

## THE LAPLACIAN ON AN AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACE

Liangzhong Hu<sup>1</sup>§, José João Rossetto<sup>2</sup>

1, 2Department of Mathematics

Federal University of Paraná

C.P. 019081, Curitiba, PR, 81531-990, BRAZIL

**Abstract:** The solution of the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian on the affine homogeneous space  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$  is obtained. Here  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$  are affine Lie algebras,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}\hat{k} \oplus \mathbf{C}d$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}\hat{k} \oplus \mathbf{C}d$ .  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  are two Lie algebras with  $\mathfrak{g}$  semi-simple and  $\mathfrak{h}$  reductive and having the same rank.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 22E65, 51P05, 81R10

**Key Words:** Laplacian, affine homogeneous space, Weyl group, highest weight

### 1. Introduction

Homogeneous spaces play an important role in mathematics and physics, (see, for example [1], [2], [3], [4] and references therein).

In [5], we gave the solution of the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian on a homogeneous space  $G/H$ , where  $G$  is a compact, semi-simple Lie group,  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , and the rank of  $H$  is equal to the rank of  $G$ .

In this paper we generalize the result and give the solution of the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Here  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$  are affine Lie algebras,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}\hat{k} \oplus \mathbf{C}d$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}\hat{k} \oplus \mathbf{C}d$ .  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  are two Lie algebras with  $\mathfrak{g}$  semi-simple and  $\mathfrak{h}$  reductive and having the same rank.

---

Received: January 30, 2013

© 2013 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the result in [5]. In Section 3, we first give the definition of the Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$ . Then we give the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$ . Finally, The lowest eigenvalue and its eigenspace of the Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$  is obtained.

## 2. The Laplacian on $G/H$

We briefly review the solution of the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian on homogeneous space  $G/H$ . Here  $G$  is a compact, semi-simple Lie group,  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , and the rank of  $H$  is equal to the rank of  $G$ . More detailed account can be found in [5].

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\eta$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively.

We suppose that  $G/H$  is reductive, i.e.  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \eta \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  with  $[\eta, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$ .

We can choose a common Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h} \subset \eta \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The roots  $\Phi_{\eta}$  of  $\eta$  form a subset of the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi_{\eta} \subset \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Choosing a positive root system  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  also determines a positive root system  $\Phi_{\eta}^+$  for  $\eta$ , where

$$\Phi_{\eta}^+ \subset \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+.$$

Let  $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} \alpha$  and  $\rho_{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\eta}^+} \alpha$  denote the Weyl vector of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\eta$  respectively.

Let  $U_{\mu}$  be a given irreducible representation of  $\eta$  with highest weight  $\mu$ . Let  $G \times_H U$  be the associated vector bundle of the principal bundle  $P(G/H, H)$ . The Hilbert space of square integrable sections of  $G \times_H U_{\mu}$  decomposes into the direct sum of the eigenspaces of the Laplacian on  $G/H$ , which are irreducible representations  $V_{\lambda}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weights  $\lambda$ 's. and this induces the following expression for the Laplacian on  $G/H$  which was discussed in [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18] and appears explicitly in [19].

**Definition 1.** The Laplacian on  $G/H$  is

$$\Delta = C_2(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) - C_2(\eta, U). \quad (1)$$

Here  $C_2(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot)$  is the quadratic Casimir element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  calculated in an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $C_2(\eta, U)$  is the quadratic Casimir element of  $\eta$  calculated in a given irreducible representation  $U$ .

Thus we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Given an irreducible representation  $U_\mu$  of  $\eta$  with highest weight  $\mu$ . The eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  labelled by a highest weight  $\lambda$  reads*

$$E_\lambda = (\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - (\mu + \rho_\eta, \mu + \rho_\eta) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) + (\rho_\eta, \rho_\eta) \tag{2}$$

with

$$(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) \geq (\mu + \rho_\eta, \mu + \rho_\eta).$$

The multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $E_\lambda$  is given by the Weyl dimension formula:

$$\dim V_\lambda = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} (\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)}. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, If there exists an element  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the weight  $w(\mu + \rho_\eta) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is dominant for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the lowest eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  is

$$E_{w(\mu+\rho_\eta)-\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}} = (\rho_\eta, \rho_\eta) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}), \tag{4}$$

and the multiplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  is

$$\dim V_{w(\mu+\rho_\eta)-\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}} = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} (w(\mu + \rho_\eta), \alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)}. \tag{5}$$

**Remark.** 1. If  $\lambda = w(\mu + \rho_\eta) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is not dominant for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the lowest eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  does not exist. Thus we can always choose  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda$  is dominant.

2.  $V_{w(\mu+\rho_\eta)-\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}}$  is, up to a sign, equal to the  $G$ -equivariant index of the Kostant’s Dirac operator on  $G/H$  [20], [21], [22], [23].

### 3. The Solution of Eigenvalue Problem of the Laplacian on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$

#### 3.1. The Laplacian and its Eigenvalues on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$

An introduction to the affine Lie algebra can be found in [24], [4]. Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}\hat{k} \oplus \mathbf{C}d$  be an affine Lie algebra. Here  $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  is the set of Laurent polynomials in the variable  $t$ ,  $\hat{k}$  is the central extension operator and  $d$  is the energy operator. Let  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda; k_\lambda; n_\lambda)$  and  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu; k_\mu; n_\mu)$  be two affine weights of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Here  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are weights of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k_\lambda$  and  $k_\mu$  are levels,  $n_\lambda$  and  $n_\mu$

are energies. The scalar product of  $\hat{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  induced by the extended Killing form is

$$(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}) = (\lambda, \mu) + k_\lambda n_\mu + k_\mu n_\lambda. \tag{6}$$

The Casimir operator  $C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the generalization of the Casimir operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The detailed account for the construction can be found, for example, in [25], [26].

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) &= \sum_{a=1}^l \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} : X_m^a X_{-m}^a : + 2(k + g)d \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^l [\sum_{m \leq -1} X_m^a X_{-m}^a + \sum_{m \geq 0} X_{-m}^a X_m^a] \\ &\quad + 2(k + g)d. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here  $: \cdot :$  is the usual normal ordering,  $g$  is the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X_m^a = X^a \otimes t^m$  with  $X^a$  generators of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $l$  is the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of integers. The Casimir operator commutes with  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . If  $V_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is a highest weight representation generated by a highest weight vector  $|\hat{\lambda}\rangle$  of  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda; k; n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) |\hat{\lambda}\rangle &= [\sum_{a=1}^l X_0^a X_0^a + 2(k + g)d] |\hat{\lambda}\rangle \\ &= [\sum_{a=1}^l X^a X^a + 2(k + g)d] |\hat{\lambda}\rangle \\ &= [(\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) - (\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}})] |\hat{\lambda}\rangle \\ &= [(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &\quad + 2(k + g)n] |\hat{\lambda}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; g; 0)$  is the affine Weyl vector of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $g$  the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The following convention is often adopted (see [4]).

**Convention 3.** For a highest weight,  $\hat{\lambda}(d) = n = 0$ .

One has

$$C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) |\hat{\lambda}\rangle = [(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})] |\hat{\lambda}\rangle = C_2(\mathfrak{g}) |\hat{\lambda}\rangle. \tag{9}$$

The Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$  is the generalization of the Laplacian on  $\mathfrak{g}/\eta$ . We have

**Definition 4.** The Laplacian on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$  is

$$\hat{\Delta} = C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \cdot) - C_2(\hat{\eta}, U). \tag{10}$$

Here  $C_2(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \cdot)$  is the Casimir operator of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  calculated in an irreducible representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .  $C_2(\hat{\eta}, U)$  is the Casimir operator of  $\hat{\eta}$  calculated in a given irreducible representation  $U$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Given an irreducible representation  $U_{\hat{\mu}}$  of  $\hat{\eta}$  with highest weight  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu; k_{\mu}; 0)$ . The eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Delta}$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$  labelled by highest weight  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda; k_{\lambda}; 0)$  reads*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\hat{\lambda}} &= (\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) - (\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}, \hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - (\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) + (\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}, \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) \\ &= (\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - (\mu + \rho_{\eta}, \mu + \rho_{\eta}) \\ &\quad - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) + (\rho_{\eta}, \rho_{\eta}) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with

$$(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) \geq (\mu + \rho_{\eta}, \mu + \rho_{\eta}).$$

The corresponding eigenspace is the irreducible representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $V_{(\lambda; k_{\lambda}; 0)}$  with highest weight  $(\lambda; k_{\lambda}; 0)$ .

### 3.2. The Lowest Eigenvalue and its Eigenspace of $\hat{\Delta}$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$

In order to determine the lowest eigenvalue and its eigenspace of  $\hat{\Delta}$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$ , we first review the relation between the Weyl groups  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $W_{\eta}$  of  $\eta$  [21].  $W_{\eta}$  is a subgroup of  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Choose the positive roots consistently. Then the positive Weyl chamber  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in the positive Weyl chamber  $\mathcal{W}_{\eta}$  of  $\eta$ . Let

$$C \subset W_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{12}$$

denote the set of elements that map  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  into  $\mathcal{W}_{\eta}$ . So the cardinality of  $C$  is the index of  $W_{\eta}$  in  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and

$$\mathcal{W}_{\eta} = \bigcup_{c \in C} c(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}), \tag{13}$$

while

$$W_{\mathfrak{g}} = W_{\eta} \cdot C. \tag{14}$$

Let  $\lambda$  be a dominant weight of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V_{\lambda}$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each  $c \in C$ , let

$$c \bullet \lambda = c(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \rho_{\eta}. \tag{15}$$

Then  $c \bullet \lambda$  is a dominant weight for  $\eta$ .

It can be seen that the above relations are also satisfied in the corresponding affine Weyl groups. Now we generalize the result in Section 2 to the case of the affine Lie algebras. Given an irreducible representation  $U_{\hat{\mu}}$  of  $\hat{\eta}$  with highest weight  $\hat{\mu}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  corresponds to a unique highest weight  $\hat{\lambda}$  of an irreducible

representation  $V_{\hat{\lambda}}$  of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . More precisely, there exists  $c \in \hat{C} \subset \hat{W}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ , where  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$  is the affine Weyl group of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , such that  $\hat{\mu} = c \bullet \hat{\lambda} = c(\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ , where  $\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; g; 0)$  and  $\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}} = (\rho_{\eta}; \eta; 0)$  are affine Weyl vectors of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\hat{\eta}$ , respectively;  $g$  and  $\eta$  are dual Coxeter numbers of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\eta$ , respectively. This means that

$$\hat{\lambda} = w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \tag{16}$$

where  $w = c^{-1} \in \hat{W}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ . If  $\hat{\lambda}$  is dominant for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , the eigenspace of the lowest eigenvalue is  $V_{w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}}$  with highest weight  $w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ .

Let  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda; k; n)$  be a weight of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  ( $\hat{\eta}$ ). Let  $\hat{\alpha} = (\alpha; 0; m)$  be an affine root of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  ( $\hat{\eta}$ ). The Weyl reflection with respect to  $\hat{\alpha}$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda} &= \hat{\lambda} - (\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\alpha}^\vee) \hat{\alpha} \\ &= (\lambda - [(\lambda, \alpha) + km] \alpha^\vee; k; n - [(\lambda, \alpha) + km] \frac{2m}{|\alpha|^2}) \\ &= (s_\alpha(\lambda + km \alpha^\vee); k; n - [(\lambda, \alpha) + km] \frac{2m}{|\alpha|^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here  $\hat{\alpha}^\vee$  is affine coroot of  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha^\vee$  is coroot of  $\alpha$ . Now we impose the condition that the value of  $\hat{\lambda}(d)$  is unchanged under the Weyl reflection, This forces  $m = 0$ , i. e.,

$$\hat{\alpha} = (\alpha; 0; 0) = \alpha, \tag{18}$$

and (17) reduces to

$$w \hat{\lambda} = (w \lambda; k; n), \tag{19}$$

where  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It means that only the Weyl group does not change  $\hat{\lambda}(d)$  and one can obtain the related affine weights just by making use of the Weyl group. For a highest affine weight  $\hat{\lambda}$ , We have the convention that  $\hat{\lambda}(d) = 0$ . This greatly simplifies the calculation.

Given an irreducible representation  $U_{\hat{\mu}}$  of  $\hat{\eta}$  with highest weight  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu; k_\mu; 0)$ , from (16) and (17),

$$\hat{\lambda} = w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = (w(\mu + \rho_\eta) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0), \tag{20}$$

where  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $g$  and  $\eta$  are dual Coxeter numbers of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\eta$ , respectively. If  $\hat{\lambda}$  is dominant for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , the eigenspace of the lowest eigenvalue is the irreducible representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $V_{(w(\mu + \rho_\eta) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)}$  with highest weight  $(w(\mu + \rho_\eta) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)$ . It follows that  $(\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) = (w(\mu + \rho_\eta), w(\mu + \rho_\eta)) = (\mu + \rho_\eta, \mu + \rho_\eta) = (\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}, \hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}})$ . By Proposition 4, the lowest eigenvalue is  $E_{\hat{w}(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}} = (\rho_\eta, \rho_\eta) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Thus we have the following result:

**Theorem 6.** Given an irreducible representation  $U_{\hat{\mu}}$  of  $\hat{\eta}$  with highest weight  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu; k_{\mu}; 0)$ . If there exists an element  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the weight  $w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = (w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)$  is dominant for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Then the eigenspace of the lowest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Delta}$  is the irreducible representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $V_{(w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)}$  with highest weight  $(w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)$ . The lowest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Delta}$  is

$$E_{w(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\eta}}) - \hat{\rho}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}} = (\rho_{\eta}, \rho_{\eta}) - (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}). \tag{21}$$

**Remark.** Due to the convention  $\hat{\lambda}(d) = 0$  for highest weights, The eigenvalues of  $\hat{\Delta}$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\hat{\eta}$  are the same as the eigenvalues of  $\Delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}/\eta$ . However, the corresponding eigenspaces are different.

If  $\hat{\lambda} = (w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}; k + \eta - g; 0)$  is not dominant for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , the lowest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Delta}$  does not exist. Thus we can always choose  $\hat{\mu}$  such that  $\hat{\lambda}$  is dominant. It can be shown that  $\hat{\lambda}$  is dominant for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , if  $w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is dominant for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the level  $k + \eta - g \geq (w(\mu + \rho_{\eta}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### References

- [1] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. II*, Interscience, (1969).
- [2] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, (1978).
- [3] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 94, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).
- [4] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (1997).
- [5] L. Hu, The Laplacian on homogeneous spaces, *J. Math. Phys.*, **49** (2008), 053513.
- [6] C. N. Yang, Generalization of Diracs monopole to  $SU_2$  gauge fields, *J. Math. Phys.*, **19** (1978), 320-328.
- [7] H. Muto and H. Urakawa, On the least positive eigenvalue of Laplacian for compact homogeneous spaces, *Osaka J. Math.*, **21** (1980), 471-484 .

- [8] A. Salam and Strathdee, On Kaluza-Klein theory, *Ann. Phys.*, **141** (1982), 316-352.
- [9] M. Stone, Supersymmetry and the quantum mechanics of spin, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **314** (1989), 557-586.
- [10] V. D. Lyakhovskiy, N. N. Shtykov and D. V. Vassilevich, DeWitt-Schwinger expansion for projective and Grassmann spaces, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1991), 89-95.
- [11] T. Jaroszewicz and P. S. Kurzepa, Polyakov spin and Laplacians on homogeneous spaces, *Annals of Physics*, **213** (1992), 135-165.
- [12] N. P. Landsman, Induced representations, gauge fields, and quantization on homogeneous spaces, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **4** (1992), 503-528.
- [13] P. Lévy, D. McMullan and I. Tsutsui, The canonical connection in quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.*, **37** (1996), 625-636.
- [14] S.-C. Zhang and J. Hu, A four dimensional generalization of the quantum Hall effect, *Science*, **294** (2001), 823-828.
- [15] D. Karabali and V. P. Nair, Quantum Hall effect in higher dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **641** (2002), 533-546.
- [16] B. Dolan, The spectrum of the Dirac operator on coset spaces with homogeneous gauge fields, *JHEP*, **0305** (2003), 018.
- [17] B. A. Bernevig, J. Hu, N. Toumbas and S.-C. Zhang, The eight dimensional quantum Hall effect and the octonions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003), 236803-236807.
- [18] S. Bellucci, P. Y. Casteill and A. Nersessian, Four-dimensional Hall mechanics as a particle on  $CP(3)$ , *Phys. Lett. B*, **574** (2003), 121-128.
- [19] G. Meng, Geometric construction of the Quantum Hall Effect in all even dimensions, *J. Phys. A*, **36** 9415 (2003), 9415-9424.
- [20] R. Bott, The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators, In: *Differential and Combinatorial Topology*, edited by S. S. Cairns, Princeton University Press, (1965), 167-186.
- [21] B. Gross, B. Kostant, P. Ramond and S. Sternberg, The Weyl character formula, the half-spin representations, and equal rank subgroups, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **95** (1998), 8441-8442.

- [22] B. Kostant, A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of the Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups, *Duke Math. J.*, **100** (1999), 447-501.
- [23] G. D. Landweber, Harmonic spinors on homogeneous spaces, *Representation Theory*, **4** (2000), 466-473.
- [24] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [25] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop Groups*, Oxford University Press, (1988).
- [26] A. Wassermann, Kac-Moody and Virasoro Algebras, *arXiv:1004.1287v2*.

