

REFLEXIVE NON-DEROGATORY MATRICES

Y. Jahanshahi¹, B. Yousefi² §

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Payame Noor University

P.O. Box: 19395-4697, Tehran, IRAN

Abstract: A matrix A is called reflexive if and only if $Lat(A) \subseteq Lat(B)$ implies that $B = p(A)$ for some polynomial p . In this article, we characterize reflexive non-derogatory matrices.

Key Words: invariant subspace, reflexive matrix, Jordan block, non-derogatory matrix

1. Introduction

Let M_n be the algebra all $n \times n$ complex matrices. For any matrix $A \in M_n$, a closed linear subspace F of \mathbb{C}^n is A -invariant if $A(F) \subseteq F$. We let $Lat(A)$ denote the lattice of all closed subspaces invariant for A , and $AlgLat(A)$ is the algebra of all matrices $B \in M_n$ such that $Lat(A) \subseteq Lat(B)$. A matrix $A \in M_n$ is said to be reflexive if $AlgLat(A) = W(A)$, where $W(A)$ is the weakly closed algebra generated by A and I . Actually, $A \in M_n$ is reflexive if and only if $Lat(A) \subset Lat(B)$ implies that $B = p(A)$ for some polynomial P .

Let $A \in M_n$. If $m = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{r_i}$ is the minimal polynomial of A , with

Received: June 14, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n distinct irreducible monic polynomials, we recall that the subspaces $M_i = \ker p_i(A)^{r_i}$; $1 \leq i \leq n$, are invariant for A , are linearly independent, and span \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose that $A_i = A|_{M_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In [1] Deddens and Fillmore showed that if $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \bigoplus A_i$, then A is reflexive if and only if each A_i is reflexive. A square complex matrix $A \in M_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ is similar to a block diagonal matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_1(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2(\lambda_2) & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_k(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n$ and each block $J_i(\lambda_i)$ is a square matrix of the form

$$J_i(\lambda_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & 0 & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

So there exists an invertible matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = J$ is such that the only non-zero entries of J are on the diagonal and the superdiagonal. The matrix J is called the Jordan normal form of A . Each $J_k(\lambda)$ is called a Jordan block of A . A matrix A is non-derogatory if and only if its characteristic polynomial coincides with its minimum polynomial. For some sources on these topics one can see [1-6].

2. Reflexivity of Non-Derogatory Matrices

For the proof of the main result we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $J_k(\lambda)$ be a $k \times k$ Jordan block. Lat($J_k(\lambda)$) is*

$$E = \{0, \text{span}(e_1), \text{span}(e_1, e_2), \dots, \text{span}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}), \mathbb{C}^k\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to show that E is $J_k(\lambda)$ -invariant. It is sufficient that, if W is a $J_k(\lambda)$ -invariant subspace of \mathbb{C}^k of dimension at least 1, then $W \in E$. Let $J_k(\lambda)W \subseteq W$, since e_1 is the only eigenvector of $J_k(\lambda)$, so $e_1 \in W$. Suppose

$e_2 \notin W$. For every $w \in W$, we have $w = (w_1, 0, w_2, \dots, w_k)^T$. Hence $J_k(\lambda)W \subseteq W$ which implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda w_1 \\ w_3 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w = (w_1 \ 0 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_k)^T \in W$. So $w_3 = 0$ and $e_3 \notin W$. It follows that if $e_i \notin W$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$, then $e_{i+1} \notin W$. Hence $W \in E$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Every Jordan block of degree at least 2 is not reflexive.*

Proof. Let $B = (B)_{i,j} \in M_n$ and $\text{Lat}(J_n(\lambda)) \subseteq \text{Lat}(B)$. So

$$E = \{0, \text{span}(e_1), \text{span}(e_1, e_2), \dots, \text{span}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}), \mathbb{C}^k\} \subseteq \text{Lat}(B).$$

Since $e_1 \in E$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,n} \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \dots & b_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n,1} & b_{n,2} & \dots & b_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} \\ b_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n,1} \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that $b_{2,1} = b_{3,1} = \dots = b_{n,1} = 0$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in E$. Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,n} \\ 0 & b_{2,2} & \dots & b_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{n,2} & \dots & b_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} + b_{1,2} \\ b_{2,2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{2,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $b_{3,2} = b_{4,2} = \dots = b_{n,2} = 0$. Consequently, B should be an upper triangular matrix. In the other hand, $B = p(J_n(\lambda))$ implies that

$$B = c_0I + c_1J_n(\lambda) + \dots + c_n(J_n(\lambda))^n,$$

where c_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are constant complex numbers.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} &= c_0 + c_1\lambda + \dots + c_n\lambda^n, \\ b_{22} &= c_0 + c_1\lambda + \dots + c_n\lambda^n, \\ &\vdots \\ b_{nn} &= c_0 + c_1\lambda + \dots + c_n\lambda^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that all entries on diagonal of B are equal. So by the above discussion $J_n(\lambda)$ is not reflexive. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be a non-derogatory matrix. Then A is reflexive if and only if every eigenvalue of A has algebraic multiplicity of 1.*

Proof. By using Theorem 1 in [1] and Theorem 2.2, the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] J. A. Deddens and P. A. Fillmore, Reflexive linear transformation, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, **10** (1975), 89-93.
- [2] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, London (1985).
- [3] S. Monica Osnaga, On rank one matrices and invariant subspaces, *Balkan Journal of Geometry and its Appl.*, **10** (2005), 383-406.
- [4] H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Eng. Der Math. Und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Bd. 77, Springer, Berlin (1973).
- [5] K. Seddighi and B. Yousefi, On the reflexivity of operators on function spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116** (1992), 45-52.
- [6] B. Yousefi, Multiplication operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **83**, No. 6 (2004), 536-539.