

ON THE SPECIAL IDEALS IN KK-ALGEBRAS

Suphawat Asawasamrit^{1 §}, Aisuriya Sudprasert²

¹Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Applied Science

King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok

Bangkok, 10800, THAILAND

¹Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE

Sri Ayutthaya Road, Bangkok, 10400, THAILAND

²University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce

Bangkok, THAILAND

Abstract: In this paper, we define the notions of q -ideal, a -ideal and p -ideal in KK-algebras. We give several characterizations and the extensive theorems for the q -ideal, a -ideal and p -ideal.

AMS Subject Classification: 06B35, 03G10, 06B99

Key Words: ideal, q -ideal, a -ideal, p -ideal, KK-algebras

1. Introduction

In [2], S. Asawasamrit and A. Sudprasert introduced a new algebraic structure which is called KK-algebras. And we described the relation between ideals and congruences. Furthermore, we defined quotient KK-algebra and studied its properties. In addition, L.L.Yong and M.Jie [5] introduced the notion of q -ideals and a -ideals in BCI-algebras. They gave several characterizations and the extensive theorems about q -ideals and a -ideals.

Received: September 25, 2012

© 2013 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

In this paper, we define the notion of q -ideals and a -ideals in KK-algebras and investigated some related properties. The purpose of this paper is to derive some straightforward consequences of the relations between q -ideals, a -ideals and p -ideal. We also investigate some of its properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduced an algebraic structure called a *KK-algebra* which is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ with a binary operation $*$ and a nullary operation 0 such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, satisfies the following properties:

$$(KK-1) \quad (x * y) * ((y * z) * (x * z)) = 0;$$

$$(KK-2) \quad 0 * x = x;$$

$$(KK-3) \quad x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y.$$

On KK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$, we defined a binary relation \leq on X by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $y * x = 0$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set. It is easy to show that the following properties are true for a KK-algebra. For any x, y, z in X :

$$(P-1) \quad x * ((x * y) * y) = 0;$$

$$(P-2) \quad x * x = 0;$$

$$(P-3) \quad x * (y * z) = y * (x * z);$$

$$(P-4) \quad ((x * y) * y) * y = x * y;$$

$$(P-5) \quad (x * y) * 0 = (x * 0) * (y * 0);$$

$$(P-6) \quad (x * y) * ((z * x) * (z * y)) = 0;$$

$$(P-7) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } y * z \leq x * z;$$

$$(P-8) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } z * x \leq z * y.$$

A subset A of a KK-algebra X is called *closed* of X if $x * y \in A$ whenever $x, y \in A$. A non-empty subset A of a KK-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(I-1) \quad 0 \in A$$

(I-2) for any $x, y \in X$, $x * y \in A$ and $x \in A$ imply $y \in A$.

Let I be an ideal of KK-algebra X . Define the relation \sim on X by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x * y \in I$ and $y * x \in I$. Then the relation \sim is an equivalence relation on X and $[0]_I = \{x \in X \mid x \sim 0\}$ is an ideal of X .

Let \sim be an equivalence relation on a KK-algebra X and I be an ideal of X . Define $[x]_I$ by $[x]_I = \{y \in X \mid x \sim y\} = \{y \in X \mid x * y \in I, y * x \in I\}$. Then the family $\{[x]_I \mid x \in X\}$ gives a partition of X which is denoted by X/I . For any $x, y \in X$, we defined $[x]_I \circ [y]_I = [x * y]_I$, then the binary operation \circ is a mapping from $X/I \times X/I$ to X/I . It is easily checked that $(X/I, \circ, [0]_I)$ is a KK-algebra. Moreover, the set X/I is called the *quotient KK-algebra*. And if I is a closed ideal of KK-algebra X , then it is clear that $[a]_I = I$ for all a in I .

3. q -Ideals and Its Properties

In this section, we describe properties of q -ideals.

Definition 3.1. A non-empty subset I of KK-algebras X is said to be a q -ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions (I-1) and

(I-3) for any $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x * z \in I$.

First, give example shows that the q -ideal of X exists.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define an operation $*$ on X with the Cayley table given by

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	0	0	2
2	2	2	0

Then the Cayley table clearly $(X, *, 0)$ is a KK-algebras and it is easily checked that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a q -ideal. □

Next, we give the relations between q -ideal and ideal and closed are considered as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *A q -ideal is a closed ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is an q -ideal of KK-algebra and let $x * y \in I$ and $x \in I$. It follows that $(0 * x) * y \in I$ imply $0 * y \in I$. Thus, $y \in I$, proving (I-2) holds. Combining (I-1), we conclude that I is an ideal of X . □

Next, I will show example the converse of theorem 3.3 is not true.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Define an operation $*$ on X with the Cayley table given by

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	3	0	1	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	1	2	3	0

Then it is easily checked that $(X, *, 0)$ is a KK-algebra and $I = \{0\}$ is a closed ideal of X , but not a q -ideal of X . Since $(3 * 0) * 1 = 1 * 1 = 0 \in \{0\}$ and $0 \in \{0\}$ but $3 * 1 = 2 \notin \{0\}$. □

Now, we investigate the characterization of q -ideal.

Theorem 3.5. *If I is an ideal of KK-algebras X , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) I is an q -ideal of X ;
- (2) for any $x, y \in X$, $(x * 0) * y \in I$ implies $x * y \in I$;
- (3) for any $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * y) * z \in I$ implies $x * (y * z) \in I$.

Proof. Assume that I is an ideal of KK-algebra X and $x, y, z \in X$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let I be an q -ideal of X and $(x * 0) * y \in I$. Since $0 \in I$, by (I-3), $x * y \in I$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that (2) holds and $(x * y) * z \in I$. We see that $(x * y) * z * ((x * 0) * (y * z)) \leq (x * 0) * (y * (x * y)) = (x * 0) * (x * (y * y)) = (x * 0) * (x * 0) = 0 \in I$. Since I is an ideal of X , so $((x * 0) * (y * z)) \in I$. By (2), so $x * (y * z) \in I$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $y \in I$. From (3), we obtain that $x * (y * z) \in I$. Thus $y * (x * z) \in I$ by (P-3). Since $y \in I$ and I is an ideal, hence $x * z \in I$, proving that I is a q -ideal of X . □

Theorem 3.6. *Let A and I be ideals of a KK-algebra X with $I \subseteq A$. If I is a q -deal of X , then so is A .*

Proof. Let I is a q -deal of a KK-algebra X and set $s = (x * 0) * y \in A$. Since $(x * 0) * (s * y) = s * ((x * 0) * y) = 0 \in I$. By 3.5(2), we get that $x * (s * y) \in I$. And since I is a q -ideal, then $s * (x * y) \in I$. Thus $s * (x * y) \in A$ and A is an ideal, so $x * y \in A$. Therefore A is a q -ideal. □

Corollary 3.7. *If zero ideal $\{0\}$ of KK-algebra X is a q -ideal, then every ideal of X is a q -ideal.*

Theorem 3.8. *Let I be an ideal of KK-algebra X . If for any $x \in I$ and $y \in X$ imply $x * y \in I$, then I is q -ideal of X .*

Proof. Assume that $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $y \in I$. By hypothesis, we obtain $x * ((x * z) * z) \in I$ and $x * y \in I$. Then $(x * y) * (x * z) \in I$, since I is an ideal of X , so $x * z \in I$, proving that I is q -ideal of X . □

Lemma 3.9. *If I is a q -ideal of KK-algebra X , then $x * (x * 0) \in I$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Assume that I is a q -ideal of KK-algebra X . Since $(x * 0) * (x * 0) = 0 \in I$, then it follows that $x * (x * 0) \in I$ because theorem 3.5(2). □

4. a -Ideals and Its Properties

Definition 4.1. A non-empty subset I of KK-algebra X is called an a -ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions (I-1) and

(I-4) for all $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * 0) * (z * y) \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $y * x \in I$.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Define an operation $*$ on X with the Cayley table given by

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Then it is easily checked that $(X, *, 0)$ is a KK-algebras and $I = \{0, 1\}$ an a -ideal of X . □

The following theorem show the relations between a -ideals and ideals and between a -ideals and closed.

Theorem 4.3. *If I is an a -ideal of KK-algebra X , then I is a closed ideal.*

Proof. Let I be an a -deal of X . First, we will show that I is an ideal of X . Assume that $x * y \in I$ and $x \in I$. It follows that $(0 * 0) * (x * y) \in I$ and $x \in I$, by (I-4), we obtain $y * 0 \in I$. Substituting $x = 0 = z$ in (I-4), we get that $y \in I$ and $0 \in I$, then $y * 0 \in I$. So, it follows that $(y * 0) * 0 \in I$. Putting $y = z = 0$ in (I-4), it follows that if $(x * 0) * (0 * 0) \in I$ and $0 \in I$, then $0 * x \in I$. Now $(x * 0) * 0 \in I$, implies that $x \in I$. Since $(y * 0) * 0 \in I$, so $y \in I$. Proving that

I is an ideal of X . Finally, to show that I is a closed. Now, assume that $x \in I$ and $y \in I$. We see that $x * 0 \in I$ and $y * 0 \in I$. Since $x * (y * x) = y * 0 \in I$ and $x \in I$, then $y * x \in I$. Similarly, $x * y \in I$. Therefore I is a closed, proving our theorem. \square

The following theorem gives us some equivalences of a -ideals.

Theorem 4.4. *Let I be an ideal of KK-algebra X . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) I is an a -ideal of X ;
- (2) $(x * 0) * (z * y) \in I$ implies $(z * y) * x \in I$, for any $x, y, z \in X$;
- (3) $(x * 0) * y \in I$ implies $y * x \in I$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let I be an ideal of KK-algebra X .

(1) \Rightarrow (2), Assume that I is an a -ideal of X and set $s = (x * 0) * (z * y) \in I$. We can write $(x * 0) * (s * (z * y)) = s * ((x * 0) * (z * y)) = 0 \in I$. By (I-4) and $s \in I$, thus $(z * y) * x \in I$. Proving that (2) holds.

(2) \Rightarrow (3), Putting $z = 0$ in (2), we obtain (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1), Let $(x * 0) * (z * y) \in I$ and $z \in I$. We see that $((x * 0) * (z * y)) * ((x * 0) * y) \leq (z * y) * y \leq z \in I$. Since I is an ideal of X , thus $((x * 0) * (z * y)) * ((x * 0) * y) \in I$, and imply that $(x * 0) * y \in I$. By (3), $y * x \in I$, and so I is an a -ideal of X . \square

Definition 4.5. An ideal I of KK-algebra X is called an p -ideal of X if it satisfies $(x * 0) * 0 \in I$ implies $x \in I$.

Next, we give the relations between a -ideal and p -ideal are considered as the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Any a -ideal of KK-algebra is a p -ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is an a -ideal of KK-algebras. By theorem 4.3, it follows that I is an ideal. Putting $y = z = 0$ in theorem 4.2(2), so $(x * 0) * (0 * 0) \in I$ implies $(x * 0) * 0 \in I$. By theorem 4.4(2), we get that $(x * 0) * 0 \in I$ implies $x \in I$. Therefore I is an p -ideal. \square

Next, I will show example the converse of theorem 4.6 is not true.

Example 4.7. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Define an operation $*$ on X with the Cayley table given by

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	2	0	1
2	1	2	0

Then it is easily checked that $(X, *, 0)$ is a KK-algebras and $I = \{0\}$ is a p -ideal of X , but it is not a a -ideal of X . Since $(2*0)*(0*1) = 1*1 = 0 \in \{0\}$ and $0 \in \{0\}$ but $1*2 = 1 \notin \{0\}$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Any a -ideal of KK-algebra is a q -ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is an a -ideal of KK-algebras. It follows that I is an ideal. Now, let $(x * 0) * y \in I$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((x * 0) * y) * (((y * 0) * x) * 0) * 0 &= ((x * 0) * y) * (((y * 0) * 0) * (x * 0)) * 0 \\
 &= ((x * 0) * y) * (((y * 0) * 0) * 0) * ((x * 0) * 0) \\
 &= ((x * 0) * y) * ((y * 0) * ((x * 0) * 0)) \\
 &\leq ((x * 0) * y) * ((x * 0) * y) \\
 &= 0 \in I.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since I is an ideal, so $((y * 0) * x) * 0 \in I$. It follows that I is a p -ideal as theorem 4.6. Then $(y * 0) * x \in I$ and by theorem 4.4(3), we get $x * y \in I$. The proof is complete. \square

The converse of theorem 4.8 is not true. From example 3.2 we can show that $I = \{0\}$ is not a -ideal of X . And it easy to show $I = \{0\}$ is a q -ideal. The following from theorem 4.8, that if I is an a -ideals, then it is a q -ideals. For the converse part we need the condition that I is an p -ideals as follows.

Theorem 4.9. *A non-empty subset I of KK-algebra X is an a -ideal if and only if it is both a q -ideal and a p -ideal.*

Proof. Let I be an a -deal of X . It is clear that I is both a q -ideal and a p -ideal because theorem 4.6 and theorem 4.8.

On the other hand, suppose that I is both a q -ideal and a p -ideal. It follows that I is a closed. Now, assume that $(x * 0) * y \in I$. By theorem 3.5(2), we have that $x * (0 * y) \in I$, and hence $x * y \in I$. Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x * y) * [(y * 0) * (x * 0)] &= (y * 0) * [(x * y) * (x * 0)] \\
 &= (y * 0) * [x * ((x * y) * 0)] \\
 &= (y * 0) * [x * ((x * 0) * (y * 0))]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x * [(y * 0) * ((x * 0) * (y * 0))] \\
&= x * [(x * 0) * ((y * 0) * (y * 0))] \\
&= x * [(x * 0) * 0] \\
&= 0 \in I.
\end{aligned}$$

From I is an ideal and $x * y \in I$, implies $(y * x) * 0 \in I$. It follows that $((y * x) * 0) * 0 \in I$ because I is a closed. And since I is a p -ideal, then $y * x \in I$, and so I is an a -ideal. \square

The extensive theorem of a -ideal was given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *Let A and I be two ideals of KK -algebra X with $I \subseteq A$. If I is an a -ideal of X , then so is A .*

Proof. Let I be an a -ideal of X , then I is both a q -ideal and a p -ideal. Now, we need to show A is a q -ideal and a p -ideal of X . Assume that $s = (x * 0) * y \in A$, then we have $(x * 0) * (s * y) = s * ((x * 0) * y) = 0 \in I$. By theorem 3.5(2), $x * (s * y) \in I$, and it follows $s * (x * y) \in I$. Thus $s * (x * y) \in A$ and since A is an ideal, so $x * y \in A$. Therefore A is a q -ideal of X . Finally, to show that A is a p -ideal of X and let $t = (x * 0) * 0 \in A$. Then $(x * 0) * (t * 0) = t * ((x * 0) * 0) = 0 \in I$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
((x * 0) * (t * 0)) * (((t * x) * 0) * 0) &= ((x * 0) * (t * 0)) * (((t * 0) * 0) \\
&\quad * ((x * 0) * 0)) \\
&\leq ((x * 0) * (t * 0)) * ((x * 0) * (t * 0)) \\
&= 0 \in I.
\end{aligned}$$

Since I is an ideal, we get that $((t * x) * 0) * 0 \in I$. And since I is a p -ideal, thus $t * x \in I \subseteq A$, and so $x \in A$ as A is an ideal. Hence A is a p -ideal of X . The proof is complete. \square

5. Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand, Grant No. 5642104.

References

- [1] S. Asawasamrit, KK -isomorphism and its propositions, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **78**, No. 1 (2012), 65-73.

- [2] S. Asawasamrit, A. Sudprasert, A structure of KK-algebras and its properties, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **6**, No. 21 (2012), 1035-1044.
- [3] C.S. Hoo, Closed ideals and p -semisimple BCI-algebras, *Math. Japon*, **35**(1990):1103-1112.
- [4] Q.P. Hu, K. Iséki, On BCI-algebra satisfying $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$, *Math. Sem. Notes*, **8** (1980), 553-555.
- [5] Y.L. Lin, M. Jie, H.Z. Xiao, C.Y. Zhang, q -ideals and a -ideal in BCI-algebras, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **24** (2000), 243-253.
- [6] H. Yisheng, *BCC-Algebras*, Science Press, Beijing (2006), 356p.

