

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF WEAK SOLUTION FOR WEIGHTED LAPLACE DIRICHLET PROBLEM

Tahar Bouali¹ §, Rafik Guefaïa²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Tebessa University

Tebessa, 12000, ALGERIA

Abstract: This paper deals with the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(h(x)\nabla u) + \lambda a(x)u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

in bounded domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with Dirichlet boundary value condition. The existence and uniqueness results are obtained by Browder Theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 35D05, 35J60, 35J70, 15A18

Key Words: weak solution, nonlinear elliptic equation, Laplace operator, Browder theorem

1. Introduction

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(h(x)\nabla u) + \lambda a(x)u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $\lambda > 0$ and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a

Received: June 23, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

caratheodory function which is decreasing with respect to the second variable, i.e.,

$$f(x, t_1) \leq f(x, t_2) \tag{1.2}$$

for a.a $x \in \Omega$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_1 \geq t_2$ and there exist α and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta$$

Assume, moreover, that there exists $f_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $c > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, s)| \leq f_0(x) + c|s| \tag{1.3}$$

Throughout this work, we assume the weight $h, h \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), h^{-s} \in L^1, s \in (\frac{N}{2}, \infty) \cap [1, +\infty)$. With the number s we define $2_s = \frac{2s}{s+1}, 2^*_s = \frac{N2_s}{N-2_s} > 2$.

We define the Hilbert spaces $W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)$ as the clusures of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_h^2 = \int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u|^2 dx \tag{1.4}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} h(x) \nabla u \nabla v dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x) u.v dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx \tag{1.5}$$

for all $u, v \in W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)$.

Lemma 1.2. The space $W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)$ is compactly imbedded into the space $L^2(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \tag{1.6}$$

which means that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_{emb} \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)} \tag{1.7}$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \leq c_{emb}^2 \int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u|^2 dx \tag{1.8}$$

where c_{emb} is the constant of the embedding of $W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$.

2. Preliminaries and Space Setting

Definition 2.1. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator on a real Banach space V . We say that the operator A is:

(i) *bounded*, iff it maps bounded sets into bounded i.e. for each $r > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ (M depending on r) such that

$$\|u\| \leq r \Rightarrow \|A(u)\| \leq M, \forall u \in V$$

(ii) *coercive*, iff

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|} = \infty$$

(iii) *monotone*, iff $\langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in V$.

(iv) *strictly monotone*, iff $\langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle > 0$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in V, u_1 \neq u_2$.

(v) *strongly monotone*, iff $\langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle \geq k \|u_1 - u_2\|$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in V, u_1 \neq u_2$.

(vi) *continuous*, iff $(u_n) \rightarrow^w u$ implies $Au_n \rightarrow A(u)$ for all $u_n, u \in V$.

(viii) *demicontinuous*, iff $(u_n) \rightarrow u$ implies $A(u_n) \rightarrow^w A(u)$ for all $u_n, u \in V$.

Theorem 2.2. (Browder [4]) *Let A be a reflexive real Banach space. Moreover let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator which is: bounded, demicontinuous, coercive, and monotone on the space V . Then, the system $A(u) = F$ has at least one solution $u \in V$ for each $F \in V'$: If moreover, A is strictly monotone operator, then the system (1.1) has precisely one solution $u \in V$ for every $F \in V'$.*

Proof. We consider the Sobolev space $X = W_0^{1,2}(h, \Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We define for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ operators $J, G, : X \rightarrow X^*$ by

$$\langle J(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h(x) \nabla u \nabla v dx$$

$$\langle G(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x) u \cdot v dx$$

and $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle F(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} [f(x, u) v] dx$$

for all $u, v \in X$.

We say that u is a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle = \langle J(u), v \rangle + \lambda \langle G(u), v \rangle - \langle F(u), v \rangle = 0$$

holds for any $v \in X$. Thus, to find a weak solution of (1.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in X$ which satisfies the operator equation $A(u) = 0$.

Now, we have the following properties of the operators J, G and F :

a) J, G and F are well defined. Using Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle J(u), v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} h(x) \nabla u \nabla v dx \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle G(u), v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} a(x) u \cdot v dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |a(x) u \cdot v| dx \\ &\leq \beta \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$|\langle F(u), v \rangle| = \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f_0(x) + c|u||v| dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_0(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence J, G and F are well defined.

b) J, G and F are bounded operators. Indeed, for every u such that $\|u\|_X \leq M$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(u)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |\langle J(u), v \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u| |\nabla v| dx \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder's inequality, we obtain

$$\|J(u)\|_{X^*} \leq \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \leq M$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(u)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |\langle G(u), v \rangle| \\ &\leq \beta \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \beta c_{emb}^2 M \end{aligned}$$

Also, we get

$$\|F(u)\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |\langle f(x, u), v \rangle|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (f_0(x) + c|u|) |v| \, dx \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} |f_0(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq c_{emb} \left(\|f_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_{emb} \|u\|_X \right) \\
 &\leq c_{emb} \left(\|f_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_{emb} M \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

c) J, G and F are continuous operators. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X : Then, we have $\|u_n - u\|_X \rightarrow 0$, so that $\|u_n - u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$.

Applying Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\|h(x) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|J(u_n) - J(u)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |J(u_n) - J(u), v| \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left(\int_{\Omega} (h(x) [\nabla u_n - \nabla u]^2) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq c_{emb} \left(\int_{\Omega} (h(x) [\nabla u_n - \nabla u]^2) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G(u_n) - G(u)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |G(u_n) - G(u), v| \\
 &\leq \beta c_{emb} \left(\int_{\Omega} (u_n - u)^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, we get

$$\|F(u_n) - F(u)\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_{X^*} \leq 1} |F(u_n) - F(u), v|$$

$$\leq c_{emb} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n) - f(x, u)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

d) So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J(u) - J(v), u - v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} h(x) (\nabla u - \nabla v)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} h(x) \nabla u (\nabla u - \nabla v) dx - \int_{\Omega} h(x) \nabla v (\nabla u - \nabla v) dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$I_1 + I_2 \geq \int_{\Omega} h(x) |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 dx = C \|u - v\|_X^2.$$

So

$$\langle J(u) - J(v), u - v \rangle \geq C \|u - v\|_X^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G(u) - G(v), u - v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) (u - v)^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u - v|^2 dx \\ &\geq \alpha C \|u - v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle G(u) - G(v), u - v \rangle \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Also, we get

$$\langle F(u) - F(v), u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} [f(x, u) - f(x, v)] (u - v) dx.$$

Since f is decreasing with respect to the second variable, we have

$$[f(x, u) - f(x, v)] (u - v) \leq 0$$

consequently

$$\langle F(u) - F(v), u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} [f(x, u) - f(x, v)](u - v) dx \leq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

Equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq C \|u - v\|_X^2. \tag{2.4}$$

So A is strongly monotone.

Now, to apply Browder Theorem, it remains to prove that A is a coercive operator.

From (2.4), we have

$$\langle A(u), u \rangle \geq \langle A(0), u \rangle + C \|u\|_X^2.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(0), u \rangle &= \langle J(0), u \rangle + \lambda \langle G(0), u \rangle - \langle F(0), u \rangle \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} [f(x, 0) u] dx \geq - \int_{\Omega} f_0 u dx \\ &\geq - \left[\int_{\Omega} (f_0(x))^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq c_{emb} \|f_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\langle A(u), u \rangle \geq C \|u\|_X^2 - c_{emb} \|f_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_X.$$

So,

$$\lim_{\|u\|_X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle A(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|_X} = \infty.$$

This proves the coercivity condition and so, the existence of weak solution for (1.1).

The uniqueness of weak solution of (1.1), is a direct consequence of (2.4). Suppose that u, v be a weak solutions of (1.1) such that $u \neq v$.

Now, from (2.4), we have

$$0 = \langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq C \|u - v\|_X^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore $u = v$. This completes the proof. □

References

- [1] G.A. Afrouzi, S. Mahdavi, Z. Naghizadeh, Existence and uniqueness of solution for p -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *International Journal of Non-linear Science*, **8**, No. 3 (2009), 274-278.
- [2] P. Drabek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, *Quasilinear Elliptic Equation with Degenerations and Singularities*, Walter de Gruyter, Bertin, New York, 1997.
- [3] J. Francu. Solvability of operator equations, survey Directed to differential equations, In: *Lecture Notes of IMAMM 94*, Proc. of the Seminar "Industrial Mathematics and Mathematical Modelling", Rybnik, Univ. West Bohemia in Pilsen, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Dept of Math., July, 4-8, 1994.
- [4] J. Leray, J.L. Lions, Quelques resultats de Visik sur les proble'mes elliptiques nonlineaires par les methodes de Minty Browder, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **107** (1965), 93-97.
- [5] P. Lindqvist. On the equation $\operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = 0$, *Proc. Amer. Math Soc.*, **109** (1990), 157-164.
- [6] H. Serag, S. Khafagy, Existence of weak solutions for $n \times n$ nonlinear systems involving different degenerated p -Laplacian operators, *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, **38** (2008), 75-86.
- [7] H. Serag, S. Khafagy, Existence of weak solutions for nonlinear systems involving degenerated p -Laplacian operators, *Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics*, **5**, No. 1 (2009), 41-54.
- [8] H. Serag, S. Khafagy, On maximum principle and existence of positive weak solutions for $n \times n$ nonlinear systems involving degenerated p -Laplacian operator, *Turk. J.M.*, **34** (2010), 59-71.
- [9] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, Volumes I, II/A, II/B, III and IV, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986.

