

**ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE FIRST
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR ELLIPTIC
EQUATIONS ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS**

Armen L. Beklaryan

Department of Business Analytics

Higher School of Economics

National Research University

33, Kirpichnaya Str., Moscow, 105187, RUSSIA

Abstract: In this paper we consider the first boundary value problem for elliptic systems, defined on unbounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which solutions satisfy a condition of finiteness of the Dirichlet integral, also known as the energy integral

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty.$$

AMS Subject Classification: 35J25

Key Words: elliptic equation, boundary value problem, Hardy inequality, capacity

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. As is customary, by $W_{2,loc}^1(\Omega)$ we denote the space of functions which are locally Sobolev, i.e.,

$$W_{2,loc}^1(\Omega) = \{f : f \in W_2^1(\Omega \cap B_\rho^x) \forall \rho > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

where B_ρ^x the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius ρ centered at the point x [9]. If $x = 0$, we write B_ρ instead of B_ρ^x . In this case, denote by $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,loc}^1(\Omega)$ the subset of $W_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the system of seminorms

$\|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega \cap B_\rho)}, \rho > 0$. Further, following [10, Subsec. 1.1], denote by $L_2^1(\Omega)$ the space of distributions (“generalized functions”) whose first derivatives belong to $L_2(\Omega)$; in other words,

$$L_2^1(\Omega) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 dx < \infty\}.$$

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $\mathcal{K} \subset \omega$ be a compact set. Denote by $\Phi_\varphi(\mathcal{K}, \omega)$ the set of functions $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ such that $\psi = \varphi$ in a neighborhood of \mathcal{K} , or, in other words, $\psi - \varphi \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{K})$. Write $\Psi(\mathcal{K}, \omega) = \{\psi \in C_0^\infty(\omega) : \psi = 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \mathcal{K}\}$.

The quantity

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(\mathcal{K}, \omega) = \inf_{\psi \in \Phi_\varphi(\mathcal{K}, \omega)} \int_{\omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx$$

is referred to as the capacity of the compact set \mathcal{K} with respect to an open set ω [10, Subsec. 7.2]. The capacity of an arbitrary closed subset $E \subset \omega$ of \mathbb{R}^n is defined by the rule

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(E, \omega) = \sup_{\mathcal{K} \subset E} \text{cap}_\varphi(\mathcal{K}, \omega),$$

where the supremum on the right-hand side is taken over all compacta $\mathcal{K} \subset E$. If $\omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, then we write $\text{cap}_\varphi(E)$ instead of $\text{cap}_\varphi(E, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also need the following capacity [10, Subsec. 9.1]:

$$\text{Cap}(\mathcal{K}, W_2^1(\omega)) = \inf_{\psi \in \Psi(\mathcal{K}, \omega)} \left(\int_{\omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \int_{\omega} |\psi|^2 dx \right).$$

As above, the capacity of an arbitrary set $E \subset \omega$ closed in \mathbb{R}^n is given by the rule

$$\text{Cap}(E, W_2^1(\omega)) = \sup_{\mathcal{K} \subset E} \text{Cap}(\mathcal{K}, W_2^1(\omega)),$$

where the supremum on the right-hand side is taken over all compacta $\mathcal{K} \subset E$.

Finally, denote by W_2^{-1} the space of continuous linear functionals on W_2^1 . A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be $(2, 1)$ -polar if the only element of W_2^{-1} supported by E is zero [10, Subsec. 9.2].

2. Statement of the Problem

Here and below, L stands for the divergence operator of the form

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

where measurable bounded coefficients a_{ij} satisfy the uniform ellipticity condition

$$c_1 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq c_2 |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad c_1, c_2 > 0.$$

By a solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu &= 0 \text{ on } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= \varphi, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi \in W_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we mean a function $u \in W_{2,loc}^1(\Omega)$ such that

- 1) $u - \varphi \in \mathring{W}_{2,loc}^1(\Omega)$, i.e., $(u - \varphi)\mu \in \mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ for any function $\mu \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- 2) the function u has the bounded Dirichlet integral

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty;$$

3)

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} dx = 0$$

for any function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. *Let $cap_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then problem (1) has a solution.*

Theorem 2. *Let problem (1) have a solution, and let*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $cap_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty$.

Theorem 3. For any function $\varphi \in W_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the condition $\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) < \infty,$$

where

$$r_k = \begin{cases} 2^k, & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 2^{2^k}, & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, and let μ be a measure on ω such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho > 0} \rho^{1-n} \mu(B_\rho^x \cap \omega) < \infty.$$

In this case, for any function $v \in W_2^1(\omega)$, there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sigma(\omega, \mu) \|v - c\|_{L_2(\omega, \mu)} \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\omega)}, \tag{2}$$

where the constant $\sigma(\omega, \mu) > 0$ does not depend on v [10, Subsec. 1.4.5].

Theorem 4. Let problem (1) have a solution, and let μ_k be a family of measures on ω_k , where $\omega_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, are pairwise disjoint Lipschitz domains in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho > 0} \rho^{1-n} \mu_k(B_\rho^x \cap \omega_k) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \setminus \Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Write

$$m_k(\varphi) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|\varphi - c\|_{L_2(\omega_k \setminus \Omega, \mu_k)}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma^2(\omega_k, \mu_k) m_k^2(\varphi) < \infty, \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma(\omega_k, \mu_k)$ stands for the coefficient in inequality (2).

To prove the Theorems 1 – 4 we need a number of auxiliary results.

An inequality from the following lemma is fairly well-known [e.g., 5 p. 288, p. 398] and occurs in various forms. However, for the sake of completeness, we give a detailed proof of this inequality.

Lemma 1 (Special Hardy inequality). *Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \geq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi|^2}{|x|^2} dx,$$

where constant k doesn't depend on u .

Proof. Let's pass to the polar coordinates. Hence, the integral in the right-hand side takes the form

$$\int dS \int_0^\infty \frac{|\psi|^2}{r^2} r^{n-1} dr,$$

where first integral is taken over all angular coordinates. Let's fix angular coordinates and obtain a chain of transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{|\psi|^2}{r^2} r^{n-1} dr &= \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 r^{n-3} dr = \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 (r^{n-2})' dr = \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left(r^{n-2} |\psi|^2 \Big|_{r=0}^\infty - \int_0^\infty 2 |\psi| |\psi'| r^{n-2} dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the final bracket, obviously, equals zero, as ψ is a sampling function. Let's estimate the modulus of the second term, using the inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2$, considering $a = |\psi| r^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$, $b = r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |\psi'|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\infty 2 |\psi| |\psi'| r^{n-2} dr \right| &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty |\psi| |\psi'| r^{n-2} dr \leq \\ &= 2 \left(\varepsilon \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 r^{n-3} dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty |\psi'|^2 r^{n-1} dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 r^{n-3} dr &\leq \frac{2}{n-2} \int_0^\infty |\psi| |\psi'| r^{n-2} dr \leq \\ &= \frac{2\varepsilon}{n-2} \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 r^{n-3} dr + \frac{2}{\varepsilon(n-2)} \int_0^\infty |\psi'|^2 r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by transferring of the first term to the left-hand side, we obtain following inequality

$$\left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{n-2}\right) \int_0^\infty |\psi|^2 r^{n-3} dr \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon(n-2)} \int_0^\infty |\psi'|^2 r^{n-1} dr.$$

Given that $|\psi'|^2 \leq |\nabla\psi|^2$ and that r^{n-1} represents the Jacobian of the transformation to the polar coordinates, after returning to the initial coordinates, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx \geq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi|^2}{|x|^2} dx.$$

□

Remark. Taking a sequence $\{\psi_k\} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is fundamental in L_2^1 , i.e. in seminorm

$$\|\cdot\|_{L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

by the special Hardy inequality, we immediately obtain a fundamentality of this sequence in the metric

$$\|\cdot\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi|^2}{|x|^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, the special Hardy inequality is also fair for $\psi \in \mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2 (General Hardy inequality). *Let $u \in L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then there is a constant c such that the following inequality is fair*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u-c|^2}{|x|^2} dx,$$

where constant k doesn't depend on u .

Proof. The fact that u belongs to the space $L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the following condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Let's decompose the space $L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into a direct product of the space $\mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its orthogonal complement. Let u_0 be a projection of u on $\mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and h is a component from the orthogonal complement. Considering that the space $\mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Hilbert and separable, we find out that for any $v \in \mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it is true that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla v \nabla h \, dx = 0.$$

Hence, $\Delta h = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . From the Parseval's identity, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla h|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_0|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$

Due to the finiteness of the right-hand side, we have the finiteness of each term on the left-hand side. In particular, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla h|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

Recalling the ellipticity of h , we obtain that h is constant. Then, using the special Hardy inequality with respect to $u_0 = u - h = u - c$, we obtain the general Hardy inequality. □

Lemma 3. *In case of $n = 2$, the general Hardy inequality takes the form*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \geq k \int_{|x| \geq 2\delta} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 \frac{|x|}{\delta}} \, dx,$$

for any function $u \in L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and for any constant $\delta > 0$, where constant k doesn't depend on u , which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \geq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} \, dx,$$

for any function $u \in L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $u = 0$ almost everywhere in a neighborhood of zero, and where constant k doesn't depend on u .

Proof. At first let's prove this proposition for a function $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Let's pass to the polar coordinates. Hence, the integral in the right-hand side takes the form

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\infty \frac{r|u|^2}{r^2 \ln^2 r} dr.$$

Let's fix angular coordinates and obtain a chain of transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{r|u|^2}{r^2 \ln^2 r} dr &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|u|^2}{r \ln^2 r} dr = - \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\ln r} \right)' |u|^2 dr = \\ &= - \frac{1}{\ln r} |u|^2 \Big|_{r=0}^\infty + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\ln r} 2|u||u'| dr. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the final bracket, obviously, is zero, as u vanishes in a neighborhood of zero. Let's estimate the modulus of the second term, using the inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2$, considering $a = \frac{|u|}{r^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln r}$, $b = r^{\frac{1}{2}} |u'|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\ln r} 2|u||u'| dr \right| &= \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{2r^{\frac{1}{2}} |u||u'|}{r^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln r} dr \right| \leq 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{\frac{1}{2}} |u||u'|}{r^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln r} dr \leq \\ &= 2 \left(\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \frac{|u|^2}{r \ln^2 r} dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty r |u'|^2 dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|u|^2}{r \ln^2 r} dr \leq 2\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \frac{|u|^2}{r \ln^2 r} dr + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty r |u'|^2 dr.$$

Consequently, by transferring of the first term to the left-hand side, we obtain the following inequality

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \frac{|u|^2}{r \ln^2 r} dr \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty r |u'|^2 dr.$$

Given that $|u'|^2 \leq |\nabla u|^2$ and that r represents the Jacobian of the transformation to the polar coordinates, after returning to the initial coordinates, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx.$$

Then, using the passage to the limit and the fact that C^∞ is dense in L^1_2 [10, p. 18], we obtain the proof of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *Let E be a $(2, 1)$ -polar set. Then $u|_E = 0$ for any function $u \in W^1_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. $\mu u \in \overset{\circ}{W}^1_2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$ for any function $\mu \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. It is known [10, p. 331, Theorem 1] that the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in W^1_2 if and only if $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is a $(2, 1)$ -polar set. That implies the statement of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $Cap((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_0}, W^1_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) > 0$ for some r_0 . Then*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_2(B_r)} \leq A \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L_2(B_r)}$$

for any $r > 2r_0$ and for any $\varphi \in W^1_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi \Big|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_0}} = 0,$$

where constant A doesn't depend on φ .

Proof. Let's suppose the contrary. Then for any constant A there is $r > 2r_0$ and a function $\varphi \in W^1_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi \Big|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_0}} = 0,$$

and besides it is true that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_2(B_r)} > A \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L_2(B_r)}.$$

Let's choose a sequence $A_s = s$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$. There is a sequence φ_s such that $\|\varphi_s\|_{L_2(B_r)} > s \|\nabla\varphi_s\|_{L_2(B_r)}$. Denote

$$\psi_s = \frac{\varphi_s}{\|\varphi_s\|_{L_2(B_r)}}.$$

It is obvious that $\|\psi_s\|_{L_2(B_r)} = 1$, while

$$\|\nabla\psi_s\|_{L_2(B_r)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, $\|k - \psi_s\|_{W_2^1(B_r)}$ tends to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant k . Thus, taking the function $(k - \psi_s)\eta$, where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r_0})$, $\eta \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of B_{r_0} , we obtain that

$$\text{Cap}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_0}, W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla((k - \psi_s)\eta)|^2 dx \leq \text{const} \|k - \psi_s\|_{W_2^1(B_r)}.$$

Taking the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\text{Cap}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_0}, W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 0$. This contradiction proves the Lemma. \square

Proof of the Theorem 1. $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are infinitely increasing sequences of real numbers. Let $r_i < \rho_i$ for all i , and

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}, B_{\rho_i}) < \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) + \frac{1}{2^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is obvious [11] that capacity $\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}, B_{\rho_i})$ is achieved by the function $v_i \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(B_{\rho_i})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_i = 0 & B_{\rho_i} \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}) \\ v_i \Big|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}} = \varphi - c, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where the last equality means that $(v_i - (\varphi - c))\mu \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(B_{\rho_i} \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}))$ for any $\mu \in C_0^\infty(B_{\rho_i})$. Along with the problem (5), let's consider another problem:

$$\begin{cases} Lu_i = 0 & \text{on } B_{\rho_i} \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}) \\ u_i \Big|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}} = \varphi - c, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where $u_i \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(B_{\rho_i})$.

The following statement takes place: let function u_i be a solution of the problem (6), and function v_i is a solution of the problem (5). Then

$$\int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla v_i|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx \leq c \int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla v_i|^2 dx, \tag{7}$$

where c is a non-negative constant, which doesn't depend on u_i and v_i . Let's prove this fact. The left-hand inequality, obviously, follows from the definition

of capacity. Let's prove the right-hand inequality. Given that the function u_i is a solution of the problem (6), it is true that

$$\int_{\dot{B}_{\rho_i}} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_l} dx = 0$$

for any function $\psi \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$. In particular, taking $\psi = u - v$, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\rho_i}} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_l} dx - \int_{B_{\rho_i}} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_l} dx = 0,$$

from where the following estimates are obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx &\leq \int_{B_{\rho_i}} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_l} dx = \int_{B_{\rho_i}} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_l} dx \leq \\ &const \left(\int_{\dot{B}_{\rho_i}} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_i|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

that prove the right-hand inequality in (7).

It is obvious that

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}) \leq \int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla v_i|^2 dx < \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) + \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

At the same time, from the inequality (7), it follows that

$$\int_{B_{\rho_i}} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx < c \left(\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) + \frac{1}{2^i} \right).$$

If $\text{Cap}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 0$ then the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is (2, 1)-polar [10, p. 331], what means, according to the Lemma 4, that the function $1 - \varphi$ is zero on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Thus, taking the unit function, we obtain the required solution of the problem (1).

Now let $\text{Cap}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{r_i}, W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) > 0$ for some r_i . Then, from the Lemma 5, we obtain that the sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is bounded both in $L_2(B_r)$ and $W_2^1(B_r)$ for any r . Indeed, for sufficiently large i, j it is true that

$$u_i - u_j \in \dot{W}_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_r)), \quad i, j > i_0.$$

Thus, fixing j , we have

$$\|u_i\|_{L^1_2}^2 + \|u_i\|_{W^1_2(K)}^2 \leq \alpha \left(\|u_j\|_{W^1_2(K)}^2 + \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) + \frac{1}{2^i} + \frac{1}{2^j} \right)$$

for any compacta $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where constant $\alpha > 0$ doesn't depend on u_i .

Due to the compactness of the embedding $W^1_2(B_r)$ in $L_2(B_r)$, we can choose a subsequence of the sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ which is fundamental in $L_2(B_r)$. In order not to overload the indexes, we denote this subsequence also as $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Let's take a function $\eta \in C^\infty_0(B_r)$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ in an open neighborhood of the set $\overline{B}_{r/2}$. Due to the fact that u_i satisfies (6), for the difference $u_i - u_j$ we obtain that

$$\int_{B_r} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial(u_i - u_j)}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_l} dx = 0,$$

where $\psi = \eta^2(u_i - u_j)$. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \frac{\partial(u_i - u_j)}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \eta^2}{\partial x_l} (u_i - u_j) dx + \\ & \int_{B_r} \sum_{l,m=1}^n a_{lm}(x) \eta^2 \frac{\partial(u_i - u_j)}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial(u_i - u_j)}{\partial x_l} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let's rewrite the last relation in the form

$$\gamma \int_{B_r} \eta^2 |\nabla(u_i - u_j)|^2 dx \leq -2 \int_{B_r} \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_{kl}(x) \frac{\partial(u_i - u_j)}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_k} \eta (u_i - u_j) dx,$$

whence, in view of the inequality $ab \leq \frac{1}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{2} b^2$, we obtain that

$$\int_{B_r} \eta^2 |\nabla(u_i - u_j)|^2 dx \leq c_1 \int_{B_r} |\nabla(u_i - u_j)|^2 \eta^2 dx + c_2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \eta|^2 (u_i - u_j)^2 dx,$$

where c_1, c_2 are non-negative constants, which don't depend on u_i . Thus, we have

$$\int_{B_{r/2}} |\nabla(u_i - u_j)|^2 dx \leq \beta \int_{B_r} (u_i - u_j)^2 dx,$$

where constant $\beta > 0$ doesn't depend on u_i . Last inequality proves that the sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is fundamental in $W^1_2(B_{r/2})$ for any $r > 0$. Therefore, there

is a function $u \in W_{2,loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any $r > 0$ the sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ tends to u in $W_2^1(B_r)$. It is obvious that the function u is the desired solution of the problem (1). \square

Proof of the Theorem 2. Let's suppose that the function u is a solution of the problem (1). Let's extend u on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ with value φ . Let $n \geq 3$, then there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for the function u the general Hardy inequality takes place. Denote

$$\nu_R = \eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) (u - c),$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ in an open neighborhood of the set \overline{B}_1 . Hence, we obtain

$$\nu_R|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_R} = \varphi - c.$$

The Dirichlet integral for the function ν_R can be estimated

$$\int_{B_{2R}} \left| \nabla \left(\eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) (u - c) \right) \right|^2 dx \leq 2 \left(\int_{B_{2R}} \left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) (u - c) \right|^2 dx + \int_{B_{2R}} \left| \eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) \nabla(u - c) \right|^2 dx \right).$$

Let's notice that

$$\left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) \right| \leq \frac{p}{R} \text{ and } \frac{1}{R^2} \leq \frac{4}{|x|^2} \text{ for } x \in B_{2R},$$

where $p > 0$ is a constant. Then, considering the Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{2R}} \left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) (u - c) \right|^2 dx \leq \frac{p^2}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} |u - c|^2 dx \leq 4p^2 \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \frac{|u - c|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{4p^2}{k} \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Thus,

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi - c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_R) \leq \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla \nu_R|^2 dx \leq \gamma \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty,$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a constant, which doesn't depend on ν_R . Proceeding to the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty,$$

that proves the Theorem 2 for $n \geq 3$.

In case of $n = 2$, denoting

$$\nu_R = \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) u,$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\eta = 0$ in a neighborhood of zero and $\eta \equiv 1$ in a open neighborhood of B_1 , we obtain

$$\nu_R \Big|_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B}_{R^2}} = \varphi.$$

The Dirichlet integral for the function ν_R can be estimated

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R^2}} \left| \nabla \left(\eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) u \right) \right|^2 dx \leq \\ & 2 \left(\int_{B_{2R^2}} \left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) u \right|^2 dx + \int_{B_{2R^2}} \left| \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) \nabla u \right|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let's notice that

$$\left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2q}{|x| \ln R^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\ln^2 R^2} \leq \frac{m}{\ln^2 |x|} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{2R^2},$$

where $q, m > 0$ are some constants. Then, considering the Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R^2}} \left| \nabla \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{R}}{\ln R} \right) u \right|^2 dx & \leq \int_{B_{2R^2} \setminus B_{R^2}} \frac{4q^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 R^2} |u|^2 dx \leq \\ & 4q^2 m \int_{B_{2R^2} \setminus B_{R^2}} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq \frac{4q^2 m}{k} \int_{B_{2R^2}} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\text{cap}_\varphi((\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega) \cap \overline{B_{R^2}}) \leq \int_{B_{2R^2}} |\nabla \nu_R|^2 dx \leq \gamma \int_{B_{2R^2}} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty,$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a constant, which doesn't depend on ν_R . Proceeding to the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega) \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Thus, the Theorem 2 is completely proved. □

Proof of the Theorem 3. Let $\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty$. Then, by the Theorem 2, there is a function u , which is a solution of the problem (1). Let $n \geq 3$. Let's consider a shearing function $\eta_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\eta_k(x) = 1$ on $B_{2^{k+1}} \setminus B_{2^{k-1}}$ and $\text{supp } \eta_k(x) \subset B_{2^{k+2}} \setminus B_{2^{k-2}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, which is constructed as follows. Let $\eta(x)$ is a monotone non-decreasing function from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is equal to zero on the interval $[-\infty, \frac{1}{4}]$ and is equal to one on the interval $[\frac{3}{4}, +\infty]$. Further, we denote by $\eta_k(x)$ the following function

$$\eta_k(x) = \begin{cases} \eta\left(\frac{|x| - r_{k-2}}{r_{k-1} - r_{k-2}}\right), & \text{if } x \in \overline{B_{r_{k-1}}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}} \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in \overline{B_{r_{k+1}}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}} \\ \eta\left(\frac{r_{k+2} - |x|}{r_{k+2} - r_{k+1}}\right), & \text{if } x \in \overline{B_{r_{k+2}}} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}. \end{cases}$$

We have the estimate

$$|\nabla \eta_k(x)|^2 \leq \frac{c}{|x|^2},$$

where c doesn't depend on k . Then, considering the Hardy inequality, we obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B_{r_{k+1}}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B_{r_{k-2}}}) &\leq \\ \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B_{r_{k-2}}}} |\nabla(\eta_k(x)u(x))|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B_{r_{k-2}}}} |\nabla \eta_k(x)u(x)|^2 dx + \\ 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B_{r_{k-2}}}} |\eta_k(x)\nabla u(x)|^2 dx &\leq 2c \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B_{r_{k-2}}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx,$$

where b_1 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) \leq \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to no more than three areas $B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \leq \\ & b_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx + b_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \leq b_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where b_2, b_3, b_4 are positive constants, which don't depend on u .

Now let $n = 2$. Denote

$$\eta_k(x) = \begin{cases} \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{r_{k-2}}}{\ln \frac{r_{k-1}}{r_{k-2}}} \right), & \text{if } x \in \overline{B}_{r_{k-1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}} \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in \overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}} \\ \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{r_{k+2}}{|x|}}{\ln \frac{r_{k+2}}{r_{k+1}}} \right), & \text{if } x \in \overline{B}_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\eta(x)$ is a monotone non-decreasing function from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is equal to zero on the interval $[-\infty, \frac{1}{4}]$ and is equal to one on the interval $[\frac{3}{4}, +\infty]$. We have the estimate

$$|\nabla \eta_k(x)|^2 \leq \frac{c}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|},$$

where c doesn't depend on k . Then, considering the Hardy inequality, we obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla(\eta_k(x)u(x))|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla\eta_k(x)u(x)|^2 dx + \\ 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\eta_k(x)\nabla u(x)|^2 dx &\leq 2c \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2|x|} dx + \\ b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where b_1 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) &\leq \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2|x|} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to no more than three areas $B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2|x|} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_1 \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx &\leq \\ b_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2|x|} dx + b_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx &\leq b_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where b_2, b_3, b_4 are positive constants, which don't depend on u .

The converse. Let

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) < \infty$$

and $n \geq 3$. Let's consider a shearing function

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \eta \left(\frac{|x| - r_{k-1}}{r_k - r_{k-1}} \right), & \text{if } |x| \leq r_k \\ \eta \left(\frac{r_{k+1} - |x|}{r_{k+1} - r_k} \right), & \text{if } |x| \geq r_k. \end{cases}$$

Denote

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_k(x)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i(x)}.$$

Obviously,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(x) = 1.$$

From the condition on the capacity, we have functions $u_k(x)$, which implement the capacity and equal to $\varphi - c$ on $B_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ and with supports from $B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}$. Let's notice that

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \psi_k(x) = \varphi - c,$$

if x is from a neighborhood of the set $(\overline{B}_{r_{N_2-1}} \setminus B_{r_{N_1+1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \psi_k(x) \right|^2 &= \left| \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \nabla u_k(x) \psi_k(x) + \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \nabla \psi_k(x) \right|^2 \leq \\ &2 \left| \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \nabla u_k(x) \psi_k(x) \right|^2 + 2 \left| \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \nabla \psi_k(x) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are no more than three natural numbers $k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_2\}$ such that $\psi_k(x) \neq 0$, then we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \nabla u_k(x) \psi_k(x) \right|^2 \leq 9 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 |\psi_k(x)|^2.$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \nabla \psi_k(x) \right|^2 \leq 9 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} |u_k(x)|^2 |\nabla \psi_k(x)|^2.$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\left| \nabla \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \psi_k(x) \right|^2 \leq 18 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 |\psi_k(x)|^2 + 18 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} |u_k(x)|^2 |\nabla \psi_k(x)|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x)\psi_k(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \leq 18 \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)\psi_k(x)|^2 dx + \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |u_k(x)\nabla\psi_k(x)|^2 dx \right).$$

The first term in the last expression can be estimated as follows

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)\psi_k(x)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx,$$

since $|\psi_k(x)| \leq 1$. Using the fact that

$$|\nabla\psi_k(x)| \leq \frac{r_{k+1} - r_k}{2^k}$$

and Friedrichs' inequality, we estimate the second term as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |u_k(x)\nabla\psi_k(x)|^2 dx &\leq \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \frac{(r_{k+1} - r_k)^2}{4^k} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |u_k(x)|^2 dx \leq \\ &c_1 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u_k and ψ_k . We obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{N_2}} \setminus B_{r_{N_1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x)\psi_k(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \leq \\ &c_2 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx = \\ &\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_2 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u_k and ψ_k . As N_2 tending to infinity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \setminus B_{r_{N_1}}) &\leq \\ \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

what implies that

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty.$$

Now let $n = 2$. Then let's consider a shearing function

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{|x|}{r_{k-1}}}{\ln \frac{r_k}{r_{k-1}}} \right), & \text{if } |x| \leq r_k \\ \eta \left(\frac{\ln \frac{r_{k+1}}{|x|}}{\ln \frac{r_{k+1}}{r_k}} \right), & \text{if } |x| \geq r_k, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_k(x)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i(x)}.$$

Obviously,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(x) = 1.$$

From the condition on the capacity, we have functions $u_k(x)$, which implement the capacity and equal to $\varphi - c$ on $B_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ and with supports from $B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}$. Let's notice that

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x)\psi_k(x) = \varphi - c,$$

if x is from a neighborhood of the set $(\overline{B}_{r_{N_2-1}} \setminus B_{r_{N_1+1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$. It is easy to see that the functions ψ_k again satisfy the following relations

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x)\psi_k(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \leq 18 \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)\psi_k(x)|^2 dx + \right.$$

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |u_k(x) \nabla \psi_k(x)|^2 dx \Big) .$$

The first term in the last expression can be estimated as follows

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x) \psi_k(x)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx,$$

since $|\psi_k(x)| \leq 1$. Then, using the fact that $|\nabla \psi_k(x)| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|x| \ln |x|}$ and the Hardy inequality, we estimate the second term as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |u_k(x) \nabla \psi_k(x)|^2 dx &\leq \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} \frac{|u_k(x)|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq \\ &c_1 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u_k and ψ_k . We obtain a chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{N_2}} \setminus B_{r_{N_1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} u_k(x) \psi_k(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \leq \\ &c_2 \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus B_{r_{k-2}}} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx = \\ &\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_2 is a positive constant, which doesn't depend on u_k and ψ_k . As N_2 tending to infinity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \setminus B_{r_{N_1}}) &\leq \\ \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_{\varphi-c}((\overline{B}_{r_{k+1}} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega), B_{r_{k+2}} \setminus \overline{B}_{r_{k-2}}) &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

what implies that

$$\text{cap}_{\varphi-c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty.$$

□

Proof of the Theorem 4. Let u is a solution of the problem (1). Let's extend u on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ with value φ . Then, by the inequality (2), we obtain that

$$\sigma(\omega_k, \mu_k) \|u - c_k\|_{L_2(\omega_k, \mu_k)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\omega_k)},$$

what implies that

$$\sigma^2(\omega_k, \mu_k) \|\varphi - c_k\|_{L_2(\omega_k \setminus \Omega, \mu_k)}^2 = \sigma^2(\omega_k, \mu_k) \|u - c_k\|_{L_2(\omega_k \setminus \Omega, \mu_k)}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\omega_k)}^2.$$

Summing this relation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma^2(\omega_k, \mu_k) \|\varphi - c_k\|_{L_2(\omega_k \setminus \Omega, \mu_k)}^2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\omega_k)}^2 = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \setminus \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let's notice that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \setminus \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_k \setminus \Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma^2(\omega_k, \mu_k) \|\varphi - c_k\|_{L_2(\omega_k \setminus \Omega, \mu_k)}^2 < \infty,$$

which immediately implies (4). The Theorem is completely proved. □

Acknowledgments

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor A.A. Kon'kov for setting the problem and for the interest shown in the process of its solution.

References

- [1] A.L. Beklaryan, Existence theorems for elliptic equations in unbounded domains, *Proceedings of III International conference "Optimization and applications" (OPTIMA-2012)*, Portugal (2012), 47-50.
- [2] A.L. Beklaryan, On the Existence of Solutions of the First Boundary Value Problem for Elliptic Equations on Unbounded Domains, *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **19**, No. 4 (2012), 508-511, DOI: 10.1134/S1061920812040115.
- [3] L. Bers, F. John, M. Schechter, *Partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Soc., USA (1964).
- [4] I.M. Gel'fand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalized functions. Vol. I: Properties and operations*, MA: Academic Press, Boston (1964).
- [5] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, New York-London-Tokyo (1952).
- [6] A.N. Kolmogorov, S.V. Fomin, *Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis*, Dover Publications, USA (1999).
- [7] V.A. Kondrat'ev, The Solvability of the First Boundary Value Problem for Strongly Elliptic Equations, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs.*, **16** (1967), 209-292 [in Russian].
- [8] A.A. Kon'kov, On the Dimension of the Solution Space of Elliptic Systems in Unbounded Domains, *Russ. Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.*, **80**, No. 2 (1995), 411-434, DOI: 10.1070/SM1995v080n02ABEH003531.
- [9] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Academic Press, New York-London (1968).
- [10] V.G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1985).
- [11] S.G. Mihlin, *Linear partial differential equations*, Vysshaya shkola, Russia (1977) [in Russian].

- [12] V.S. Vladimirov, *Equations of mathematical physics*, Mir, Moscow (1984).