

**OPTIMAL CONVEX COMBINATION BOUNDS OF
THE CONTRAHARMONIC AND HARMONIC MEANS
FOR THE WEIGHTED GEOMETRIC MEAN**

Shaoqin Gao^{1 §}, Lingling Song², Mengna You³

^{1,2,3}College of Mathematics and Computer Sciences

Hebei University

Baoding, 071002, P.R. CHINA

Abstract: We find the greatest value α and the least value β such that the double inequality

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < S(a, b) < \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Here $C(a, b)$, $H(a, b)$ and $S(a, b)$ denote the contraharmonic, harmonic, and the weighted geometric means of two positive numbers a and b respectively.

AMS Subject Classification: 65N15

Key Words: optimal convex combination bound, contraharmonic mean, harmonic mean, the weighted geometric mean

1. Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ the weighted geometric mean $S(a, b)$ of a and b with weights $\frac{a}{a+b}$ and $\frac{b}{a+b}$:

$$S \equiv S(a, b) = a^{\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{\frac{b}{a+b}}. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{Let } H(a, b) = \frac{2ab}{a+b}, G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}, L(a, b) = \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, P(a, b) = \frac{a-b}{4 \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \pi},$$

Received: January 26, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

$I(a, b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}$, $A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $T(a, b) = \frac{2(a^2+ab+b^2)}{3(a+b)}$ and $C(a, b) = \frac{a^2+b^2}{a+b}$ be the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, Seiffert, identric, arithmetic, centroidal and contraharmonic means of two positive real numbers a and b with $a \neq b$. Then

$$\min\{a, b\} < H(a, b) < G(a, b) < L(a, b) < P(a, b) < I(a, b) < A(a, b) < T(a, b) < S(a, b) < C(a, b) < \max(a, b). \quad (1.2)$$

The weighted geometric mean is a special case of Geni's mean which can be found in the literature [6] and is related to the identric mean in the literature [9] as follows:

$$S(a, b) = \frac{I(a^2, b^2)}{I(a, b)}. \quad (1.3)$$

For more properties of the mean $S(a, b)$ can be seen in the literature [8], [10] and [14].

In [14], it has been shown that

$$A_2(a, b) < S(a, b) \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$A_2(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} \quad (1.5)$$

and also that inequality is sharp in a certain sense.

In [15], it has been shown that

$$A_2(a, b) < S(a, b) < \sqrt{2}A_2(a, b) \quad (1.6)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [16], the authors found the greatest value p and the least value q such that the double inequality

$$M_p(a, b) < A^\alpha(a, b)G^\beta(a, b)H^{1-\alpha-\beta}(a, b) < M_q(a, b) \quad (1.7)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [5], the authors found the greatest value α and the least value β such that the double inequality

$$\alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta A(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b) \quad (1.8)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

The purpose of the present paper is to find the greatest value α and the least value β such that the double inequality

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < S(a, b) < \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b) \quad (1.9)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. *The double inequality*

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < S(a, b) < \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. If and only if $\alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $\beta \geq 1$.

Proof. Firstly. We prove that

$$S(a, b) < C(a, b), \quad (2.1)$$

$$S(a, b) > \frac{3}{4}C(a, b) + \frac{1}{4}H(a, b) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $a > b > 0$. Let $t = \frac{a}{b} > 1$ and $p \in \{\frac{3}{4}, 1\}$. Then (1.1) leads to

$$y = \frac{S(a, b)}{pC(a, b) + (1 - p)H(a, b)} = \frac{t^{\frac{t}{t+1}}(1+t)}{p(t-1)^2 + 2t}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$f(t) = \ln y = \frac{t}{t+1} \ln t + \ln(t+1) - \ln [pt^2 + (2-2p)t + p]. \quad (2.4)$$

Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} f(t) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = \ln \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{t}{t+1}}(1+t)}{p(t-1)^2 + 2t} = \ln \frac{1}{p}. \quad (2.6)$$

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{(t+1)^2} g(t), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{[(2-4p)t^2 + 4p - 2] + (pt^2 + 2t - 2pt + p) \ln t}{p(t-1)^2 + 2t}. \quad (2.8)$$

Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g(t) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.10)$$

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{[p(t-1)^2 + 2t]^2} h(t), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$h(t) = p^2 t^3 + (4p^2 - 8p + 4)t^2 + (-10p^2 + 4)t + (4p^2 - 8p + 4) + \frac{p^2}{t}. \quad (2.12)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} h(t) = -16p + 12, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = +\infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Now we divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1. If $p = 1$. Obviously,

$$S(a, b) < C(a, b) \quad (2.15)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Case 2. If $p = \frac{3}{4}$, then from (2.12) we get

$$h'(t) = 3p^2 t^2 + 2(4p^2 - 8p + 4)t + (-10p^2 + 4) - \frac{p^2}{t^2}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} h'(t) = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h'(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.18)$$

$$h''(t) = 6p^2t + (8p^2 - 16p + 8) + \frac{2p^2}{t^3}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1+} h''(t) = 16p^2 - 16p + 8 > 0, \quad (2.20)$$

$$h'''(t) = 6p^2 - \frac{6p^2}{t^4} = 6p^2(1 - t^{-4}) > 0. \quad (2.21)$$

From (2.21) we clearly see that $h'''(t) > 0$ for $\forall t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence $h''(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$. Because of $h''(1) > 0$, hence $h''(t) > 0$ for $\forall t \in (1, +\infty)$. Therefore, $h'(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$. It follows from (2.17) together with the monotonicity of $h'(t)$ that there is $h'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence from (2.16) $h(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1, +\infty)$. Note that (2.13) becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1+} h(t) = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

for $p = \frac{3}{4}$.

Then it together with the monotonicity of $h(t)$, that $h(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence from (2.11) $g'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence $g(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$.

From (2.9) together with the monotonicity of $g(t)$, hence $g(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence from (2.7) $f'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence $f(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$.

It follows from (2.5) together with the monotonicity of $f(t)$ that we know $f(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, +\infty)$, hence from (2.4)

$$y > 1 \quad (2.23)$$

for $t \in (1, +\infty)$.

Therefore, inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3) and (2.4) together with (2.23).

Secondly. We prove that $\beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b) = C(a, b)$ is the best possible upper convex combination bound of contraharmonic and harmonic means for the weighted geometric mean $S(a, b)$.

In fact, $\forall x > 1, \beta \in R$, if $\beta < 1$ we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S(x, 1)}{\beta C(x, 1) + (1 - \beta)H(x, 1)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x^{\frac{x}{x+1}}(1+x)}{\beta(x-1)^2 + 2x} = \frac{1}{\beta} > 1. \tag{2.24}$$

Inequality (2.24) implies that for $\forall \beta < 1, \exists x = X(\beta) > 1$ such that

$$\beta C(x, 1) + (1 - \beta)H(x, 1) < S(x, 1)$$

for $\forall x \in (X, +\infty)$.

Finally. We prove that $\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) = \frac{3}{4}C(a, b) + \frac{1}{4}H(a, b)$ is the best possible lower convex combination bound of contra harmonic and harmonic means for the weighted geometric mean $S(a, b)$.

For $\forall t > 1, \alpha \in R$, we have

$$y = \frac{S(t, 1)}{\alpha C(t, 1) + (1 - \alpha)H(t, 1)} = \frac{t^{\frac{t}{t+1}}(1+t)}{\alpha(t-1)^2 + 2t}. \tag{2.25}$$

Let

$$f(t) = \ln y = \frac{t}{t+1} \ln t + \ln(t+1) - \ln [\alpha t^2 + (2 - 2\alpha)t + \alpha], \tag{2.26}$$

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{(t+1)^2} g(t), \tag{2.27}$$

$$g(t) = \frac{[(2 - 4\alpha)t^2 + 4\alpha - 2] + (\alpha t^2 + 2t - 2\alpha t + \alpha) \ln t}{\alpha(t-1)^2 + 2t}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{[\alpha(t-1)^2 + 2t]^2} h(t), \tag{2.29}$$

$$h(t) = \alpha^2 t^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 4)t^2 + (-10\alpha^2 + 4)t + (4\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 4) + \frac{\alpha^2}{t}. \tag{2.30}$$

It follows from (2.26), (2.28) and (2.30), that

$$f(1) = g(1) = h(1) = 0, \tag{2.31}$$

$$h'(1) = -16\alpha + 12. \quad (2.32)$$

If $\alpha > \frac{3}{4}$, then (2.32) leads to

$$h'(1) < 0. \quad (2.33)$$

From (2.33) and the continuity of $h'(t)$ we see that $\exists \delta = \delta(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$h'(t) < 0 \quad (2.34)$$

for $\forall t \in [1, 1 + \delta)$. Then (2.31) and (2.34) imply that

$$f(1) < 0 \quad (2.35)$$

for $\forall t \in [1, 1 + \delta)$.

That is to say

$$y < 1 \quad (2.36)$$

for $\forall t \in [1, 1 + \delta)$.

Therefore, $\alpha C(t, 1) + (1 - \alpha)H(t, 1) > S(t, 1)$ for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta)$ follows from (2.25) and (2.36).

Acknowledgments

This research is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271106).

References

- [1] J. Lawson, H. Lee, Y. Lim, *Weighted Geometric Means* (2012).
- [2] H. Seiffert, Problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, **11**, No. 2 (1993), 176.
- [3] B. Long, Y. Chu. Optimal power mean bounds for the weighted geometric mean of classical means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2010), ArticleID 9056-79, 6 pages.
- [4] Y. Chu, Y. Qiu, M. Wang, G. Wang, The optimal convex combination bounds of arithmetic and harmonic means for the Seifferts mean, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Article ID 436457, **doi:** 10.1155/436457, 7 pages.

- [5] M. Xueya, The inequality of weighted geometric mean, *Journal of Math*, **26**, No. 3 (2006), 319-322.
- [6] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, Inequalities involving Stolarsky and Gini means, *Math. Pannonica*, **14**, No. 1 (2003), 29-44.
- [7] B. Long, Y. Chu, Optimal inequalities for generalized logarithmic, arithmetic and geometric means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2010**, Article ID 806825, 10 pages (2010).
- [8] J. Sándor, On the identric and logarithmic means, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **40**, No. 1 (1990), 261-270.
- [9] J. Sándor, A note on some inequalities for means, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **56**, No. 5 (1991), 471-473.
- [10] J. Sándor, On certain identities for means, *Studia Univ. Babe, s-Bolayi Math.*, **38** (1993), 7-14.
- [11] W. Xia, Y. Chu, G. Wang, The optimal upper and lower power mean bounds for a convex combination of the arithmetic and logarithmic means, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2010**, Article ID604804, 9 pages (2010).
- [12] Y. Chu, W. Xia, Two sharp inequalities for power mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2009**, Article ID 741923, 6 pages (2009).
- [13] T. Hara, M. Uchiyama, S. Takahasi, A refinement of various mean inequalities, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2**, No. 4 (1998), 387-395.
- [14] J. Sándor, I. Rasa, Inequalities for certain means in two arguments, *ieuw Arch., voor Wiskunde*, **15** (1997), 51-56.
- [15] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, Companion inequalities for certain bivariate means, *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, **1**, No. 3 (2009), 46-51.
- [16] B. Long, Y. Chu, Optimal power mean bounds for the weighted geometric mean of classical means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2010**, Article ID 905679, 6 pages (2010).
- [17] A. Jagers, Solution of problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, **12** (1994), 230-231.

- [18] P.P. Hästö, Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power mean, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **7**, No. 1 (2004), 47-53.

