) *If the norm of ε_d is -1 , so \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .*
- (2) *If the norm of ε_d is 1, we have:*
 - (i) *If $\{\varepsilon_d\}$ is UFS of k , then \mathcal{H} is principal if and only if $2p(x \pm 1)$ or $p(x \pm 1)$ is a square in \mathbb{N} .*
 - (ii) *If not \mathcal{H} is not principal in k .*

Remark 1. Proposition 2 holds if d is a composite integer, odd, square free and product at least of three prime numbers and $\mathcal{H}^2 = (p)$ or $\mathcal{H}^2 = (pq)$, where p and q are prime numbers.

2.1. Generators of $C_{\mathbb{k},2}$ when d is Even

If d is even, then it is of the form (2) or (3); so p_1 (resp. p) splits in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ in product of two primes which we denote by π_1 and π_2 .

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where d is of the form (2) or (3) and $C_{\mathbb{k},2}$ be the 2-class group of \mathbb{k} . We denote by $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1$ and \mathcal{H}_2 the prime ideals of \mathbb{k} laying above $1 + i, \pi_1$ and π_2 respectively, then $C_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_0], [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2] \rangle$.*

Proof. For both forms (2) and (3), the numbers π_1 and π_2 are ramified primes in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, then there exist \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 prime ideals in \mathbb{k} such that: $\pi_j \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}} = (\pi_j) = \mathcal{H}_j^2, (j \in \{1, 2\})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is the ring of integers of \mathbb{k} ; on the other hand, 2 is totally ramified in \mathbb{k} , hence there exists \mathcal{H}_0 an ideal prime of \mathbb{k} such that $\mathcal{H}_0^2 = (1 + i)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

According to [3], if d is of the form (3) (resp. (2)), then the norm of the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is 1 (resp. -1) and the unit index of \mathbb{k} is 2 (resp. 1), so as $(\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2)^2 = (p_1)$ or (p) , Proposition 2 claims that $\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$ is not principal in \mathbb{k} ; moreover if we put p or $p_1 = e^2 + 4f^2$, we find that $\mathcal{H}_0^2 = (1+i), \mathcal{H}_1^2 = (e+2if)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2^2 = (e-2if)$, as $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $\sqrt{e^2 + (\pm 2f)^2} = \sqrt{p_1} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, then Proposition 1 states that $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1$ and \mathcal{H}_2 are of order 2 in \mathbb{k} ; similar with the same argument we proof that $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$ are of order 2 in \mathbb{k} . This completes the proof. □

Numerical Examples 1. d is of the form (2).

$d = 2.p_1.p_2$	$\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)$	H_0	H_1	H_2
130 = 2.13.5	-1	-1	-1	[1, 0, 1]	[0, 0, 1]	[0, 1, 1]
754 = 2.29.13	-1	-1	1	[0, 1, 1]	[5, 0, 1]	[5, 0, 0]
986 = 2.17.29	1	-1	-1	[0, 0, 1]	[0, 1, 0]	[11, 0, 0]
1066 = 2.13.41	-1	1	-1	[5, 1, 0]	[5, 0, 1]	[5, 1, 1]

d take the form (3).

$d = 2.p.q$	$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$	H_0	H_1	H_2
246 = 2.41.3	-1	[3, 1, 0]	[3, 0, 0]	[3, 1, 1]
374 = 2.17.11	-1	[7, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 1]	[7, 1, 0]

2.2. Generators of $C_{\mathbb{k},2}$ when $d = p_1p_2$

Suppose d is of the form (1), defined in the introduction. We adopt that \mathfrak{p}_k denotes an ideal of a number field k above a prime number p . We need some

lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where $d = p_1 p_2 = a^2 + b^2$, $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and \mathcal{H}_0 be the prime ideal of \mathbb{k} above $1 + i$. If $\left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right) = -1$, then for all odd integer n , \mathcal{H}_0^n is not principal ideal of \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{H}_0^n is principal in \mathbb{k} , for some odd integer n , so there exists $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \sqrt{d}\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{k}$ such that α_i are in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^n = \alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}$. As $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, so $1+i$ splits in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, hence there exists a prime ideal \mathcal{H}'_0 in \mathbb{k} above $1+i$ such that $\mathcal{H}_0 \mathcal{H}'_0 = (1+i)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}$. This allows us to write: $(1+i)^n = \varepsilon(\alpha_1^2 - d\alpha_2^2)$, with ε is a unit of $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. As α_i^2 are squares in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and n is odd, then according to [6, p. 154] and [7, p. 323] we find that $\left(\frac{1+i}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)_4 \left(\frac{p_1}{2}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)_4 \left(\frac{p_2}{2}\right)_4 = 1$. Using this result and according to [7, p. 323] we have $\left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right) = 1$, which contradicts our hypothesis. □

Lemma 2. *Let p_1 and p_2 be two prime numbers such that $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$ and $h(d)$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$, then:*

- (i) *The 2-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$ is generated by the class of $\mathfrak{p}_{i\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})}$;*
- (ii) *The ideal $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is principal.*

Proof. (i) Since $\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$, then according to [4] the norm of the fundamental unit of the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$ is equal to -1 and it's 2-class number is 2, therefore the 2-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$ is cyclic of order 2. As p_i is ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})/\mathbb{Q}$, so $p_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})} = \mathfrak{p}_{i\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})}^2$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{i\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})}$ is a no-principal ideal, if not there exists ε , unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$, such that $p_i \varepsilon$ is square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$, this yields that $p_i \varepsilon_{p_1 p_2}$ or p_i is square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$, this contradicts the fact that the norm of the fundamental unity, $\varepsilon_{p_1 p_2}$, is -1 and $\sqrt{p_i} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$. Hence the result.

(ii) We know that $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{h(d)}$ is principal in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$. If we suppose that $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is not principal, it follows that the class of $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is also a generator of the 2-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$. We deduce from (i) that $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathfrak{p}_{i\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})}$ is principal in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$. As p_i is ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})/\mathbb{Q}$ and 2 splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})/\mathbb{Q}$, since $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. This allows us to write by applying the norm that: $2p_i = \alpha^2 - p_1 p_2 \beta^2$, where α^2, β^2 are in \mathbb{Q} ; hence $1 = \left(\frac{2p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)$. Which contradicts our hypotheses. Finally $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is principal. □

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2}, i)$, with p_1, p_2 are prime numbers congruent to 1 (mod 8), $(\frac{p_1}{p_2}) = -1$ and $(\frac{2}{a+b}) = -1$, where $d = p_1 p_2 = a^2 + b^2$, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ be the 2-class group de of \mathbb{k} . Put $p_1 = \pi_1 \pi_2$, where π_1 and π_2 are in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, let $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1$ and \mathcal{H}_2 be the ideals of \mathbb{k} above $1 + i$, π_1 and π_2 respectively. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}], [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2] \rangle$, where $h(d)$ is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$.

Proof. Put $p_1 = \pi_1 \pi_2$, we know that π_j are ramified primes in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, so there exist prime ideals \mathcal{H}_j in \mathbb{k} such that $\mathcal{H}_j^2 = (\pi_j)$.

As the norm of the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is -1 and $\mathcal{H}_1^2 = (e + 2if)$, $\mathcal{H}_2^2 = (e - 2if)$ $(\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2)^2 = (p_1)$, where $p_1 = e^2 + 4f^2$; so Propositions 1 above and 8 in [1], state that $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2$ are of order 2 in \mathbb{k} .

Let us proof that $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is of order 2 in \mathbb{k} . We know from [4] that $\frac{h(d)}{2}$ is an odd integer, then Lemma 1 implies that $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is not principal, as $\mathcal{H}_0^{h(d)} = (\mathcal{H}_0^2)^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} = (2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}$, because $2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is an ramified ideal in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.

Lemma (1) leads that $\mathcal{H}_0^{h(d)}$ is principal in \mathbb{k} , i.e. $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$ is an ideal of order 2. $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_i$ is also of order 2 in \mathbb{k} , in fact if for example $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_1$ is principal. So by applying the norm in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, we find that $(2_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 p_2})})^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathfrak{p}_{1\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is an ideal principal in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, afterward $\mathfrak{p}_{1\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is principal in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. This contradicts Lemma 1. Let us show by absurd also that the ideal $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2$ is of order 2

in \mathbb{k} . If not, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2 = (\alpha)$, as $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_3 \mathcal{H}_4 = (\sqrt{\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_4}) = (\sqrt{p_1 p_2})$ is principal in \mathbb{k} , then there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_3 \mathcal{H}_4 = (\beta)$. By taking norm in $\mathbb{k}/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, we find that $(1 + i)^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} p_1 = \varepsilon(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 d)$ and $(1 + i)^{\frac{h(d)}{2}} p_2 = \varepsilon'(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 d)$, with $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ are two units in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 are elements in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. This imply that:

$$\left(\frac{1+i}{\mathfrak{p}_{2\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) \left(\frac{p_1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{1+i}{\mathfrak{p}_{1\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) \left(\frac{p_2}{\mathfrak{p}_{1\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = 1. \text{ As } \left(\frac{p_1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right), \left(\frac{p_2}{\mathfrak{p}_{1\mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right) = \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1, \text{ then according to [6, p. 154] we find that } \left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)_4 \left(\frac{p_1}{2}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)_4 \left(\frac{p_2}{2}\right)_4 = -1. \text{ Finally from [7, p. 323] } \left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right) = 1, \text{ which is false. } \square$$

Numerical Examples 2. d is of the form (1).

$d = p_1.p_2$	$\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)$	a	b	$\left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right)$	$H_0^{\frac{h(d)}{2}}$	H_1	H_2
$697 = 17.41$	-1	11	24	-1	[3, 1, 0]	[3, 1, 1]	[0, 1, 0]
$3977 = 97.41$	-1	56	29	-1	[0, 0, 1]	[7, 0, 1]	[7, 1, 1]

2.3. Generators of $C_{k,2}$ when $d = pq_1q_2$

Theorem 3. *Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where $d = pq_1q_2$ with p, q_1 and q_2 are prime numbers satisfying conditions A and B defined in the introduction. Denote by $C_{k,2}$ the 2-class group of k . Put $p_1 = \pi_1\pi_2$, with π_1, π_2 in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{Q}_1$ and \mathcal{Q}_2 be the prime ideals of k above π_1, π_2, q_1 and q_2 respectively, then:*

- (1) *If p, q_1 and q_2 are satisfying conditions B (I) or B (II) and $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = 1$, then $C_{k,2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{Q}_2] \rangle$.*
- (2) *Else, $C_{k,2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{Q}_1] \rangle$.*

Proof. As q_1, q_2 are congruent to 3 (mod 4), so they are ramified in k/\mathbb{Q} ; let \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 be the ideals in k above q_1 and q_2 respectively. We know also that π_j are ramified in $k/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, then there exist ideals \mathcal{H}_j in k such that: $(\pi_j) = \mathcal{H}_j^2$, moreover \mathcal{H}_j is not principal in k , in fact if we put $p_1 = e^2 + 4f^2$, then $\mathcal{H}_j^2 = (e \pm 2if)$ and as $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, therefore Proposition 1 states the result, similar for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ we have $\mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{Q}_j$ is not principal in k because $(\mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{Q}_j)^2 = (\pi_iq_j)$ and $\pi_iq_j = q_j(e \pm 2if)$, as $\sqrt{(eq_j)^2 + (2fq_j)^2} = q_j\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, hence Proposition 1 implies the result.

Let $\varepsilon_d = x + y\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$; as $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the unit index of k is 1 (corollary 3.2 in [3]), so according to [2] $x \pm 1$ is not a square in \mathbb{N} ; therefore from Remark 1, if \mathcal{H} is an ideal of k satisfy $\mathcal{H}^2 = (l)$, where l is a prime number in \mathbb{N} , then \mathcal{H} is principal if and only if $l(x \pm 1)$ or $2l(x \pm 1)$ is a square in \mathbb{N} .

(1) For this first case the proof is of two points:

- (i) Suppose p, q_1 and q_2 satisfy B (I) and $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = 1$, so as $x^2 - 1 = y^2p_1p_2q$, the only possible case is:

$$\begin{cases} x \pm 1 = 2q_1y_1^2, \\ x \mp 1 = 2pq_2y_2^2; \end{cases}$$
 this yields that $2q_1(x \pm 1)$ is a square in \mathbb{N} and $q_2(x \pm 1)$, $2q_2(x \pm 1)$ are not; as $\mathcal{Q}_1^2 = (q_1)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2^2 = (q_2)$, therefore \mathcal{Q}_1 is principal in k and \mathcal{Q}_2 is not, the result derived.

(ii) Suppose p, q_1 and q_2 satisfy B (II) and $\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = 1$, so as $x^2 - 1 = y^2 p_1 p_2 q$, the only possible cases are:
 $\begin{cases} x \pm 1 = q_1 y_1^2, \\ x \mp 1 = p q_2 y_2^2; \end{cases}$ or $\begin{cases} x \pm 1 = 2 q_1 y_1^2, \\ x \mp 1 = 2 p q_2 y_2^2; \end{cases}$ thus $q_1(x \pm 1)$ or $2 q_1(x \pm 1)$ is a square in \mathbb{N} and $q_2(x \pm 1), 2 q_2(x \pm 1)$ are not; as $\mathcal{Q}_1^2 = (q_1)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2^2 = (q_2)$, so \mathcal{Q}_1 is principal in \mathbb{k} and \mathcal{Q}_2 is not, this ends the first case of theorem.

(2) In this case we have also two points to distinguish:

(i) Suppose that p, q_1 and q_2 satisfy B (I) or B (II) and $\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right) = 1$, we proceed as in the case (1) to prove that \mathcal{Q}_2 is principal in \mathbb{k} and \mathcal{Q}_1 is not; so the result.

(ii) Suppose that p, q_1 et q_2 satisfy B (III), then since $x^2 - 1 = y^2 p q_1 q_2$, the only possible case is: $\begin{cases} x \pm 1 = 2 q_1 q_2 y_1^2, \\ x \mp 1 = 2 p y_2^2; \end{cases}$ so $2 q_1 q_2(x \pm 1)$ is square in \mathbb{N} and $q_1(x \pm 1), 2 q_1(x \pm 1), q_2(x \pm 1)$ and $2 q_2(x \pm 1)$ are not. This completes the proof. □

Numerical Examples 3. $d = p q_1 q_2$ is of the form (4).

$d = p \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2$	$\left(\frac{p}{q_1}\right)$	$\left(\frac{p}{q_2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{q_2}\right)$
$357 = 17 \cdot 7 \cdot 3$	-1	-1	1	-1
$969 = 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 3$	1	-1	-1	-1
$3553 = 17 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$	-1	1	-1	-1

$d = p \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2$	\mathcal{Q}_1	\mathcal{Q}_2	$\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2$	\mathcal{H}_1	\mathcal{H}_2
$357 = 17 \cdot 7 \cdot 3$	[1, 1, 0]	[1, 1, 0]	[0, 0, 0]	[0, 0, 1]	[0, 0, 1]
$969 = 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 3$	[0, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[3, 1, 0]	[3, 0, 0]
$3553 = 17 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$	[0, 0, 1]	[0, 0, 0]	[0, 0, 1]	[3, 1, 1]	[3, 1, 0]

2.4. Generators of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ when $d = p_1 p_2 q$

Let $d = p_1 p_2 q$ satisfying the conditions of the form (5), then we have.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$, where $d = p_1 p_2 q$ with p_1, p_2 and q are prime numbers such that $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv -q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p_1 \equiv 5$ or $p_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and at least two elements of $\left\{\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right), \left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right), \left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right)\right\}$ are equal to -1 . Denote by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2}$ the 2-class group of \mathbb{k} . Put $p_1 = \pi_1 \pi_2, p_2 = \pi_3 \pi_4$, with π_1, π_2, π_3 and π_4

are in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3$ and \mathcal{H}_4 be the ideals in \mathbb{k} above π_1, π_2, π_3 and π_4 respectively, then:

- (1) If p_1, p_2 and q are of type I, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_3], [\mathcal{H}_4] \rangle$.
- (2) If p_1, p_2 and q are of type II or of type III, then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k},2} = \langle [\mathcal{H}_1], [\mathcal{H}_2], [\mathcal{H}_3] \rangle$.

Proof. We proceed as in the previous case to prove that:

- If p_1, p_2 and q are of type I, then $\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$ is principal in \mathbb{k} and $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4$ and $\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_4$ are not.
- If p_1, p_2 and q are of type II, then $\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_4$ is principal in \mathbb{k} and $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4$ and $\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$ are not.
- If p_1, p_2 and q are of type III, then $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4, \mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_4$ are not principal in \mathbb{k} . □

Numerical Examples 4. The last case where $d = p_1p_2q$ is of the form (5).

$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot q$	$\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{p_1}{q}\right)$	$\left(\frac{p_2}{q}\right)$
5.13.3	-1	-1	-1	-1	1
5.13.7	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
17.5.7	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
13.5.11	-1	-1	-1	-1	1
5.17.11	-1	1	-1	1	-1

$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot q$	\mathcal{H}_1	\mathcal{H}_2	$\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2$	\mathcal{H}_3	\mathcal{H}_4	$\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_4$
5.13.3	[1, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 1]	[1, 1, 1]	[0, 1, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[0, 0, 0]
5.13.7	[5, 1, 1]	[5, 0, 1]	[0, 1, 0]	[0, 1, 1]	[0, 0, 1]	[0, 1, 0]
17.5.7	[0, 1, 1]	[0, 1, 1]	[0, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[1, 0, 0]	[1, 1, 0]
13.5.11	[1, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[1, 1, 0]	[0, 1, 1]	[0, 1, 1]	[0, 0, 0]
5.17.11	[7, 1, 0]	[7, 0, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[7, 1, 1]	[7, 1, 1]	[0, 0, 0]

References

- [1] A. Azizi, Construction de la tour des 2-corps de classes de Hilbert de certains corps biquadratiques, *Pac. J. Math*, **208**, No. 1 (2003), 1-10.
- [2] A. Azizi, Sur les unités de certains corps de nombres de degré 8 sur \mathbb{Q} , *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, **29**, No. 2 (2005), 111-129.

- [3] A. Azizi, M. Taous, Determination des corps $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$ dont le 2-groupes de classes est de type $(2, 4)$ ou $(2, 2, 2)$, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, **40**, No. XL (2009), 93-116.
- [4] A. Scholz, Über die Löbarkeit der Gleichung $t^2 - Du^2 = -4$, *Math. Z.*, **39** (1934), 95-111.
- [5] C. Batut, K. Belabas, D. Bernadi, H. Cohen, M. Olivier, *GP/PARI Calculator*, Version 2.2.6 (2003).
- [6] F. Lemmermeyer, *Reciprocity Laws*, Springer-Verlag Berlin (2000).
- [7] P. Kaplan, Sur le 2-groupe de classes d'idéaux des corps quadratiques, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **1976**, No. 283-284 (1976), 313-363.