

REFLEXIVITY IN ASSOCIATIVE TRIPLE SYSTEMS

V. Ramaswamy¹, D. Rajalakshmi^{2 §}

Principal, BIET

Davangere, Karnataka, 577 004, INDIA

²Department of Mathematics

Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre

SASTRA University, Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, 612 001, INDIA

Abstract: In the present paper, we define the notions of regularity, strong regularity and reflexivity in associative triple systems and prove some theorems concerning these notions.

AMS Subject Classification: 05B07

Key Words: regularity, strong regularity, reflexivity

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A vector space T over a field F together with a trilinear map $(x, y, z) \rightarrow \langle x, y, z \rangle$ is called a triple system. A triple system T is said to be an associative triple system if $\langle \langle x, y, z \rangle, u, v \rangle = \langle x, \langle y, z, u \rangle, v \rangle = \langle x, y, \langle z, u, v \rangle \rangle$ for all $x, y, z, u, v \in T$. For example, if A is an associative algebra, then the underlying vector space of A together with the map $(x, y, z) \rightarrow xyz$ is an associative triple system which we denote by T_A . T_A is called the triple system associated with the algebra A . Hence forward, T will denote an associative triple system. We call an element x in T invertible if there exists an element $x_1 \in T$ such that $\langle x, x_1, t \rangle = \langle x_1, x, t \rangle = \langle t, x, x_1 \rangle = \langle t, x_1, x \rangle = t$ for all $t \in T$. x_1 is called an inverse of x . It can be easily seen that an invertible element of a triple system T has a unique inverse. Triple system T

Received: April 28, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

is said to be a division triple system if every non zero element of T is invertible. It can be easily shown that T is a division triple system if and only if the following

condition is satisfied: For $a, b, c \in T$ ($a, b \neq 0$), the equations $\langle a, b, x \rangle = c$, $\langle a, x, b \rangle = c$ and $\langle x, a, b \rangle = c$ have solutions. If A is a division algebra, then the triple system T_A associated with A is a division triple system.

The odd powers of an element $a \in T$ are defined recursively as follows. $a^1 = a$, $a^{[2(n+1)+1]} = \langle a^{(2n+1)}, a, a \rangle$. a is said to be nilpotent if $a^{(2n+1)} = 0$ for some positive integer n . For $x \in T$, we define a map $U_x : T \rightarrow T$ as $U_x(y) = \langle x, y, x \rangle$. x is said to be a zero divisor if U_x is not injective.

An element $a \in T$ is said to be regular if there exist an element $x \in T$ such that $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. x is referred to as a generalized inverse of a . a is said to be unit regular if there exists an invertible element x such that $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. a is said to be strongly regular if there exists an element $x \in T$ such that $a = \langle a, a, x \rangle$. T is said to be strongly regular if every element of T is strongly regular. An element x is said to be a reflexive inverse of a if $a = U_a(x)$ and $x = U_x(a)$. If x is a reflexive inverse of a , then it follows that a is regular with x as a generalized inverse.

2. Main Results

The relationship between regularity and strong regularity is given by the following.

Theorem 2.1. For each element a of a strongly regular triple system T , there corresponds an element x such that $\langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle = a$. Thus every element of a strongly regular triple system T is regular.

Proof. We will first prove that a strongly regular triple system T cannot contain any nonzero nilpotent element. Suppose $s \in T$ and s is nilpotent. Then $s^{(2p+1)} = 0$ for some positive integer p . Since T is strongly regular, there exists $t \in T$ such that $s = \langle s, s, t \rangle$. Now $s = \langle s, s, t \rangle = \langle s, \langle s, s, t \rangle, t \rangle = \langle s^3, t, t \rangle$ (by associativity). We will now prove that $s = \langle s^{(2n+1)}, t^{(2n-1)}, t \rangle$ by induction on n . The result is true when $n = 1$. Now assume that $s = \langle s^{(2n+1)}, t^{(2n-1)}, t \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \langle \langle s^{(2n-1)}, s, s \rangle, t^{(2n-1)}, t \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle s^{(2n-1)}, s, \langle s, s, t \rangle \rangle, t^{(2n-1)}, t \rangle \quad (\text{note that } s = \langle s, s, t \rangle) \\ &= \langle s^{(2n+1)}, s, t^{(2n+1)} \rangle \quad (\text{by associativity}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle s^{(2n+1)}, \langle s, s, t \rangle, t^{(2n+1)} \rangle \text{ since } s = \langle s, s, t \rangle \\
&= \langle s^{(2n+3)}, t^{(2n+1)}, t \rangle \text{ (by associativity)}
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved that $s = \langle s^{(2n+1)}, t^{(2n-1)}, t \rangle$ for all positive integers n . Since $s^{(2p+1)} = 0$, we conclude that $s = 0$. We will now come to the proof of the theorem. Since T is strongly regular, so is a . Hence there exists an element x such that $a = \langle a, a, x \rangle$. Using associativity of T , we can easily prove that $(a - \langle a, x, a \rangle) = 0$. Therefore $a - \langle a, x, a \rangle$ is a nilpotent element of the strongly regular triple system T . By what we have proved above, we conclude that $a - \langle a, x, a \rangle = 0$ showing that $a = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. Similarly, we can prove that $a = \langle x, a, a \rangle$. \square

Theorem 2.2. For a nonzero regular element a of a triple system T , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a has a unique generalized inverse.
- (ii) a is not a zero divisor.
- (iii) There exist an element $x \in T$ such that $\langle x, a, t \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = t$ for all t .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let x be the unique generalized inverse of a . Then $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. Let $t \in T$ such that $U_a(t) = 0$. Now $U_a(x + t) = \langle a, x + t, a \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle + \langle a, t, a \rangle = a$ since $\langle a, t, a \rangle = 0$. Hence $x + t$ is also a generalized inverse of a . By the uniqueness of x , we conclude that $x + t = x$ so that $t = 0$. U_a is thus injective proving that a is not a zero divisor.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Since a is regular, we can find an element $x \in T$ such that $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. Let $t \in T$ be arbitrary. Then Since a is not a zero divisor, U_a is injective so that $t - \langle x, a, t \rangle = 0$ proving that $t = \langle x, a, t \rangle$. Similarly, we can prove that $t = \langle t, a, x \rangle$ for all $t \in T$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i)

Since a is regular, a has a generalized inverse say t so that $a = U_a(t) = \langle a, t, a \rangle$. By (iii), there exists an element $x \in T$ such that $\langle x, a, t \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = t$. Now $\langle x, a, x \rangle = \langle x, \langle a, t, a \rangle, x \rangle = \langle \langle x, a, t \rangle, a, x \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = t$. This proves that t is unique. \square

Theorem 2.3. For a non zero element a of a strongly regular triple system T , the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) a has a unique generalized inverse.
- (ii) a is not a zero divisor.
- (iii) a is invertible.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since T is strongly regular, it follows from theorem that a is regular so that by theorem , a is not a zero divisor.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since T is strongly regular ,it follows from the theorem that there exists an element x such that $a = \langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle$. Also by the theorem, $\langle x, a, t \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = t$ for all $t \in T$. Now, $U_a(t - \langle a, x, t \rangle) = \langle a, t - \langle a, x, t \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, t, a \rangle - \langle a, \langle a, x, t \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, t, a \rangle - \langle \langle a, a, x \rangle, t, a \rangle = \langle a, t, a \rangle - \langle a, t, a \rangle = 0$. Since a is not a zero divisor, U_a is injective so that $t = \langle a, x, t \rangle$. Similarly using $a = \langle x, a, a \rangle$,we can prove that $t = \langle t, x, a \rangle$. x is thus the inverse of a .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Since by Theorem, a is regular, (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.4. T is a division triple system if and only if every non zero element of T is strongly regular with a unique generalized inverse.

Proof. If T is a division triple system, then every nonzero element $a \in T$ has an inverse say $a^{(-1)}$. Hence $\langle a, a, a^{(-1)} \rangle = a$ which proves that a is strongly regular. Thus T is a strongly regular triple system in which a is invertible so that by Theorem 2.3, a has a unique generalized inverse. Conversely, if every non zero element of T is strongly regular with a unique generalized inverse, then T is a strongly regular triple system so that by Theorem 2.3, every non zero element of T is invertible. Hence T is a division triple system. \square

Lemma 2.5. If a is a regular element of T with a generalized inverse x , then $\langle x, a, x \rangle$ is a reflexive inverse of a .

Proof. x is a generalized inverse of a means $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$. If $y = \langle x, a, x \rangle$, then $U_a(y) = \langle a, y, a \rangle = \langle a, \langle x, a, x \rangle, a \rangle = \langle \langle a, x, a \rangle, x, a \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle = a$. Again $U_y(a) = \langle y, a, y \rangle = \langle \langle x, a, x \rangle, a, \langle x, a, x \rangle \rangle = \langle x, \langle a, x, a \rangle, x \rangle = \langle x, a, x \rangle = y$. This shows that y is a reflexive inverse of a . We call an element $x \in T$ a strong reflexive inverse of a if $\langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle = a$ and $\langle x, x, a \rangle = \langle x, a, x \rangle = \langle a, x, x \rangle = x$. \square

Theorem 2.6. If a is an element of T with a unique reflexive inverse x , then $\langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle$ and $\langle a, x, x \rangle = \langle x, x, a \rangle$.

Proof. Since x is a reflexive inverse of a , we have $U_a(x) = a$ and $U_x(a) = x$. For $y \in T$, we have $U_a(x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle) = \langle a, x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle + \langle a, y, a \rangle - \langle a, \langle x, a, y \rangle, a \rangle = U_a(x) + U_a(y) - \langle \langle a, x, a \rangle, y, a \rangle = U_a(x) + U_a(y) - U_a(y)$ since $\langle a, x, a \rangle = U_a(x) = a = U_a(x) = a$. Also $U_a(x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle) = \langle a, x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, x, a \rangle + \langle a, y, a \rangle - \langle a, \langle y, a, x \rangle, a \rangle = U_a(x) + U_a(y) - \langle a, y, \langle a, x, a \rangle \rangle = U_a(x) + U_a(y) - U_a(y) = U_a(x) = a$. Thus $x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle$ and $x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle$ are both generalized inverses of a . From the Lemma, it follows that $\langle x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle, a, x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle, a, x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle \rangle$ are both reflexive inverses of a . Since x is the unique reflexive inverse of a , we have $x = \langle x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle, a, x + y - \langle x, a, y \rangle \rangle$. Using associativity of T , $\langle x, a, x \rangle = x$ and $\langle a, x, a \rangle = a$ and simplifying, we obtain $x = x + \langle y, a, x \rangle - \langle \langle x, a, y \rangle, a, x \rangle$ so that $\langle y, a, x \rangle = \langle \langle x, a, y \rangle, a, x \rangle$ for all $y \in T$. Similarly, using the fact that $\langle x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle, a, x + y - \langle y, a, x \rangle \rangle$ is a reflexive inverse of a , we can prove that $\langle x, a, y \rangle = \langle x, a, \langle y, a, x \rangle \rangle$ for all $y \in T$. Thus for all $y \in T$,

$$\langle x, a, y \rangle = \langle y, a, x \rangle \tag{2.1}$$

Taking $y = a$ in 2.1, we obtain $\langle x, a, a \rangle = \langle a, a, x \rangle$. Again, taking $y = \langle x, x, a \rangle$ in 2.1, we obtain $\langle x, a, \langle x, x, a \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle x, x, a \rangle, a, x \rangle$ i.e $\langle \langle x, a, x \rangle, x, a \rangle = \langle \langle x, x, a \rangle, a, x \rangle$. Since $\langle x, a, x \rangle = x$, we have

$$\langle x, x, a \rangle = \langle \langle x, x, a \rangle, a, x \rangle = \langle x, \langle x, a, a \rangle, x \rangle \tag{2.2}$$

Taking $y = \langle a, x, x \rangle$ in 2.1, we obtain $\langle x, a, \langle a, x, x \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle a, x, x \rangle, a, x \rangle$ so that $\langle x, \langle a, a, x \rangle, x \rangle = \langle a, x, \langle x, a, x \rangle \rangle$. i.e $\langle x, \langle a, a, x \rangle, x \rangle = \langle a, x, x \rangle$ since $\langle x, a, x \rangle = x$. Since we have already proved that $\langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle$, this implies that

$$\langle x, \langle x, a, a \rangle, x \rangle = \langle a, x, x \rangle \tag{2.3}$$

From 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain $\langle x, x, a \rangle = \langle a, x, x \rangle$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 2.7. Let a be an element of T with a reflexive inverse x such that $\langle x, x, a \rangle = x$. Further, let x be the unique generalized inverse of a . Then a is invertible.

Proof. Since x is a reflexive inverse of a , we have $a = U_a(x) = \langle a, x, a \rangle$ and $x = U_x(a) = \langle x, a, x \rangle$. Since x is the unique generalized inverse of a , x is also the unique reflexive inverse of a . Hence it follows from Theorem 2.6 that $\langle a, a, x \rangle = \langle x, a, a \rangle$ and $\langle a, x, x \rangle = \langle x, x, a \rangle$. This together with the hypothesis gives $\langle a, x, x \rangle = \langle x, x, a \rangle = \langle x, a, x \rangle = x$. Now for any $t \in T$, we have $\langle a, x, t \rangle = \langle \langle a, x, a \rangle, x, t \rangle = \langle a, \langle x, a, x \rangle, t \rangle = \langle a, \langle x, x, a \rangle, t \rangle = \langle \langle a, x, x \rangle, a, t \rangle = \langle x, a, t \rangle$. Similarly, we can prove that $\langle t, a, x \rangle = \langle t, x, a \rangle$ for all $t \in T$. Now by Theorem 2.2, $\langle x, a, t \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = t$ for all $t \in T$ so that $\langle a, x, t \rangle = \langle x, a, t \rangle = \langle t, a, x \rangle = \langle t, x, a \rangle = t$ for all $t \in T$ proving that a is invertible with inverse x . \square

Theorem 2.8. Let a be an element of T possessing a reflexive inverse. Furthermore, let $\langle a, x, t \rangle = \langle x, a, t \rangle$ and $\langle t, a, x \rangle = \langle t, x, a \rangle$ for all $t \in T$ and for all generalized inverses x of a . Then a has a unique reflexive inverse.

Proof. Let y and z both be reflexive inverses of a . Then $a = \langle a, y, a \rangle = \langle a, z, a \rangle$, $y = \langle y, a, y \rangle$ and $z = \langle z, a, z \rangle$. Now $\langle a, y, t \rangle = \langle y, a, t \rangle$ (by hypothesis) $= \langle y, \langle a, z, a \rangle, t \rangle = \langle \langle y, a, z \rangle, a, t \rangle = \langle \langle a, y, z \rangle, a, t \rangle$ (by hypothesis since y is a generalized inverse) $= \langle a, y, \langle z, a, t \rangle \rangle$ (again by hypothesis since z is a generalized inverse) $= \langle \langle a, y, a \rangle, z, t \rangle = \langle a, z, t \rangle$. We have thus proved that $\langle a, y, t \rangle = \langle y, a, t \rangle = \langle a, z, t \rangle = \langle z, a, t \rangle$ for all $t \in T$. Similarly, we can prove that $\langle t, a, y \rangle = \langle t, y, a \rangle = \langle t, a, z \rangle = \langle t, z, a \rangle$ for all $t \in T$. Now $y = \langle y, a, y \rangle = \langle z, a, y \rangle$ (since by above, $\langle y, a, t \rangle = \langle z, a, t \rangle$ for all $t \in T$) $= \langle z, a, z \rangle$ (since $\langle t, a, y \rangle = \langle t, a, z \rangle$ for all $t \in T$) $= z$. This proves that reflexive inverse of a is unique. \square

References

- [1] . Meyberg K., *Lectures on Algebra and Triple Systems*, Lecture Notes, The University of Virginia, Charlottesville.
- [2] .V. Ramaswamy, Strong regularity in associative triple systems, *Acta Scientia Indica*, **XIX**, No. 4 (1993), 193.