

## DYNAMICS OF THE DILATION WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

Bahmann Yousefi<sup>1</sup>, Fariba Ershad<sup>2</sup> §

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Payame Noor University

P.O. Box 19395-3697, Tehran, IRAN

**Abstract:** In this paper we investigate the hypercyclicity of adjoint of a special weighted composition operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the open unit disc.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47B37, 47B38

**Key Words:** weighted composition operator, hypercyclicity, bounded point evaluation

### 1. Introduction

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be hypercyclic if there exists a vector  $x \in H$  for which the orbit  $Orb(T, x) = \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $H$  and in this case we refer to  $x$  as a hypercyclic vector for  $T$ . The holomorphic self maps of the open unit disk  $U$  are divided into classes of elliptic and non-elliptic. The elliptic type is an automorphism and has a fixed point in  $U$ . It is well known that this map is conjugate to a rotation  $z \rightarrow \lambda z$  for some complex number  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . The maps of that are not elliptic are called of non-elliptic type. The iterate of a non-elliptic map can be characterized by the Grand Iteration Theorem (see [6], p. 78). By  $\psi(w)$  we denote the angular

---

Received: December 24, 2014

© 2015 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

derivative of  $\psi$  at  $w \in \partial U$ . Note that if  $w \in U$ , then  $\psi(w)$  has the natural meaning of derivative. Also, by  $\psi_n$  we mean the  $n$ th iteration of the function  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** (see [6]) *Suppose  $\psi$  is a holomorphic self-map of  $U$  that is not an elliptic automorphism. If  $\psi$  has a fixed point  $w \in U$ , then  $\psi_n \rightarrow w$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$  and  $|\psi(w)| < 1$ .*

The unique attracting point  $w$  in the above proposition is called the *Denjoy-Wolff point* of  $\psi$ , and  $\psi$  is called a dilation of the open unit disk.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $U$  and let  $\psi$  be a dilation of the open unit disk  $U$ . We say that  $C_\psi$  is quasi-contraction on  $H$  if there exist a neighborhood  $U_w$  of  $w$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that  $|C_\psi f(z)| \leq \alpha |f(z)|$  for all  $f, g \in H$ , and every  $z \in U_w$ .

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $U$ . For each  $\lambda \in U$ , the evaluation function  $e_\lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $e_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$ ,  $f \in H$ . A complex valued function  $\varphi$  on  $U$  for which  $\varphi H \subseteq H$  is called a multiplier of  $H$ . The set of all multipliers of  $H$  is denoted by  $M(H)$ .

Consider the weighted composition operator  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $U$  defined by  $C_{\varphi, \psi} f = \varphi \cdot f \circ \psi$  ( $f \in H$ ). We will investigate the hypercyclicity of the operator  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$ . For some sources we refer to [1]-[8].

## 2. Main Results

In this section  $\psi$  will denote a holomorphic self-map of  $U$  and  $\varphi$  is a nonzero holomorphic map on  $U$ .

Note that if the adjoint of a continuous operator  $T$  on a Banach space has an eigenvector, then  $T$  fails to be hypercyclic (see [4]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $U$  such that for each  $\lambda \in U$ ,  $e_\lambda$  is bounded on  $H$ . Also, let  $\|M_z\| \leq 1$  on  $H$ , then  $M(H) = H(U)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in H(U)$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}_n$  of polynomials converging to  $f$  pointwise and for all  $n$ ,  $\|p_n\|_U \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$ . Since  $\|M_z\| \leq 1$  on  $H$ , by the Von-Neumann inequality,  $\|M_q\| = \|q\|_U$  for all polynomials  $q$ . Hence we obtain  $\|M_{p_n}\| \leq M$  for all  $n$ . But ball  $B(H)$  is compact

in the weak operator topology and so by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that for some  $A \in B(H)$ ,  $M_{p_n} \rightarrow A$  in the weak operator topology. Using the fact that  $M_{p_n} \rightarrow A$  in the weak operator topology and acting these operators on  $e_\lambda$  we get  $p_n(\lambda)e_\lambda = M_{p_n}e_\lambda \rightarrow A e_\lambda$  weakly. Since  $p_n(\lambda) \rightarrow f(\lambda)$  we see that  $A e_\lambda = f(\lambda)e_\lambda$  from which we can conclude that  $A = M_f$  and this implies that  $f \in M(H)$ . Thus  $H(U) \subset M(H)$ . But it is well-known that  $M(H) \subset H(U)$  (see [7]). Thus indeed,  $M(H) = H(U)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $U$  such that  $1 \in H$ , for each  $\lambda \in U$ ,  $e_\lambda$  is bounded, and  $\|M_z\| \leq 1$  on  $H$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\varphi \in M(H)$  and  $\psi$  is a dilation map of  $U$  with Denjoy-Wolff point  $w$  such that  $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ , and let  $C_\psi$  be a quasi-contraction. If  $|\varphi \circ \psi_n(z)| \leq |\varphi(w)|$  eventually for all  $n$  or  $\|\psi\|_U < 1$ , then*

$\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi \circ \psi_i$  is an eigenvector of  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$  acting on  $H$  and  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$  is not hypercyclic.

*Proof.* First, let  $|\varphi \circ \psi_n(z)| \leq |\varphi(w)|$  eventually for all  $n$ . Since  $C_\psi$  is quasi-contraction, there exist a neighborhood  $U_w$  of  $w$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$|C_\psi f(z) - C_\psi g(z)| \leq \alpha |f(z) - g(z)| \tag{*}$$

for all  $f, g \in H$ , and every  $z \in U_w$ . Let  $n > 2$  and define  $f = \varphi \circ \psi_{n-1}$  and  $g = \varphi(w)$ . Note that  $\varphi \circ \psi_n = C_\psi \varphi \circ \psi_{n-1}$ , and since  $\psi_n(z) \rightarrow w$ , for  $n$  large enough we have  $\psi_{n-1} \in U_w$ . Clearly,  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $H$  and by using (\*) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi \circ \psi_n(z) - \varphi(w)| &\leq \alpha |\varphi \circ \psi_{n-1}(z) - \varphi(w)| \\ &\leq \alpha^n |\varphi(z) - \varphi(w)| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in U_w$  and  $n$  large enough. Therefore,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi(\psi_n(z)) \right| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|\varphi(w)|} |\varphi(z)| \right) \alpha^n.$$

Thus  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi(\psi_n(z)) \right|$  converges uniformly on  $K$ . Hence  $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi(\psi_n(z))$

also converges uniformly on  $K$ . Define  $g(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi(\psi_n(z))$ . Thus  $g$  is nonzero holomorphic function on  $U$  and by our assumption,  $g \in H(U)$ . Now

by the Lemma 2.1,  $g \in M(H)$ . But  $1 \in H$ , thus indeed  $g \in H$ . Note that  $\varphi(z) \cdot g(\psi(z)) = \varphi(w)g(z)$ , hence  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi \circ \psi_i$  is an eigenvector of  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$ . Now let  $\|\psi\|_U < 1$  and note that  $C_{\varphi, \psi}^n g = \varphi(w)^n g$ . Thus

$$g = \varphi(w)^{-n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\psi_j) g \circ \psi_n.$$

Since  $\|\psi\|_U < 1$ , clearly  $g \in H(U)$  and so  $g \in H$ . Also,  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\varphi(w)} \varphi \circ \psi_i$  is an eigenvector of  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$ . Since in each case  $\varphi(w)$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$ , hence  $C_{\varphi, \psi}$  is not hypercyclic and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

### References

- [1] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, Cyclic composition operators on  $H^2$ , *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **51**, No. 2 (1990), 43-53.
- [2] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, Cyclic phenomena for composition operators, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **596**, No. 125 (1997), 1-150.
- [3] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebra*, New York (1984).
- [4] G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro, Operators with dense invariant cyclic vector manifolds, *J. Func. Anal.*, **98** (1991), 229-269.
- [5] J. E. Marsden and M. J. Hoffman, *Basic Complex Analysis*, 2nd ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York (1987).
- [6] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York, (1993).
- [7] A. Shields and L. Wallen, The commutant of certain Hilbert space operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **20** (1971), 777-788.
- [8] B. Yousefi and H. Rezaei, Hypercyclic property of weighted composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135**, No. 10 (2007), 3263-3271.