

**ON THE SEGRE UPPER BOUND OF THE REGULARITY
FOR FAT POINTS IN \mathbb{P}^4 , II**

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

Abstract: We study the generalized Segre bound in \mathbb{P}^4 for fat points schemes. In this second part we prove the quartic case and an inductive lemma. We also discuss stronger vanishing theorems for sets with additional properties.

AMS Subject Classification: 13A02, 13D02, 14N05

Key Words: fat point, index of regularity, homogeneous ideal, 4-dimensional projective space

1. Introduction

Fix a finite set $S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, $S \neq \emptyset$. For each $P \in S$ fix an integer $m_P > 0$ and set $Z := \sum_{P \in S} m_P P$. Set $s := \#(S)$ and let $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_s$ be the multiplicities of the points of Z .

We recall that in \mathbb{P}^4 the Segre conjecture (or the generalized Segre conjecture) may be restated in the following way: $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ if $m_1 \leq d$ and $w(L) \leq cd + 1$ for every c -dimensional linear subspace $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$, $c = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We recall that this conjecture is true in \mathbb{P}^2 ([4], [8]) in \mathbb{P}^3 ([7], [9]), if S is in linearly

general position ([5]) and if $\sharp(S)$ is small ([3], [12], [2]). We fix an integer $d > 0$ and we take Z satisfying the Segre condition in degree d . The aim (not yet reached) of our series of papers (of which this is the second part) is to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$. This was proved if $d = 3$ in [1]. In this paper we make a part of an inductive steps $d - 2, d - 1 \implies d$ (we assume the full conjecture for degrees $< d$, but we prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ only if Z satisfies another numerical condition, i.e. we prove the following result.

Lemma 1. *Fix an integer $d \geq 4$ and assume that the Segre condition is true in degrees $d - 2$ and $d - 1$, hat Z satisfies the Segre conditions in degree d and that $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

We also prove the case $d = 4$ (Proposition 2) and a critical case for $d = 5$ (Proposition 3). See Section 2 for the introduction of notation needed in the third and fourth parts of this series. We introduce it to point out why some steps of the proof of Lemma 1 work in other cases and avoid to repeat them in the other parts.

We also prove the following result for an arbitrary \mathbb{P}^n when S satisfies a strong condition.

Proposition 1. *Assume the existence of an integer x such that $2 \leq x < d$ and for any $S' \subseteq S$ we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(x)) = \max\{0, \binom{n+x}{n} - \sharp(S')\}$. Set $r := -1 + \min\{\binom{n+x}{n}, \sharp(S)\}$. Assume $m_1 + m_2 \leq d + 1$ and $m_1 + \dots + m_s \leq r \lfloor d/x \rfloor + 1$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

The condition for S is weaker than the Uniform Position Property used in [11] (it does not even implies that S is in linearly general position), but the proof of Proposition 1 is just a reduction to the linearly general position case proved in [5, Theorem 1.4].

2. Preliminaries

We fix an integer $d > 0$ and assume that the generalized Segre conjecture is true in \mathbb{P}^4 for all positive integers $< d$. We fix $Z = \sum_{p \in S} m_p p$ and assume that Z satisfies the assumptions of the generalized Segre conjecture in degree d , i.e. we assume $d \geq m_1$, $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$ and $w(E) \leq id + i - 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and each i -dimensional linear subspace $E \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. We use induction on the integer $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = \sum_{p \in S} m_p$. In particular we assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(d)) = 0$ if $W = \sum_{p \in S'} m_p p$, where $S' \subsetneq S$. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}^4$ let m_p denote the multiplicity of p in Z . Hence $m_p = 0$ if and only if $p \notin S$. We say that Z satisfies $\diamond\spadesuit$ if $m_1 + m_2 \geq d + 1$. We assume that $\diamond\spadesuit$ fails in sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. We say that Z satisfies \spadesuit if

$m_1 > m/2 + 1$. We say that Z satisfies $\diamond\infty$ if d is odd and $m_1 = m_2 = (d + 1)/2$.

Notation 1. For any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$ set $w(A) := \sum_{P \in S \cap A} m_P$. Write $w_Z(A) := w(A)$. If $U \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is any finite subset and for each $P \in U$ we take a non-negative integer n_P , then set $w_W(A) := \sum_{P \in U \cap A} n_P$, where $W := \sum_{P \in U} n_P P$. Let B_1 (resp. B'_1) be the set of all lines $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $w(L) = d + 1$ (resp. $w(L) = d$). Let B_2 (resp. B'_2) be the set of all planes $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $2d \leq w(L) \leq 2d + 1$ (resp. $2d - 2 \leq w(L) \leq 2d - 1$). Let B_3 (resp. B'_3) be the set of all hyperplanes $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $3d - 1 \leq w(L) \leq 3d + 1$ (resp. $3d - 4 \leq w(L) \leq 3d - 2$).

Proof. Since $U \in B_3$, we have $\sharp(U \cap S) \geq 4$ and hence \mathbb{P}^4 satisfies the Segre condition for \mathbb{P}^4 . Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus U) \leq d$, $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition with respect to all lines, all planes A with $w(A) = 2d$ and all hyperplanes with $w(A) = 3d - 1$. Fix a plane A such that $w(A) = 2d + 1$. Since $m_1 \leq d$, we have $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$. Fix a hyperplane G with $w(G) \geq 3d$. Since $m_1 + m_2 \leq d + 1$ and $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus U) + d + 1 < 3d$, we get $\sharp(G \cap U \cap S) \geq 3$. \square

For any set $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$ let $\langle E \rangle$ denote the linear span of E , i.e. the minimal linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^4 containing E . We use the following lemmas ([1], Lemmas 3 and 1).

Lemma 2. *If there is a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $\sharp(S \cap H) \geq \sharp(S) - 1$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Lemma 3. *If $m_1 = d$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

By Lemma 3 we may assume $m_1 \leq d - 1$.

Proof of Proposition 1: We will even prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(x \lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0$. Let $\nu_x : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, $N := \binom{n+x}{n} - 1$, the Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^n by the projective space of all degree x forms in n variable. If $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_x(Z)}(\lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(x \lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0$. Let $W \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the fat point scheme of \mathbb{P}^N with support $\nu_x(S)$ and multiplicity m_p at the point $\nu_x(p)$, $p \in S$. Since W is zero-dimension, $h^1(W, \mathcal{I}_{Z,W}(d)) = 0$ and so the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_W(\lfloor d/x \rfloor)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\nu_x(Z)}(\lfloor d/x \rfloor))$ is surjective. Hence if

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_W(\lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0,$$

then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_x(Z)}(\lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0$. The linear span $M := \langle \nu_x(S) \rangle$ has dimension r . By the case $S \subset H$ of Lemma 2 applied $N - \dim(N)$ times to \mathbb{P}^N it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(M, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap M}(\lfloor d/x \rfloor)) = 0$. This is true by [5, Theorem 1.4], because $\nu_x(S)$ is by assumption in linearly general position in M . \square

For any hypersurface $T \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and any scheme $A \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ the residual scheme $\text{Res}_T(A)$ of A with respect to T is the closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^4 with $\mathcal{I}_A : \mathcal{I}_T$ as its ideal scheme. Set $a := \text{deg}(T)$. We have an exact sequence (called the residual exact sequence of T):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_T(A)}(t - a) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{T \cap A, T}(t) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

Remark 1. By (1) to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ it is sufficient to find T such that $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{T \cap Z, T}(d)) = 0$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_T(Z)}(t - a)) = 0$. We will use the cases $a = 1, 2$. In the case of hyperplanes we always have $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap T, T}(d)) = 0$ ([9], [7]). Fix a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and a quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. To check that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ it is sufficient to check it for \mathbb{P}^4 (where it is true if $\sharp(S \cap H) \geq 4$, for all $B \in B_1$ (we only need to prove that $B \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$), for all $A \in B_2$ (we only need to prove that $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) \geq w(A) - 2d - 1$) and for all $U \in B_3$ (we only need to prove that $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) \geq w(U) - 3d - 4$). To check that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ it is sufficient to check it for \mathbb{P}^4 (it is true if $\sharp(S \cap Q) \geq 8$), for all lines, all planes and all hyperplanes. To check it for all lines it is sufficient to check it for the elements $B \in B_1 \cup B'_1$; if $B \in B'_1$ it is sufficient to have $B \cap S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, if $B \in B_1$ it is sufficient to have $\sharp(B \cap S \cap Q) \geq 2$. To check it for all planes it is sufficient to test if for all $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$; if $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ it is sufficient to check that $\sharp(S \cap Q) \geq w(A) - 2d + 3$. To check it for all hyperplanes it is sufficient to prove that $\sharp(U \cap S \cap Q) \geq w(U) - 3d - 7$ for all $U \in B_3 \cup B'_3$. If $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, then the inductive assumption on the weight gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\sum_{p \in S \cap Q} m_p p}(d)) = 0$; since $Q \cap (\sum_{p \in S \cap Q} m_p p) = Q \cap Z$, we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(d)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q, Q}(d)) = 0$.

We use the following remarks ([1]).

Remark 2. If $A \in B_i \cup B'_i$, then $A \cap S$ spans A (use the Segre conditions for the proper linear subspaces of A , for B'_1 we also need the assumption $m_1 \leq d - 1$).

Remark 3. Take $L, R \in B_1$ such that $L \cap R \neq \emptyset$. Since $w(E) \leq 2d + 1$ and $w(L) = w(R) = d + 1$, we have $L \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 4. Fix an integer $t > 0$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a zero-dimensional scheme such that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(t)) = 0$. Let B be a subscheme of A . Since the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_A(t)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(t))$ is surjective we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_B(t)) = 0$. In particular for every hypersurface $T \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cap T}(t)) = 0$. Hence $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{T \cap A}(t)) = 0$.

Remark 5. Assume the existence of $L_i \in B_1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a line with $w(L) \geq d - 1$. Since

$w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, then $S \cap L \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 6. We have $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$, i.e. not $\diamond\spadesuit$, if and only if $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$ for all $B \in B_1$. Assume $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$. We have $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$ for all $B \in B'_1$, except the case d even and $m_1 = m_2 = d/2$. Note that if $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ (resp. $A \in B_3 \cup B'_3$), then $\sharp(A \cap S) > 3$ (resp. $\sharp(A \cap S) > 4$) and that if $w(A) = 2d + 1$, then $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq 5$, because $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 \leq \min\{4m_1, 3d - 2m_1\}$. Take a line $L \subset N$. If $w(N) = 2d + 1$ and $m_1 \leq d - 1$, then $\sharp(S \cap (N \setminus L)) \geq 2$.

Remark 7. Take lines L_1, L_2, L_3 such that $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. If $w(L_1) + w(L_2) + w(L_3) \geq 3d + 1$, then every element of B_1 meets $S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. If $w(L_1) + w(L_2) + w(L_3) \geq 3d + 2$, then every element of B'_1 meets $S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$.

Lemma 4. Assume the existence of a hyperplane U such that $w(U) = 3d + 1$. Then $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$.

Lemma 5. Let $E, A \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $E \cap A$ is a single point, o . Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup E}(t)) = 0$ for all $t \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{I}_{A \cup E}(2)$ is spanned.

Proof. Fix lines L, D of \mathbb{P}^3 such that $L \cap D = \emptyset$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{L \cup D}(2)) = 0$, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup D}(2)) = 4$ and $\mathcal{I}_{L \cup D}(2)$ is spanned. Since $|\mathcal{I}_{A \cup D}|$ is the linear system of all quadric cones with vertex containing o , we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup D}(2)) = 0$ and that $\mathcal{I}_{A \cup E}(2)$ is spanned outside o . Take affine coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 centered at o such that the affine part of A (resp. E) has equations $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ (resp. $x_3 = x_4 = 0$). Since the quadratic equations $x_i x_j, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$, gives at o a reduced scheme, we get that $\mathcal{I}_{A \cup E}(2)$ is spanned at o . The case $t > 2$ follows from Castelnuovo-Mumford's lemma. \square

Lemma 6. Fix a plane $E \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and lines L, D such that $E \cap L = E \cap D = L \cap D = \emptyset$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{E \cup L \cup D}(2)) = 0$.

Proof. Set $H := \langle D \cup L \rangle$. Since $H \cap E, L$ and D are 3 disjoint lines of H , we have $h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{(E \cap H) \cup L \cup D \cup \{p\}}(2)) = 0$. For a general $p \in H$. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{E \cup L \cup D \cup \{p\}}(2)) = 0$. $|\mathcal{I}_{E \cup L \cup D \cup \{p\}}(2)|$ is the linear system of all quadrics $H \cup M$ with M a hyperplane containing p . \square

We say that Z or (m_1, \dots, m_s) is not in $\diamond\spadesuit$ (or not with $\diamond\spadesuit$), if for every $L \in B_1$ we have $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 3$, i.e. if $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$.

In sections 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 we prove Lemma 1. From now on we fix an integer $d \geq 4$ and assume that the generalized Segre condition is true in \mathbb{P}^4 with respect to the integers $d - 1$ and $d - 2$. We use induction on the integer $w(\mathbb{P}^4)$, i.e. we assume that the lemma is true for all (S', Z') satisfying the

Segre conditions and not in $\diamond\spadesuit$ with $w_{Z'}(\mathbb{P}^4) < w(\mathbb{P}^4)$. We do not prove it in this paper, because we prove that it holds with respect to d only for certain multiplicities (i.e. we exclude \diamond and \spadesuit), but we adapt the steps so that many of them may be used even in case \spadesuit and some of them for \diamond .

Remark 8. Let $L_i \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be lines such that $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. There is a unique line J intersecting every line L_1, L_2, L_3 and $J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$.

3. Extremal Hyperplanes and Lines

In this section we assume the existence of $M \in B_3$ and $L \in B_1$ such that $M \cap L \cap S = \emptyset$. We assume $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$, but we point out the single steps that work with weaker assumptions.

Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, we have $w(M) \leq 3d$. Until step (k) we assume $S \subset M \cup L$ (this is always the case if $w(M) = 3d$). Fix any $A \in B_c \cup B'_c$, $A \neq L$, which is not contained in M . If $S \subset M \cup L$, then $A \cap S = (A \cap M \cap S) \sqcup (A \cap L \cap S)$ with $A \cap M \cap S$ contained in the hyperplane $M \cap A$ of A .

(a) Assume $c = 2$ and $A \supset L$. We get $w(A \cap M \cap S) = w(A) - d - 1$ and hence $A \cap M \cap S \neq \emptyset$. If $w(A) = 2d + 1$, we get $A \cap M \in B'_1$. If $w(A) = 2d$, then $w(A \cap M) = d - 1$.

(b) Assume $c = 3$ and $A \supset L$. The plane $A \cap M$ satisfies $w(A \cap M) = w(A) - d - 1$.

(c) Assume $c = 1$ and $A \neq L$. Since $m_1 \leq d - 1$ (Lemma 3), we get $\sharp(A \cap M \cap S) = 1$, $\sharp(A \cap L \cap S) = 1$ and $m_{A \cap M \cap S} + m_{A \cap L \cap S}$. Hence $m_1 + m_2 = d + 1$. This case may occur only if $m_1 + m_2 = d + 1$.

(d) Assume $c = 2$ and $A \not\supset L$. Since $A \cap M$ is a line, we have $w(A \cap M) \leq d + 1$. Since $A \cap S$ spans A , we get $A \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and that $A \cap L$ is a point of S . We get $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$. We exclude the case $w(A) = 2d + 1$, because $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ if $m_{A \cap L} = d$ (Lemma 3). If $w(A) = 2d$, we get $m_{A \cap L} \geq d - 1$. Since $d \geq 4$, we are in the case \spadesuit and $A \cap L$ is the only point of S with multiplicity $m_1 = d - 1$.

(e) Assume $c = 3$ and $A \not\supset L$. Since $A \cap S$ spans A , we get that $A \cap L$ is a point of L . We get $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$. Write $w(A) = 3d + e$ with $e \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $w(A \cap M) \leq 2d + 1$, we get $m_{A \cap L} \geq d + e - 1$. Lemma 3 solves the case $e = 1$. If $e \leq 0$ we get $m_{A \cap L} \geq d - 1 + e$. If either $d \geq 5$ or $d = 4$ and $e = 0$, we are in case \spadesuit and $A \cap L$ is the only point of S with multiplicity m_1 . If $d = 4$ and $e = -1$ we get $m_{A \cap L} \geq 2$.

(f) Assume the existence of a plane $E \subset M$ with $w(E) = 2d + 1$. We only exclude the case d odd, $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = (d + 1)/2$ with $E \cap S$ formed by 3 points with multiplicity $(d + 1)/2$ and one point with multiplicity $(d - 1)/2$ and the case d even, $m_1 = d/2 + 1$ and E containing the point of multiplicity $d/2 + 1$ and 3 points of multiplicity $d/2$. With these exclusions we have $\sharp(E \cap S) \geq 5$. We prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$. Fix $q \in L \cap S$ with maximal multiplicity among the points of $L \cap S$ and set $H := \langle E \cup \{q\} \rangle$. We check that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$, and hence that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ by induction on d . We have $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1 - \sharp(S \cap L \cap H) - \sharp(E \cap S)$ and hence to check the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ and \mathbb{P}^4 it is sufficient to use that $\sharp(E \cap S) \geq 3$. M satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$, because $w(M) \leq 3d$ and $\sharp(M \cap H \cap S) = \sharp(E \cap S) \geq 3$. Since $L \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$, L satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. If $R \in B_1$, $R \neq L$, and $R \not\subset M$, then (c) shows that R satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. Fix $A \in B_2$ with $A \supset L$. Since $S \cap H \cap L \neq \emptyset$, to check the Segre condition for A we may assume $w(A) = 2d + 1$; in this case it is sufficient to check that $A \cap E \cap S \neq \emptyset$; we have $w(A \setminus L) = d$; since $w(M \setminus E) \leq d - 1$, we get $A \cap E \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Fix $A \in B_3$ such that $A \supset L$. Since $L \cap H \cap A \cap S \neq \emptyset$, it is sufficient to prove that $\sharp(E \cap A \cap S) \geq w(A) - 3d + 1$. We have $w(A \cap M \cap S) = w(A) - d - 1$ and hence it is sufficient to use that $w(M \setminus E) \leq d - 1$ and that if $w(A) = 3d + 1$, then $w(A \cap E \cap S) \geq d + 1$ and hence $\sharp(A \cap E \cap S) \geq 2$.

Fix $D \in B_1$ such that $D \subset M$. Since $w(M \setminus D) > w(E)$, we have $D \cap E \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Fix $A \in B_2$ with $A \subset M$. Since $w(A) \geq d + w(M \setminus E)$, we have $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq 2$, concluding the proof that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$.

(g) Assume the existence of $R, D \in B_1$ such that $R \subset M$, $D \subset M$ and $R \cap D = \emptyset$. We assume that either $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$ or $m_1 > m_2$ and the only point with multiplicity $m_1 = d + 1 - m_2$ is contained in L . Hence $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$ for each $B \in B_1$ with $B \subset M$. Fix $p, q \in L \cap S$ with $p \neq q$ and with maximal multiplicity among the points of $L \cap S$. Let $J \subset M$ be the only line containing the point $M \cap L$ and intersecting both R and D (it is the only line of \mathbb{P}^4 intersecting the 3 lines L, D, R). $L \cup D \cup R \cup J$ is the base locus $|\mathcal{I}_{L \cup D \cup R}(2)|$. Since $M \cap L \notin S$, $D \cup R \cup \{p, q\}$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{D \cup R \cup \{p, q\}}(2)|$. Therefore there is $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{D \cup R \cup \{p, q\}}(2)|$ such that $Q \cap S \not\subset S$. By the inductive assumption we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\sum_{\sigma \in S \cap Q} m_{\sigma}}(d)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(d)) = 0$. Therefore it is sufficient to check the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ in degree $d - 2$. Since $p, q \in S$, to check the condition for \mathbb{P}^4 it is sufficient to have $\sharp(D \cap S) + \sharp(R \cap S) \geq 6$. This is true by our assumptions on m_1, m_2 . By construction $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ for L, R, D and, since $\sharp(D \cap S) + \sharp(R \cap S) \geq 6$,

for M . Every $B \in B_1 \setminus \{L\}$ is contained in M and meets both D and R , so that $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(B) \leq d - 1$; by Remark 5 every $B \in B'_1$ with $B \subset M$ meets $D \cup R$ and hence it meets $(D \cup R) \cap S$ (Remark 3), so that $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(B) \leq d - 1$. Take $B \in B'_1$ with $B \not\subseteq M$; since $B \cap S = (M \cap B \cap S) \sqcup (L \cap M \cap S)$, there are $o \in M \cap S$ and $u \in L \cap S$ with $B = \langle \{o, u\} \rangle$ and $m_o + m_u = d$; we have $u \in \{p, q\}$, because in case $m_1 + m_2 = d + 1$ we assumed $m_1 > m_2$ and that the only point with multiplicity m_1 is contained in L , while if d is even and $m_o = m_u = d/2$, then u is one of the points (at most two) with maximal multiplicity in $L \cap S$.

(g1) Fix $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ such that $A \supset L$. Since $p, q \in A \cap Q \cap S$, to check the Segre condition for A we may assume $A \in B_2$. We have $w(A \setminus L) = w(A) - d - 1 > w(M \setminus (D \cup R))$. Hence $A \cap S \cap (D \cup R) \neq \emptyset$, say $A \cap D \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Therefore to check the Segre condition for A we may assume $w(A) = 2d + 1$; we only need to check the case $\sharp(L \cap S) = 2$, i.e. \spadesuit , $m_1 + m_2 = d + 1$, the point with maximal multiplicity is in L and $\sharp(A \cap (R \cup D) \cap S) = 1$, say $R \cap A \cap S = \emptyset$; since $w(\langle A \cup R \rangle) \geq 3d + 2$, the hyperplane $\langle R \cup A \rangle$ contradicts the Segre conditions for Z .

(g2) Fix $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ such that $A \not\subseteq L$ and $A \not\subseteq M$. A is spanned by $A \cap M \cap S$ and $A \cap L \cap S$ and hence $A \cap L \in S$ and $m_{A \cap L} + w(A \cap M) = w(A)$. We use Proposition 2 for the case $d = 4$ and $m_{A \cap L} = 1$. Now assume that either $d \geq 5$ or $m_{A \cap L} > 1$. Since $w(A) - w(A \cap M) \geq 2d - 2 - d - 1 = d - 3$, if $d \geq 5$ we get that $m_{A \cap L} \in \{p, q\}$, while this is true if $d = 4$, because p, q have maximal multiplicity. By Lemma 3 we may assume $m_{A \cap L} \leq d - 1$. Since $w(A \cap M) \leq d + 1$, we get $w(A) \leq 2d$ and that if $w(A) = 2d$. First assume $w(A) = 2d$. We get $m_{A \cap L} = d - 1$ and $B := A \cap M \in B_1$. Hence $m_2 \leq 2$. Since $Q \supset D \cup R$, it is sufficient to prove that $D \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $R \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Assume for instance $D \cap B \cap S = \emptyset$. Since the point of $D \cap B \cap S$ has multiplicity ≤ 2 , we get $3d \geq w(M) \geq 3(d + 1) - 2$, a contradiction. If $w(A) = 2d - 2$, it is sufficient to use that $A \cap L \in \{p, q\}$. Now assume $w(A) = 2d - 1$. Since $A \cap L \in \{p, q\}$, it is sufficient to prove that $A \cap (D \cup R) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Since $m_1 \leq d - 1$, we have $w(A \cap M) \geq d$ and (since $M \cap L = \emptyset$, $A \cap (D \cup R) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ by Remark 7.

(g3) Fix $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ contained in M . We need to check that $\sharp(A \cap S \cap (R \cup D)) \geq w(A) + 3 - 2d$. Since $w(M \setminus (D \cup R)) \leq d - 2$, we have $A \cap S \cap (R \cup D) \geq w(A) - d + 2$. Since $w(A) \geq 2d - 2$, then we get $A \cap S \cap (R \cup SD) \neq \emptyset$. If $w(A) = 2d - 1$, we get $\sharp(A \cap S \cap (R \cup D)) \geq 2$. If $w(A) = 2d$, we get $\sharp(A \cap S \cap (R \cup D)) \geq 3$. Now assume $w(A) = 2d + 1$. This case is solved in step (f).

(g4) Fix $A \in B_3 \cup B'_3$. If $A = M$, we use that $\sharp(D \cap S) \geq 3$ and $\sharp(R \cap S) \geq 3$. Assume $A \supset L$; since $\{p, q\} \in L$, we may assume $w(A) \geq$

$3d - 1$; since $w(A \setminus L) = w(A) - d - 1$ and $w(M \setminus (D \cup R)) \leq d - 2$, we get $w(A \cap (D \cup R)) \geq w(A) - 2d + 1 > 0$; hence we may assume $w(A) \geq 3d$; we get $\sharp(S \cap A \cap (D \cup R)) \geq 2$, and hence we may assume $w(A) = 3d + 1$; we get $\sharp(S \cap (D \cup R)) \geq 3$, because either $m_1 \leq d/2$ or \spadesuit with L containing the point with multiplicity m_1 ; hence A contains at least two points of one of the lines D, R (say D); since $\sharp(S \cap D) \geq 3$, it is sufficient to check that $S \cap R \cap A \neq \emptyset$; this is true, because $m_p + m_q + w(D) + w(M \setminus (R \cup D)) \leq d + d + 1 + d - 2 < w(A)$.

Now assume $A \neq M$ and $A \not\supseteq L$. We have $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$. By step (f) we may assume $w(A \cap M) \leq 2d$. Since $A \cap S$ spans S (Remark 2), we have $m_{A \cap L} > 0$. If $w(A) \geq 3d$, then $w(A \cap M) \geq 2d + 1$, because $m_1 \leq d - 1$ (Lemma 3) and hence we conclude by step (f). If $w(A) = 3d - 1$, then we get $m_1 = d - 1, m_2 \leq 2, w(A \cap M) = 2d$ and $A \cap L \in \{p, q\}$; since $w(M \setminus (D \cup R)) \leq d - 2$, we get $w(A \cap (D \cup R)) \geq d + 2$ and hence $\sharp(A \cap (R \cup D)) \geq 3$, so that $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(A) \leq 3d - 5$. Hence we may assume $3d - 4 \leq w(A) \leq 3d - 2$. First assume $A \cap L \notin \{p, q\}$; we get $m_{A \cap L} \leq \lfloor (d+1)/3 \rfloor$; since $w(A \cap M) \leq 2d$, we get $d < 4$ (a contradiction) if $w(A) = 3d - 2, 4 \leq d \leq 5$ if $w(A) = 3d - 3$ and $4 \leq d \leq 6$ if $w(A) = 3d - 4$; in these exceptional cases we have $w(A \cap M) = 2d$ and so $w(A \cap (D \cup R)) \geq d + 2$ and $\sharp(A \cap (D \cup R) \cap S) \geq 3$ (since only L may have a point with multiplicity $> d/2$, and hence $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(A) \leq 3d - 5$. Since $\{p, q\} \in A$, we may assume $3d - 3 \leq w(A) \leq 3d - 2$; in the first case we need to prove that $A \cap (D \cup R) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, while in the second case we need $\sharp(A \cap (D \cup R) \cap S) \geq 2$. If $w(A) = 3d - 3$, it is sufficient to have $m_1 + d - 2 \leq 3d - 4$, which is true for all $d \geq 4$. Assume $w(A) = 3d - 2$. It is sufficient to use that $2d - 1 \leq 3d - 2$ and hence $m_1 + m_2 < w(A) - w(M \setminus (D \cup R))$.

(g5) Assume the existence of $T \in B_1 \cup B'_1$ with $T \neq L$ and $T \not\subset M$; we get either \spadesuit with $\diamond\spadesuit$ or d even, $m_1 = m_2 = d/2$ and $m_p = d/2$; in the latter case $p \in B$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(T) \leq d - 1$.

(g6) Fix $T \in B_1 \cup B'_1$ such that $T \subset M$; since R and D satisfy the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ in degree $d - 2$, we may assume $T \neq D$ and $T \neq R$; since $w(M \setminus (R \cup D)) \leq d - 2$, we get $T \cap S \cap (R \cup D) \neq \emptyset$; hence if either $T \in B'_1$ or $T \cap S$ meets both D and R , then T satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$, but not if either $T \cap D \cap S = \emptyset$ or $T \cap R \cap S = \emptyset$. With no loss of generality we may assume $T \cap R \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $T, R \in B_1$, we have $T \cap R = \emptyset$ (Remark 3).

Claim 1: Fix any $p_1, p_2 \in L \setminus (L \cap M)$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R \cup \{p_1, p_2\}}(2)) = 0$, i.e. $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R \cup \{p_1, p_2\}}(2)) = 5$.

Proof of Claim 1: Any two configurations T, D, R inside a projective space M are projectively equivalent and $h^1(M, \mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R, M}(2)) = 0$. Hence

$h^1(\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup M}(2)) = 0$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{p_1, p_2\}}(1)) = 0$. Since $p_i \neq L \cap M$, using reducible quadrics with M as a component, we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R \cup \{p_1, p_2\}}(2)) = 0$. \square

Fix $o \in T \cap S \setminus D \cap S$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R \cup \{p, q\}}(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{D \cup R \cup \{o, p, q\}}(2)) - 1$ (Claim 1) and hence there is $Q' \in |\mathcal{I}_{D \cup R \cup \{o, p, q\}}(2)|$ with $T \not\subset Q'$. Since $T \cap Q'$ contains two points of T , o and $D \cap T$, we get $T \cap Q' = \{o, D \cap T\}$. Since $\sharp(T \cap S) \geq 3$, we have $Q' \cap S \neq S$. Therefore $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Q' \cap S}(2)) = 0$. We may repeat the construction just given and see that $\text{Res}_{Q'}(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$, except perhaps for the lines like T , i.e. the lines $A \in B_1$ with $A \subset M$ and A intersecting only one of the lines R, D . The choice of o gives that T satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$. Take another line $A \neq T$. Since every point of M has multiplicity $\leq d/2$, we have $w(T \setminus T \cap (D \cup R)) \geq d/2 + 1$ and the same inequality is true for A . Since $w(M \setminus (D \cup R)) \leq d - 2 < w(T \setminus T \cap (D \cup R)) + w(A \setminus A \cap (D \cup R))$, T and A meets in a point of S not contained in $D \cap T$. We may take as o this point and handle simultaneously A and T . So the only problem arises if there is a third line T' and $o \notin T'$. We just saw that $T \cap T' \neq \emptyset$ and $T' \cap A \neq \emptyset$, so that T' (and any element of B_1 with the same properties, but not containing o) is contained in the plane $\langle A \cup T \rangle$. We first note that $T \cap T' \cap (D \cup R) = \emptyset$, because T and T' are different lines containing a point outside $D \cup R$. Hence the plane $\langle A \cup T \rangle$ contains at least two points of one of the lines D, R , say of D , and hence it contains D . Therefore $\langle A \cup T \rangle = \langle D \cup T \rangle$ and R is disjoint from T, A, T' and all other elements of B_1 with the same properties. Take a general $Q_1 \in |\mathcal{I}_{T \cup D \cup R \cup \{p_1, p_2\}}(2)|$. We have $\sharp(A \cap S \cap Q_1) \geq 2$, because $A \cap D \neq A \cap T$ and any two mutually intersecting elements of B_1 meets in a point of S (Remark 3). Hence A (and any other element of B_1 with similar properties) satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$ with respect to $\text{Res}_{Q_1}(Z)$. The problem is that usually $Q_1 \cap S = S$, because (since R meets the hyperplane $\langle A \cup T \rangle$ of M) we have $\langle A \cup T \rangle \subset Q_1$. This is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{(T \cup D) \cup L \cup R}(2)|$ and so we conclude unless $S \subset \langle T \cup D \rangle \cup L \cup R$. From now on we assume $S \subset \langle T \cup D \rangle \cup L \cup R$.

Let Q_2 be the reducible quadric hypersurface union of the hyperplane $\langle T \cup D \cup \{p\} \rangle$ and the hyperplane $\langle \{q, o\} \cup R \rangle$. Since $\{p, q\} \cup R \cup \langle T \cup D \rangle \subset Q_2$ the proofs just given for $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ works (even for all $B \in B_1$ with $B \subset \langle T \cup D \rangle$). We have $Q_2 \cap S \neq S$, because $Q_2 \cap L = \{L \cap M, p, q\}$, unless \spadesuit and the only point of S with multiplicity m_1 is contained in L ; in this case we call p this point and instead of Q_2 we use the reducible quadric Q_3 union of the hyperplane $\langle T \cup D \cup \{p\} \rangle$ and the hyperplane $\langle \{p, o\} \cup R \rangle$; the point p has multiplicity $m_p - 2$ in $\text{Res}_{Q_3}(Z)$ and hence the proof just given works even in the case $A \supseteq L$.

(h) Assume the existence of $R \in B_1$ such that all $B \in B_1$ and contained in M meet R . Set $H := \langle L \cup R \rangle$. It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies

the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$. We have $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1 - \sharp(S \cap R) - \sharp(S \cap L)$. We have $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(B) \leq d + 1 - \sharp(B \cap S)$ if $B \in \{R, L\}$. Now take $B \in B_1 \setminus \{R, L\}$. If $B \subset M$, then $B \cap R \neq \emptyset$ by assumption and $B \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ (Remark 3) and so $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(B) \leq d$. If $B \not\subset M$, then $B \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$, because $S \subset M \cup L$ and so $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(B) \leq d$.

(h1) Fix $A \in B_2$. If $A \subset M$, then we may assume $w(A) = 2d$ by step (f). We have $A \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$, because $w(M) \leq 3d$ and hence $w(M \setminus R) \leq 2d - 1$. If $A \not\subset M$, then we have $w(A \cap M) \leq d + 1$ and if equality holds, then $A \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ by our assumption on B_1 . If $A \supset L$, then we use that $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$. If $A \not\supset L$, we get $A \cap L \in S$ and $m_{A \cap L} + w(A \cap M) = w(A)$; since $m_{A \cap L} \leq d - 1$, we get $w(A \cap M) = d + 1$, $w(A) = 2d$ and $A \cap S \cap H \neq \emptyset$; hence A satisfies the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$.

(h2) Take $A \in B_3$. If $A = M$, then we use that $R \cap S \subset H$. Now assume $A \supset L$; since $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$, we are done, unless $w(A) = 3d + 1$, \spadesuit and \diamondspadesuit and L contains a point with maximal multiplicity; since $S \subset M \cup L$, we get $w(A \cap M) = 2d$; since $w(M \setminus R) = w(M) - d - 1 \leq 2d - 1$, we get $A \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) \geq 3$ even in this case. Now assume $A \neq M$ and $A \not\subset L$; since $S \subset M \cup L$, we have $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$; by step (f) we may assume $w(A \cap M) \leq 2d$; since $m_{A \cap L} \leq d - 1$ (Lemma 3), we conclude, unless $w(A) = 3d - 1$ and $m_{A \cap L} = d - 1$; in this case the Segre condition is satisfied, because $A \cap L \in S \cap H$.

(i) By Steps (f), (g) and (h) we may assume that M contains no element of B_1 and no $A \in B_2$ with $w(A) = 2d + 1$. Assume the existence of $E \in B_2$ with $E \subset M$ and $w(E) = 2d$. Fix $p \in L \cap S$ with maximal multiplicity and set $H := \langle E \cup \{p\} \rangle$. We have $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1 - \sharp(S \cap L \cap H) - \sharp(E \cap S)$ and hence to check the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ is sufficient to use that $\sharp(E \cap S) \geq 3$ (Remark 2). Since $q \in L \cap S$, L satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$. By assumption we do not need to test any other element of B_1 .

(i1) Fix $A \in B_3$. If $A = M$, then we use that $\sharp(E \cap S) \geq 3$. Now assume $A \neq M$ and $A \not\subset L$; we have $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$; we may assume $m_{A \cap L} < d$ by Lemma 3; $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ if $w(A \cap M) = 2d + 1$ by step (f); hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ in this case (if $m_1 = d - 1$, then $m_p = d - 1 \in A \cap H \cap S$). Now assume $A \supset L$; since $p \in H \cap A \cap S$, to check the Segre condition we may assume $w(A) \geq 3d$; we get $w(A \cap M) \geq 2d - 1$ and hence $E \cap A \cap S \neq \emptyset$; to check the Segre condition we may assume $w(A) = 3d + 1$ and in this case we use Lemma 4.

(i2) Fix $A \in B_2$. First assume $A \subset M$. By step (f) we may assume $w(A) = 2d$ and it is sufficient to prove that $A \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$; we have $w(A \setminus A \cap E) \leq$

$w(M) - w(E) \leq d$ and hence $A \cap E \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $A \not\subseteq M$ and $A \not\supseteq L$; since $S \subset M \cup S$, we get $A \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $w(A) = w(A \cap M) + m_{A \cap L}$, contradicting the assumption $w(A \cap M) \leq d$, because if $m_{A \cap L} = d$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ by Lemma 3. Now assume $A \supset L$. Since $p \in H$, to check the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for A we may assume $w(A) = 2d + 1$ and hence $w(A \cap M) = d$. Therefore $B := A \cap M \in B'_1$. In this case $S = (S \cap E) \sqcup (S \cap A \cap M) \sqcup S \cap L$. Let F be the hyperplane spanned by A , and a point $o \in E \cap S$ with maximal multiplicity. We need to check the Segre conditions of $\text{Res}_F(Z)$ in degree $d - 1$. Since $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq \sharp(B \cap S) + \sharp(A \cap L \cap S)$, the Segre condition for \mathbb{P}^4 is satisfied. Fix $U \in B_3$. If $U = M$, then we use that $w(M) \leq 3d$ and $\sharp(A \cap M \cap S) \geq 2$. If $U \supset L$, then we use that $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 3$, unless \spadesuit and \diamondspadesuit and L contains the point with maximal multiplicity; in the latter case we may assume $w(U) = 3d + 1$ (and conclude by Lemma 4), unless $U \cap M = E$; in this case we use that $w(U \cap M) = 2d$, $w(M \setminus A) \leq d$ and hence $w(U \cap M \cap A) > 0$.

If $U \not\subseteq L$, then $w(U) = w(U \cap E) + w(U \cap B) + m_{U \cap L}$ and hence $U \cap L \in S$; we may assume $w(U) \geq 3d$ and, since $m_{U \cap L} < d$ by Lemma 3, we may assume $w(U) = 3d + 1$; use Lemma 4.

Fix $U \in B_2$. If $U = E$, then we use $F \cap E \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and that $w(E) = 2d$. If $U \subset M$ and $U \neq M$, then we use that $w(U) = 2d$ by step (f) and that $U \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$, because $w(U) + w(E) > w(M)$. If $U \supset L$, then we use that $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$. If $U \not\subseteq M$ and $U \not\supseteq L$, then $w(U) = w(U \cap M) + w(U \cap L)$ with $w(U \cap M) \leq d$; we apply Lemma 3 to the point $U \cap L$.

Fix $U \in B_1$. Since M contains no element of B_1 , it is sufficient to observe that $S = (S \cap M) \cup (S \cap L)$ and hence $U \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

(j) Assume that M contains no element of $B_1 \cup B_2$. We take $p, q \in M \cap S$ and take a hyperplane H containing $L \cup \{p, q\}$. We check the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. To test \mathbb{P}^4 it is sufficient to use that $p, q \in H \cap S$ and that $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$. Fix $U \in B_3$. If $U = M$, then we use that $w(M) \leq 3d$ and that $p, q \in S$. If $U \neq M$, then we use that $w(U) = w(U \cap M) + w(M \cap L)$ with $w(U \cap M) \leq 2d - 1$; since $m_1 < d$, we get $M \supset L$; in the case $\sharp(L \cap S) = 2$, to check the Segre conditions we may assume $w(U) = 3d + 1$, which gives $w(U \cap M) = 2d$, a contradiction. Fix $U \in B_2$. By assumption $U \not\subseteq M$. If $U \supset L$, then we use that $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$. If $U \not\subseteq L$, then we get $w(U) = w(U \cap M) + m_{U \cap L}$ with $w(U \cap M) \leq d$ and so we apply Lemma 3.

Fix $U \in B_1$. Since $U \not\subseteq M$, U is spanned by $U \cap S$ and $S = (S \cap M) \cup (S \cap L)$, then $U \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(U) \leq d$.

(k) Assume $S \not\subseteq M \cup L$, i.e. assume $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$, $w(M) = 3d - 1$.

We check that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, except at most in one case with \diamond . Set $S' := S \cap (M \cup L)$. Let o be the only point of $S \setminus S'$. We have $m_o = 1$, because $w(M) + w(L) = 4d$. Let Q be a general element of $|\mathcal{I}_{M \cup L}(2)|$. Since $M \cup L$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{M \cup L}(2)|$ and Q is general, then $o \notin Q$. By the inductive assumption we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(d)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(d)) = 0$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d-2$. Write $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_s = 1$.

Claim 2: We have $s \geq 9$.

Proof of Claim 2: We have $m_1 + \dots + m_{s-1} = 4d$. Hence $(s-1)m_1 \geq 4d$. Therefore to prove Claim 2 we may assume $m_1 \geq \lceil (d+1)/2 \rceil$. Since $m_1 + m_i \leq d+1$ for all $i > 1$, we get $4d = m_1 + \dots + m_{s-1} \leq (s-2)(d+1) - (s-3)\lceil (d+1)/2 \rceil$. Hence $s > 8$ if $d \geq 4$.

By Claim 2 $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(\mathbb{P}^4) = w(\mathbb{P}^4) - \sharp(S') \geq 4d+1-9 = 4(d-2)+1$ and hence \mathbb{P}^4 satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d-2$ with respect to $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$. Fix $A \in B_c \cup B'_c$, $c = 1, 2, 3$. Since $A \cap S$ spans A , we have $\sharp(A \cap S') \geq \sharp(A \cap S) - 1 \geq c$. Hence if $A \in B'_c$, then it satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d-2$ with respect to $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$. Now assume $A \in B_c$. We have $w_{Z \setminus \{o\}}(A) \geq w(A) - 1$. If $c = 1$ we use that $m_1 \leq d-1$ and hence $\sharp(S' \cap A) \geq 2$. Now assume $c = 2$ and $w(A) = 2d$. We have $\sharp(A \cap S') \geq 3$, because $m_1 + m_2 \leq d+1 < 2d-1$ (if $d > 3$). Now assume $c = 2$ and $w(A) = 2d+1$. We need to check that $\sharp(A \cap S') \geq 4$. Assume $A \cap S' \subseteq \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$ with $m_{o_1} \geq m_{o_2} \geq m_{o_3}$. Since $m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} \leq d+1$, we get $2d \leq m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} \leq 2(d+1) - m_{o_1}$. We get a contradiction if $m_{o_1} \geq 3$. If $m_{o_1} \leq 2$, we get $2d \leq 6$, contradicting the assumption $d > 3$. Now assume $c = 3$ and write $A \cap S' = \{o_1, \dots, o_h\}$ with $m_{o_1} \geq \dots \geq m_{o_h}$. We get

$$w(A) - 1 \leq (h-1)(d+1) - (h-2)m_{o_1} \tag{2}$$

First assume $w(A) = 3d-1$. The inequality (2) gives $h > 3$. Now assume $w(A) = 3d$. From (2) we get $h > 4$ if $m_{o_1} \geq 3$. If $m_{o_1} \leq 2$ and $h \leq 4$ we get $3d-1 \leq 8$, contradicting the assumption $d > 3$. Now assume $w(A) = 3d+1$. Since $m_1 + \dots + m_h \leq hm_{o_1}$, we have $h \geq 6$ (and hence A satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d-2$ with respect to $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$) if $m_{o_1} \leq d/2$. Assume $m_{o_1} \geq \lceil (d+1)/2 \rceil$ and $h \leq 5$. If $d = 4$, we use Proposition 2. By (2) we have $3d \leq (5d+5)/2$, which is false if $d \geq 7$. If $d = 6$ we use that $m_1 \geq 4$ and (2) to get $h > 5$. Now assume $d = 5$ and $h \leq 5$. From (2) it is sufficient to check the case $m_{o_1} = 3$. We get $h = 5$ and $m_{o_1} = 3$ for all i . We are in case \diamond with $m_5 = (d+1)/2$. We exclude this case taking a non-general Q . We may take as Q any reducible quadric $Q' = H \cup S$ since $L \in B_1$, two of the points of S' are the points of $L \cap S$. Hence all other points of S' are contained in M .

4. $B_3 \neq \emptyset$

In this section we assume $B_3 \neq \emptyset$. We assume $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$. By section 3 we may assume $M \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $M \in B_3$ and all $L \in B_1$.

Call H an element of B_3 with maximal weight. It is sufficient to prove that $W := \text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$. Our assumption gives that W satisfies the Segre condition with respect to all lines. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = w(\mathbb{P}^4) - \sharp(H \cap S) \leq 4d + 1 - 4$ by Remark 2. We have $w_W(H) \leq w(H) - 4 \leq 3(d - 1)$. Take $M \in B_3$ with $M \neq H$ (if any). Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, we have $w(M \cap H) \geq w(M) + w(H) - 4d - 1$. Since $w(M) + w(H) - 4d - 1 \geq 2d - 3 > 0$, we get $M \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence to check that M satisfies the Segre condition for W in degree $d - 1$ we may assume $w(M) \geq 3d$. Our choice of H gives $w(H) \geq 3d$. Hence $w(M) + w(H) - 4d - 1 \geq 2d - 1$. Since $m_1 \leq d - 1$, we get $\sharp(M \cap H) \geq 3$.

(a) Fix $N \in B_2$. N satisfies the Segre condition for W in degree $d - 1$ if and only if either $w(N) = 2d$ and $N \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ or $w(N) = 2d + 1$ and $\sharp(N \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, we have $w(N \cap H) \geq w(M) + w(N) - 4d - 1$. Hence $w(N \cap H) > 0$, i.e. $N \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Thus to check the Segre condition for W we may assume $w(N) = 2d + 1$. We get $w(N \cap H) \geq w(H) - 2d$. We get $\sharp(N \cap H) \geq 2$, unless either $w(H) = 3d - 1$, $m_1 = d - 1$ and $N \cap H$ contains the only point of S with maximal multiplicity or $w(H) = 3d$, and $m_1 = d$. In the latter case we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$ by Lemma 3.

(b) Assume $w(H) = 3d - 1$, $w(N) = 2d + 1$, $m_1 = d - 1$ and that $N \cap H \cap S = \{o\}$ with o the only point with multiplicity $d - 1$ of Z . In this case we have $S \subset H \cup N$. Fix $p \in H \cap S \setminus N \cap S$ and let M be the hyperplane spanned by N and p . We check for which choice of p the scheme $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$. Since $\sharp(S \cap N) \geq 3$ (Remark 2) we have $w_{\text{Res}_M(Z)}(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1 - 4$. Since $p \in H \cap S$ and $w(H) = 3d - 1$, H satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ for $\text{Res}_M(Z)$. Fix another $F \in B_3$ (if any). Since $w(H) = 3d - 1$, the maximality property of $w(H)$ gives $w(F) = 3d - 1$. Since $F \neq H$, $F \cap H$ is a plane and hence $w(F \cap H) \leq 2d + 1$. Hence $w(F \setminus F \cap H) \geq d - 2 > 0$. Since $S \subset H \cup N$, we get $F \cap N \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_M(Z)}(F) \leq 3(d - 1) + 1$. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $S \subset H \cup N$, we have $A \cap S = (H \cap A \cap S) \cup (N \cap S)$; if $A \not\subset H$ we get $w(A \cap H) \leq d + 1$ and hence either $A = N$ or $w(A) = 2d$ and $o \in A$; in these cases $w_{\text{Res}_M(Z)}(A) \leq 2d - 1$ and hence A satisfies the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_M(Z)$. Fix $L \in B_1$ and assume $L \cap S \cap N = \emptyset$. Since $S \subset H \cup N$ and $\sharp(L \cap S) \geq 2$, we get $L \subset H$; if it exists we need to take $p \in L$. If the line L is unique any $p \in L \cap S$ will do. Take $R \in B_1$ such that $R \cap N \cap S = \emptyset$ and $R \neq L$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, we get

$w(L \cap R) \geq 2(d+1) + (2d+1) - 4d - 1$ and hence $L \cap R \in S$. Since $L \cap R \neq o$, we have $m_{L \cap R} \leq 2$ and hence $w(L \cup R) \geq 2d$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$, we get $S \cup L \cup R \cup N$; in this case we may have $p = L \cap R$, unless there is $D \in B_1$ with $D \cap N \cap S = \emptyset$ and $L \cap R \notin D$; D is contained in the plane $\langle D \cup R \rangle$ and we have $2d + 1 \geq w(\langle D \cup R \rangle) \geq 3(d+1) - m_{D \cap L} - m_{D \cap R} - m_{R \cap L}$ with $m_u \leq 2$ if $u \in \{D \cap L, D \cap R, R \cap L\}$, a contradiction.

5. B_2

In this section we assume $B_3 = \emptyset$ and $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$ with the next lemma as the target of the section.

Lemma 7. *If $d \geq 5$, then $\sharp(B_2) \leq 1$.*

Remark 9. Assume $B_3 = \emptyset$. If $E \in B_2$, $w(B) \geq d - 1$ and $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $E \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$. If $A \in B'_2$, $B \in B_1$ and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Lemma 7. Assume $\sharp(B_2) \geq 2$. Call E, F two different elements of B_2 .

Observation 1: Since $B_3 = \emptyset$ and $w(L) \leq d + 1$ for each line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, $E \cap F$ is a single point o .

Observation 2: If $o \notin S$, then $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus E \cup F) \leq 1$ and either $S \subset E \cup F$ or $w(E) = w(F) = 2d$ and $S \cap (E \cup F)$ is a unique point with multiplicity 1, because $w(E) \geq 2d$, $w(F) \geq 2d$ and $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 4d + 1$.

Claim 1: We have $\sharp(B_2) \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 1: Assume $\sharp(B_2) \geq 3$ and call E_1, E_2, E_3 3 distinct elements of B_2 . Observation 1 gives that for each $i \neq j$, the set $E_i \cap E_j$ is a single point, o_h , where $\{i, j, h\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. With no loss of generality we may assume $m_{o_1} \geq m_{o_2} \geq m_{o_3}$. The points o_1, o_2, o_3 are not necessarily distinct, but in any case we have $w(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3) \leq w(E_1) + w(E_2) + w(E_3) - m_{o_1} - \epsilon_1 m_{o_2} - \epsilon_2 m_{o_3}$, with $\epsilon_1 = 1$ if $o_1 \neq o_2$, $\epsilon_2 = 1$ if o_1, o_2, o_3 are distinct points, $\epsilon_1 = 0$ if $o_1 = o_2$ and $\epsilon_2 = 0$ if $\sharp(\{o_1, o_2, o_3\}) \leq 2$. We first get that $\sharp(\{o_1, o_2, o_3\}) = 3$, and then, using $m_{o_1} + m_{o_3} \leq m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} \leq d + 1$ and $d \geq 4$, a contradiction.

(a) In this step we assume the existence of $E_1, E_2 \in B_2$ such that $E_1 \cap E_2 \notin S$. We assume $w(E_1) \geq w(E_2)$.

(a1) Assume $S \subset E_1 \cup E_2$. Fix general hyperplanes H, H' containing E_1 and general hyperplanes M, M' containing E_2 . Set $Q := H \cup M$ and $T := Q \cap (H' \cup H')$. We first check that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$. By [2] we may assume $s \geq 8$ and hence (since $S \subset Q$) \mathbb{P}^4 satisfies the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_{H \cup M}(Z)$. For each $A \in B'_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, it is sufficient to use that $A \cap S$ spans A (Remark 2). If $A \in B_2$ we use then $A = E_i$ and we

use that $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq 4$ (Remark 2). If $A \in B_1$, then we use that $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 2$. By the residual sequence of Q it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap Z, Q}(d)) = 0$. The scheme T is an effective Cartier divisor of Q and hence it is defined the residual scheme $\text{Res}_T(Z \cap Q)$. Since $\text{Res}_T(Z \cap Q) \subseteq \text{Res}_Q(Z)$, we have $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_T(Z \cap Q)}(d-2)) \leq h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(d-2)) = 0$. By the residual exact sequence of T in Q it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap T, T}(d)) = 0$. We have $Z \cap T = Z \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)$, because $E_1 \cap E_2 \notin S$. Use that $h^1(E_i, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap E_i}(d)) = 0$ by the Segre conditions and that the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(E_1 \cup E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(d))$ is surjective (use that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup E}(2)) = 0$ for any two skew lines D, R of \mathbb{P}^3).

(a2) Assume $S \not\subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$. We have $w(E_1) = w(E_2) = 2d$, $S \setminus S \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)$ is a single point o and $m_o = 1$. Let H_i be a general hyperplane containing E_i . Set $Q := H_1 \cup E_2$. Since $o \notin Q$, the inductive assumption gives $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap Z}(d-2)) = 0$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d-2$. Since $\sharp(Q \cap S) = \sharp(S \cap E_1) + \sharp(S \cap E_2) \geq 4+4$, \mathbb{P}^4 satisfies the Segre condition. Fix $U \in B'_3 \cup B_3$. Since $B_3 = \emptyset$, it is sufficient to prove that $\sharp(U \cap (S \setminus \{o\})) \geq 3$; this is true, because $m_1 + m_2 \leq d+1$ and $w(U) - 1 \geq 3d - 5 > d+1$. Fix $A \in B'_2 \cup B_2$. First assume $A \in B_2$, i.e. $A = E_i$ for some i . Since $w(E_i) = 2d$ and $m_o = 1$, it is sufficient to use that $w(E_i \cap S) - 1 > m_1 + m_2 + m_3$; this is true if $2d - 2 \leq m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, which is true, because $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$ and hence $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \leq 2d - m_1$, while $2d - 2 \geq m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ if $m_1 = 1$. Now assume $A \in B'_2$. Use that $w(A) - 1 > 0$ if $w(A) = 2d - 2$ and $\sharp(A \cap (S \setminus \{o\})) \geq 2$ if $w(A) = 2d - 1$ (since $m_1 \leq 2d - 3$ for all $d \geq 4$). Fix $B \in B'_1 \cup B_1$. If $w(B) = d$ it is sufficient to use that $d > 1 = m_o$. If $w(B) = d + 1$ it is sufficient to use that $m_1 < d$ (Lemma 3).

(b) In this step and in step (c) $\sharp(B_2) = 2$, say $B_2 = \{E_1, E_2\}$ and that $\{o\} := E_1 \cap E_2 \in S$. In this step we assume $S \subset E_1 \cup E_2$. Since $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$ for all $B \in B_1$, then each element of B_1 is contained either in E_1 or in E_2 . Let \mathcal{T}_i , $i = 1, 2$, be the set of all $B \in B_1$ such that $B \subset E_i$ and $o \notin B$. Assume for the moment $\sharp(\mathcal{T}_i) \leq 2$ for some i , say $\sharp(\mathcal{T}_2) \leq 2$. Therefore there is $p \in E_2 \cap S \setminus \{o\}$ such that every element of \mathcal{T}_2 contains p . Set $H := \langle E_1 \cup \{p\} \rangle$. By construction $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d-1$ with respect to lines and \mathbb{P}^4 , trivially with respect to hyperplanes (because $B_3 = \emptyset$). Fix $A \in B_2$, i.e. $A = E_1$ or $A = E_2$. If $A = E_1$, then use that $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq 2$. If $A = E_2$, then we use that $o, p \in A \cap H \cap S$. Now assume $\sharp(\mathcal{T}_i) \geq 3$ for all i . Fix $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \mathcal{T}_1$. By Remark 3 $D_i \cap D_j$, $i < j$, is an element of S . Since $w(E_1) \leq 3(d+1) - m_{D_i \cap D_j}$ we get that $D_1 \cap D_2$, $D_1 \cap D_3$ and $D_2 \cap D_3$ are distinct points and $3d + 3 - 2d - 1 + m_o \leq m_{D_1 \cap D_2} + m_{D_1 \cap D_3} + m_{D_2 \cap D_3}$, i.e.

$$d + 2 + m_o \leq m_{D_1 \cap D_2} + m_{D_1 \cap D_3} + m_{D_2 \cap D_3} \tag{3}$$

Moreover, no 3 of the points o , $D_1 \cap D_2$, $D_1 \cap D_3$ and $D_2 \cup D_3$ are collinear. Hence $\mathcal{I}_{\{D_1 \cap D_2, D_1 \cap D_3, D_3 \cap D_3\} \cup E_2}(2)$ is spanned. Thus there is a quadric hypersurface $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{\{D_1 \cap D_2, D_1 \cap D_3, D_3 \cap D_3\} \cup E_2}(2)|$ with $Q \cap S \neq S$. By the inductive assumption it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d-2$.

(b1) These conditions are satisfied by \mathbb{P}^4 , each element of B_2 , each element of $B_1 \cup B'_1$ contained in E_2 . They are satisfied by each D_i and each $B \in B_1 \setminus \{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ contained in E_1 , because $B \cap (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3) = S \cap B \cap (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3)$ (Remark 3) and $\sharp(B \cap (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3)) \geq 2$, since $D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3 = \emptyset$. They are satisfied by each $B \in B'_1$ contained in E_1 , because $w(E_1 \setminus \{o, D_1 \cap D_2, D_1 \cap D_3, D_3 \cap D_3\}) < d$ by (3), and all other elements of B'_1 meets $E_2 \cap S$, since $S \subset E_1 \cup E_2$.

(b2) Fix $U \in B'_3$. We have $\sharp(S \cap Q \cap U) \geq w(U) - 3d + 5$, because $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus Q) \leq 4d + 1 - 2d - 3(d + 1) + m_{D_1 \cap D_2} + m_{D_1 \cap D_3} + m_{D_2 \cap D_3} = m_{D_1 \cap D_2} + m_{D_1 \cap D_3} + m_{D_2 \cap D_3} - d - 2 \leq d/2$.

(b3) Fix $A \in B'_2$. First assume $o \notin A$. To check the Segre condition for A we may assume $\sharp(A \cap E_1 \cap S) \leq 1$. Since $A \cap S$ spans A we get that A contains a unique point $E_2 \cap S \setminus \{o\}$, while the other points are contained in a line $L \subset E_1$; we get $w(L) \geq w(A) - m_q$; to check the Segre for A we may assume $w(A) = 2d - 1$ and in this case we get $L \in B'_1$ and we checked that $L \cap Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $o \in A$. To check the Segre condition for A we may assume $w(A) = 2d - 1$ and that $A \cap E_2 \cap S = \{o\}$. Since $S \subset E_1 \cup E_2$ and $A \cap S$ spans A (Remark 2), we get $A \subseteq E_1$, a contradiction.

(c) Assume $o \in S$ and $S \not\subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$. We have $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)) \leq 4d + 1 - 2d - 2d + m_o = m_o + 1$ and equality holds only if $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$ and $w(E_i) = 2d$ for all i .

Since $\mathcal{I}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(2)$ is spanned (use reducible quadrics) there is $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{E_1 \cup E_2}(2)|$ such that $Q \cap S \neq S$. The inductive assumption on the weight gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(2)) = 0$ and so it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$. We will find some obstructions and take a suitable q to overcome them. Since $Q \supset E_1 \cup E_2$ and $\sharp(S \cap E_i) \geq 4$, \mathbb{P}^4 , E_1 and E_2 satisfy the Segre condition. Fix $U \in B_3 \cup B'_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus Q) \leq w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)) \leq m_o + 1$, we get $S \cap U \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. If $w(U) \geq 3d - 3$, we also get $\sharp(U \cap Q \cap S) \geq 2$, because $1 + 2m_2 \leq 2d - 1 \leq 3d - 4$. Now assume $w(U) = 3d - 2$ and $\sharp(S \cap U \cap Q) = 2$, say $S \cap U \cap Q = \{p, q\}$. We get $m_p + m_q + m_o + 1 \geq 3d - 2$; if $o \in \{p, q\}$, then we use that $2m_1 + m_2 \leq 3d - 4$, since $m_1 \leq d - 1$ (Lemma 3), $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$ and $d \geq 5$; if $o \notin \{p, q\}$ we use that $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + 1 \leq 2d + 1 - m_1 + m_3$.

Take $B \in B_1 \cup B'_1$. If $B \cap Q \cap S = \emptyset$, the $w(B) \leq 1 + m_o$; we get $w(B) = d$ and $m_o = d - 1$; we also get $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$ and $w(E_i) = 2$ for all i ; call + this case. Take $B \in B_1$ with $\sharp(B \cap Q \cap S) = 1$, say $B \cap Q \cap S = \{q\}$. First

assume $q = o$; we also get $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$ and $w(E_i) = 2$ for all i in this case $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(B) = d - 1$, because Q is singular at o . Now assume $q \neq o$. We get $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$ and $m_q + m_o = d$; call $++$ this case. Take $A \in B'_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)) \leq m_o + 1 \leq d < 2d - 2$, we have $\sharp(A \cap Q \cap S) \neq \emptyset$. Assume $w(A) = 2d - 1$ and $\sharp(A \cap Q \cap S) = 1$, say $A \cap Q \cap S = \{q\}$. We get $m_q + m_o + 1 \leq 2d - 1$; this is possible only if $q = o$ and in this case $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(A) \leq 2d - 4$, because Q is singular at o . In summary, for any Q the Segre conditions are satisfied by all linear spaces of dimension > 1 . Hence from now on we assume $w(\mathbb{P}^4) = 4d + 1$ and $w(E_i) = 2d, i = 1, 2$.

(c1) Assume case $+$, i.e. $m_o = d - 1$. Since $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$, we get $m_i = 1$ for all $i > 0$. We saw the existence of $B \in B'_1$ such that $S \subset E_1 \cup E_2 \cup B$ and $B \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap S = \emptyset$ and that for an arbitrary Q B is the only linear space for which the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ may fail. By Remark 9 we have $B \cap E_i = \emptyset$. Fix $p \in B \cap S$ and set $M := \langle E_1 \cup \{p\} \rangle$. Let H be any hyperplane with $H \supset E_2$ and $H \cap B \cap S = \emptyset$. The latter condition gives $(M \cup H) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. The former condition gives that $\text{Res}_{H \cup M}(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition for B and hence for all linear spaces.

(c2) Assume case $++$, i.e. the existence of $L \in B_1$ and $q \in (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap S \setminus \{o\}$ with $m_q + m_o = d$ and $L \cap (E_1 \cap E_2) \cap S = \{q\}$. We also have $S \subset L \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ and that L is the only linear space for which (for an arbitrary $Q \supset E_1 \cup E_2$) the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ may fail. With no loss of generality we may assume $q \in E_1$. Set $H := \langle E_2 \cup \{q\} \rangle$ and $D := \langle \{o, q\} \rangle \in B'_1$. $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 (because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$) and with respect to all hyperplanes. Fix $R \in B_1$. If $R \cap E_2 \cap S \neq \emptyset$, then $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(R) \leq d$. If $R \cap E_2 \cap S = \emptyset$, then either $R = L$ or $R \subset E_1$, because $S \subset L \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ and $\sharp(R \cap S) \geq 3$. If $R = L$, then we use that $q \in H$. If $R \subset E_1$, then $D \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$, because $D \subset E_1, R \subset E_1$ and $w(D) + w(R) = 2d + 1 > w(E_1)$. □

6. 3 Mutually Disjoint Elements of B_1

In this section we assume $B_3 \neq \emptyset$ and the existence of $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in B_1$ such that $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. With this assumption we prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.

By Remark 7 we have $(L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \cap S \cap R \neq \emptyset$ for all $R \in B_1 \cup B'_1$. We have $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq 4d + 1 - 3(d + 1) = d - 2$. Let J be the only line meeting all lines L_1, L_2, L_3 (Remark 8).

We often use the notation introduced in the statement of the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $i \neq j$ let U_h , $h := \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$, be the hyperplane spanned by $L_i \cup L_j$ and F_i the plane spanned by $L_i \cup J$. Take $a \in \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. If $a \in F_i$ for some i , then $|\mathcal{I}_{\{a\} \cup J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$ has $F_i \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ as its base locus. In all other cases, the base locus is $\{a\} \cup J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$.*

Proof. First assume that $a \in U_h$ for some U_h , say $h = 1$. Take reducible quadrics U_1 as a component and use that $\mathcal{I}_{J \cup L_i \cup L_j \cup \{a\}, U_1}(2)$ has no base points outside $U_1 \cap (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup \{a\})$, unless $a \in F_2 \cup F_3$, say $a \in F_3$. Using hyperplanes containing L_3 we see that the same is true for $|\mathcal{I}_{\{a\} \cup J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Now assume $a \notin U_h$ for any h . The linear system of hyperplanes containing L_h and a has the plane $E_{h,a} := \langle L_h \cup \{a\} \rangle$ as its base locus. The family of reducible quadrics $U_h \cup M$, M a hyperplane containing $E_{h,a}$, shows that outside $J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ the base locus is contained in $E_{h,a}$. Since $E_{1,a} \cap E_{2,a} \cap E_{3,a} = \{a\}$, we get the lemma even in this case. \square

Lemma 9. *Fix a plane $A \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and lines $L_i \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, such that $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, $\sharp(A \cap L_i) = 1$ for all i and A not the intersection of two hyperplanes $\langle L_i \cup L_j \rangle$, i.e. $A \neq F_h$ for any h . Then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$.*

Proof. By assumption A is contained in at most one hyperplane $\langle L_i \cup L_j \rangle$. Hence may assume that $A \not\subseteq \langle L_1 \cup L_3 \rangle$ and that $A \not\subseteq \langle L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle$. Set $\{o_i\} := A \cap L_i$. Since each L_i meets A , we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 3$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2}(2)) = 0$ (all triples (A, L_1, L_2) are projectively equivalent), i.e. $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2}(2)) = 5$. Since $A \not\subseteq \langle L_h \cup L_3 \rangle$ for any $h \in \{1, 2\}$, the set $\langle A \cup L_h \rangle \cap L_3$, $h = 1, 2$, is a unique point, e_h . Fix $p \in L_3$ with $p \neq o_3$ and $p \neq e_1$. Taking the union of $\langle A \cup L_1 \rangle$ and a general hyperplane M containing L_2 we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \{p\}}(2)) = 4$. Let H be a general hyperplane containing $L_1 \cup \{p\}$. Fix $o \in L_3 \setminus \{p, e_1, e_2\}$. Since $H \cup \langle A \cup L_2 \rangle \in |\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \{p\}}(2)|$ and $o \notin H \cup \langle A \cup L_2 \rangle$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \leq 3$. \square

Lemma 10. *Assume $S \subset L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. Fix $N \in B_2$. Since $\sharp(N \cap S) \geq 4$, it contains at least one of the lines L_i . Since N is unique (Lemma 7), we may change the labels, so that, if N exists, then it contains L_1 . Since $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$ for all $B \in B_1$ (Remark 6)), we have $B_1 \subseteq \{L_1, L_2, L_3, J\}$. Fix a hyperplane containing L_1 , a point of $L_2 \cap S$ and a point of $L_3 \cap S$. Fix $B \in B_1$. Since $\sharp(B \cap S) \geq 3$, either $B \cap S$ meets each set $L_i \cap S$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, or B contains at least two points of some L_i and so $B = L_i$. In both cases we have $B \cap S \cap H \neq \emptyset$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$

satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines, all planes (because $N \supset L_1$) and (since $B_3 = \emptyset$) all hyperplanes. \square

Lemma 11. *If $S \subset J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 10 we may assume $S \not\subset L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. By Remark 6 we have $B_1 \subseteq \{L_1, L_2, L_3, J\}$.

(i) First assume $J \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \neq \emptyset$, say $J \cap L_3 \in S$. Let H be a hyperplane containing F_1 and a point of $L_2 \cap S \setminus J \cap S$ with maximal multiplicity. It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$. It satisfies the Segre condition for \mathbb{P}^4 , because $\sharp(L_1 \cap S) \geq 3$. It satisfies the Segre condition for lines, because $H \cap S$ meets J and each L_i . Since $B_3 = \emptyset$ by assumption, we only need to check the Segre condition for planes. Fix $N \in B_2$. We have $\sharp(N \cap S) \geq 4$ (and hence either N contains a different point of $J \cap S, L_1 \cap S, L_2 \cap S, L_3 \cap S$ or N contains a line L_i) with equality only if $w(N) = 2d$ (Remark 6). Therefore $N \cap S \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and to check the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ it is sufficient to check the case $w(N) = 2d + 1$ with N containing one among L_2 and L_3 (say L_h) but not the other one. If $N = F_h$, we get $\sharp(S \cap N \cap H) \geq 2$, because $J \subset H$. Now assume $N \neq F_h$ and so $N \cap J = L_h \cap J$. Since $w(N \setminus L_h) = d$, we have $\sharp(N \cap S \setminus L_h \cap S) \geq 2$ and these points must be contained in $L_1 \cup L_{5-h}$, no two of them in the same line (since two of the lines L_1, L_2, L_3 spans a \mathbb{P}^3). Hence $\sharp(N \cap S \cap H) \geq 2$.

(ii) Now assume $J \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = \emptyset$. Hence $w(J) \leq d - 2$, $B_1 = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$, $B'_1 = \emptyset$ and $w(F_i) \leq 2d - 1$.

Take a hyperplane U containing one of the points with maximal multiplicity of each line J, L_1, L_2, L_3 and spanned by points of S , except that if $B_2 \neq \emptyset$, say $B_2 = \{N\}$ (Lemma 7), we impose that for any line J, L_1, L_2, L_3 intersecting $N \cap S$ we impose that we take this point as one of the prescribed points of $U \cap S$. We need to check the Segre conditions for $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ in degree $d - 1$. The one for \mathbb{P}^4 is satisfied, because $\sharp(U \cap S) \geq 4$ by its definition. The Segre conditions for lines are satisfied, because $L_i \cap S \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Since $B_3 = \emptyset$, it is sufficient to check the ones for planes $N \in B_2$. By Remark ?? $S \cap (N \setminus J)$ contains at least two points of $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, because $w(F_i) \leq 2d - 1$ and hence $N \neq F_i$. \square

Remark 10. Fix a quadric $Q \supset L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ and assume $Q \cap S \neq S$, so that the inductive assumption gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(d)) = 0$. We check what conditions on Z and Q gives that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$. The condition for \mathbb{P}^4 is satisfied, because $\sharp(L_i \cap S) \geq 3$. Fix $U \in B'_3 \cup B_3 = B'_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$ and $d - 2 + m_1 \leq 2d - 3 < 3d - 4$, we have $U \cap Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$. If $w(U) \geq 3d - 3$ (resp. $w(U) = 3d - 2$), then we have

$\sharp(U \cap Q \cap S) \geq 2$ (resp. $\sharp(U \cap Q \cap S) \geq 3$), because $d - 2 + m_1 < 3d - 3$ (resp. $d - 2 + m_1 + m_2 \leq 2d - 2 < 3d - 2$). Fix $A \in B_2 \cup B'_2$. Since $d - 2 < 2d - 2$ and $d - 2 + m_1 < 2d - 1$, we have $A \cap Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\sharp(A \cap Q \cap S) \geq 2$ if $w(A) \geq 2d - 1$. If $w(A) \geq 2d$, then $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) \geq 3$, because $d - 2 + m_1 + m_2 < w(N)$. Thus we only need to test the element of B_2 if $B_2 \neq \emptyset$ and only if it has weight $2d + 1$. Fix $B \in B'_1$. By Remark 5 we have $S \cap B \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(B) \leq d + 1$. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all $B \in B_1$ such that $\sharp(S \cap B \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cap J)) = 1$. Fix $B \in B_1$. By Remark 5 we have $S \cap B \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \neq \emptyset$. Since J is in the base locus of $|\mathcal{T}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$, B may fail the test (for some Q) only if $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B \cap J \cap S \subset (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$ set $\mathcal{B}_i := \{L \in \mathcal{B} : L \cap L_i \neq \emptyset\}$.

Remark 11. Fix a plane $N \in B_2 \cup B'_2$ and set $x := w(N)$. If $(y - 1)\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + d - 2 < x$, then $\sharp(N \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \geq y$. If $x \geq 2d - 1$, we may take $y \geq 3$, while if $x = 2d - 2$ we have $y > 0$. So only the planes N with $w(N) = 2d + 1$ may give troubles. Here we assume $w(N) = 2d + 1$. By Lemma 8 we may assume $\sharp(B_2) = 1$ and we fix $p \in N \cap S \setminus S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. Assume the existence of a plane $w(N) = 2d + 1$ and $\sharp(N \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) = 3$ (N is unique by Lemma 7). Set $N \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$ with, say $m_{o_1} \geq m_{o_2} \geq m_{o_3}$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$, and $w(N) > d - 2 + d + 1$, the points o_1, o_2, o_3 are not collinear. Hence $\sharp(S \cap L_i) = 1$ for all i and $N \not\supset L_i$ for any i . In particular $N \neq F_i$ for any i . We also get that at most one of the points o_1, o_2, o_3 is contained in J . Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$, we get $m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} \geq d + 3$. If N contains a point of $J \cap S$ distinct from the points o_1, o_2, o_3 , then $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(N) \leq 2n - 3$, because $Q \supset J$. We say that N exists only if $N \cap J \cap S \subset \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$. Since $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$ by our assumption \diamond_{\spadesuit} , we have $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 \leq 2d < w(N)$. Thus $\sharp(N \cap S) \geq 5$. Assume $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Fix any $D \in \mathcal{B}$ and set $\{u\} := S \cap D \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = S \cap D \cap (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. Since $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$, we have either $m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} + m_u \leq \min\{4m_{o_1}, 3d - 2m_{o_1}\} < w(N) + w(D) - d + 2$ (case $m_{o_1} \geq m_u$) or $m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} + m_u \leq \min\{4m_u, 3d - 2m_u\} < w(N) + w(D) - d + 2$ (case $m_u > m_{o_1}$) and hence $D \cap S \cap (N \setminus N \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 12. Assume $F_1 \in B_2$. Fix $h \in \{2, 3\}$. Since $w(\langle F_1 \cup L_h \rangle) \geq 2d + d + 1 - m_{J \cap L_h}$ and $B_3 = \emptyset$, we have $J \cap L_h \in S$ for all h . By the definition of existence of N given in Remark 11 if N exists, then $N \neq F_i$ for any i .

Remark 13. Assume $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) \geq 2$ and $D_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $i = 1, 2$ with $D_1 \neq D_2$. We have $D_1 \cap D_2 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = \emptyset$, because $\sharp(D_1 \cap D_2) \leq 1$ and $2(d + 1) > m_u + d - 2$ for all $u \in \mathbb{P}^4$. Set $q_i := D_i \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. We have $D_1 \cap D_2 \cap S \neq \emptyset$ (call q this point), because $m_{q_1} + m_{q_2} + d - 2 < 2d + 2$. We have $m_{q_1} + m_{q_2} + m_q + d - 2 \geq 2d + 2$

and hence $m_{q_1} + m_{q_2} + m_q \geq d + 4$.

(a) We also get that $q_1 \neq q_2$ and hence that if $\sharp(\mathcal{B}_j) \geq 2$, no two of the lines of \mathcal{B}_j contain the same point of L_j .

Assume the existence of another line $D_3 \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ for all i, j , either the lines D_1, D_2, D_3 are contained in a plane, A , or they pass through the same point. In the latter case q is their common point.

Assume for the moment that D_1 and D_2 meets the same line L_i . Since $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$, $L_i \subset \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$. Hence each D with $q \notin D$ meets L_i and hence $\langle D_1 \cup L_i \rangle$ is the plane A in this case. In the other case in which there is a plane A we have $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) = 3$, $\sharp(A \cap L_j) = 1$ for all j and we may rename the lines so that $D_i \cap L_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i = j$. In the former case either D_1, D_2, D_3 meets different lines L_1, L_2, L_3 , say $D_i \cap L_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, or they meet the same line L_i , and $A = \langle L_i \cup D_1 \rangle$. Hence if all lines $D \in B_1$ with $\sharp(D \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \cap S) = 1$ are through a common point, there are at most 3 of them. If they are all contained in A , either all intersects L_i and D_i (at a point of S by Remark 2) or there are at most 3 such lines and $\sharp(A \cap L_i) = 1$ for all i such that $L_i \cap D$ for some i ; in the first case they meets D_i and L_i at a point $\neq L_i \cap D_1$ and hence $\sharp(D \cap S \cap (L_i \cup D_1) \cap S) \geq 2$ for all $D \in B_1$ with $\sharp(D \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \cap S) = 1$.

Lemma 12. *Assume that N exists and set $\{o_1, o_2, o_3\} := N \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$ with $o_i \in L_i$. If $R \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $R \not\subset N$, then $o_i \notin R$.*

Proof. Assume $o_i \in R$. Since $\sharp(R \cap N) \leq 1$, we get $3d+2 = w(N) + w(R) \leq d - 2 + m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3}$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 13. *Assume that N exists and that it contains $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. With no loss of generality we may assume $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$. If $o_1 \notin B$, then $N = \langle B \cup L_1 \rangle$ and hence $N \supset L_1$, a contradiction. Since all elements of \mathcal{B}_1 contain o_1 , part (a) of Remark 13 gives $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{B\}$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$. Let τ be the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Fix a general $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since $Q \cap S \setminus N \cap S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cap L_3) \neq \emptyset$ and each element of \mathcal{B} meets $B \cap S \setminus \{o_1\}$ (Remark 13), Remark 11 gives that $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \tau$. Since $N \neq F_h$ for any h (Remark 12), we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{N \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$ (Lemma 9). Since every quadric hypersurface containing $B \cup L_1$ and a point of $N \setminus B \cup L_1$ contains N , we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 4$. Hence $\tau \cap N = B \cup L_1$. Hence $N \cap S = (L_1 \cap S) \cup (B \cap S)$. We get $m_{o_1} = w(L_1) + w(B) - w(N) = 1$. Since $m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} \geq d + 3$, we get a contradiction. □

Lemma 14. *If $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. By Remarks 10 and 11 we may assume that N exists with $N \cap L_i = \{o_i\} \in S$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, o_1, o_2, o_3 , not collinear, and $N \cap J \cap S \subset \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$. Fix $o \in N \cap S \setminus \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$ and let τ be the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Fix a general $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. We have $w_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(N) \leq 2d - 3$. Since $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \tau$. If $o \notin F_i$ for any i , then $\tau = \{o\} \cup J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ (Lemma 8). Since $\sharp(N \cap S) \geq 5$ (Remark 11), we get $S \not\subset \tau$, a contradiction. If $o \in F_i$ for some i , say for $i = 1$, then $\tau = F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. Hence $S \subset F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ and hence $N \cap S = (F_1 \cap N \cap S) \cup (L_2 \cap N \cap S) \cup (L_3 \cap N \cap S) = (F_1 \cap N \cap S) \cup \{o_2, o_3\}$. Since $N \neq F_i$ (Remark 12), $F_1 \cap N$ is a point or a line. Since $w(N) = 2d + 1$, we get that $N \cap F_1$ is a line, $N \cap B_1 \in B_1$ and $m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} = d$. Since $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, $F_1 \not\subset L_2$, $F_1 \not\subset L_3$ and J is the only line meeting all lines L_1, L_2, L_3 either $N \cap F_1 = L_1$ or $N \cap F_1 = J$ or $N \cap F_1 \cap S$ contains a point of $L_1 \cap S$ and a point of $L_h \cap J \cap S$ for some $h = 2, 3$ (say $h = 2$). By the definition of N given in Remark 11 in the latter case we have $o_2 = L_2 \cap J \cap S$, while the second case cannot occur. We exclude the case $N \cap F_1 = L_1$, because $N \cap L_1 \cap S = \{o_1\}$. We get $N \cap F_1 = \langle \{o_1, o_2\} \rangle$. Let H be a hyperplane containing $\{o, o_1, o_2, o_3\}$ (i.e. containing $(N \cap F_1) \cup \{o_2, o_3\}$). The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all hyperplanes (because $B_3 = \emptyset$), all planes (there is at most one, N , by Lemma and $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(N) \leq 2d - 3$) and with respect to $L_1, L_2, L_3, F_1 \cap N$. Fix another $B \in B_1$. If $B = J$, then we use o_2 . If $B \subset F_1$, then we use $N \cap F_1$ and Remark 3. In the other case since $S \subset F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, then $B \cap S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ with $u_1 \in F_1$, $u_2 \in L_2$ and $u_3 \in L_3$. □

Lemma 15. *Assume that N exists and that either $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$ or the elements of \mathcal{B} are not through a common point q and hence $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ and there is a plane A containing all of them (Remark 13). Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, then we use Lemma 14. Assume $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$ (and hence $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ and the existence of A) and fix $R_1 \in \mathcal{B}$, say $R_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{R_1 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$. Let η be the base locus of the linear system

$$|\mathcal{I}_{R_1 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|.$$

Let Q be a general element $|\mathcal{I}_{R_1 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. By Remarks 11 and 13 $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ and so $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \eta$. By Lemma 12 we have $R_1 \not\subset N$ and hence $A \neq N$ and $N \cap R_1$ is at most one point u_1 . If $N \cap R_1 = \emptyset$, then set $m_{u_1} := 0$. Set

$\{e_1\} := R_1 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. We get $3d+2 = w(N) + w(R \geq d-2+\alpha)$, where α is the sum of the multiplicities among the points u_1, e_1, o_1, o_2, o_3 with the convention that if $N \cap R \neq \emptyset$ and $u_1 \in \{e_1, o_1, o_2, o_3\}$, then $\alpha = m_{e_1} + m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3}$. We first get that $N \cap R \neq \emptyset$, the points u_1, e_1, o_1, o_2, o_3 are distinct and then $m_{e_1} + m_{u_1} + m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} \geq 2d + 4$.

(a) Assume $\mathcal{B} = \{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ with $R_i \cap L_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i = j$. We get points u_h and $e_h, h = 2, 3$, with $m_{e_h} + m_{u_h} + m_{o_1} + m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} \geq 2d + 4$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 3$. Since $\sharp(B \cap R_i) \geq 3$ for all i , we get $R_i \subset \eta$ for all i . Since A contains the 3 distinct lines R_1, R_2, R_3 , then $A \subset \eta$. If $A \neq F_h$ for any h , then Lemma 9 gives $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$, a contradiction. Assume for instance $A \subset M := \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$. The set $M \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{M \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since $R_1 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \subset M \cup L_3$, we get $S \subset M \cup L_3$. Since $N \cap L_3$ is a single point, o_3 with $m_{o_3} < d$ (Lemma 3) and $m_{o_3} + d + 1 < w(N)$, we have $N \subset M$. By assumption $L_1 \not\subset A$. Since $L_1 \cap N = \{o_1\}$, $L_1 \not\subset A \cup N$. Since $L_1 \cup A \cup N \subset \tau$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{M \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$, a contradiction.

(b) Now assume that $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ and that $A \supset L_h$ for some h , say $h = 1$. We have $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$, because each line of A meets L_1 and $L_1 \cap L_j = \emptyset$ if $j = 2, 3$. Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $N \not\subset L_1$, we have $A \neq N$. We get that $A = \langle B \cup L_1 \rangle$ and that $N \cap A$ is a line T containing o_1 . Call β the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. As in part (a) it is sufficient to do the case $S \subset \beta$. If $L_2 \cap A = L_3 \cap A = \emptyset$, then Lemma 6 gives $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3 < h^0(\mathcal{I}_{R_1 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$, a contradiction. Hence either $A \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ or $A \cap L_3 \neq \emptyset$, say $A \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence $A \subset M := \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$.

(b1) First assume $L_3 \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since $L_2 \not\subset A$ and $L_3 \not\subset A$ all configurations (A, L_2, L_3) with $\langle A \cup L_2 \rangle \cap L_3 = \emptyset$ are projectively equivalent, then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 5$ and $A \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$ (use reducible quadrics containing A and that $\langle A \cup L_2 \rangle \cap \langle A \cup L_3 \rangle = A$). Assume for the moment $A \cap N \cap S \not\subset \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$. Fix $Q' \in |\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since $L_1 \subset A$ and each element of \mathcal{B} is contained in A , our assumption gives that $\text{Res}_{Q'}(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) > 0$, unless $Q' \cap S = S$ for all Q' , i.e. unless $S \subset A \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. Hence $N \cap S \subset A \cap N \cup \{o_2, o_3\}$. We get that $A \cap N$ is a line $m_{o_2} + m_{o_3} = d$, $(A \cap N) \cap \{o_2, o_3\} = \emptyset$ and that $A \cap N \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Since o_2 and o_3 are the only points of $N \cap (L_2 \cup L_3)$, we get that either $A \cap N = L_1$ (not true, because $L_1 \cap N = \{o_1\}$) or $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ (and we use Lemma 13). Now assume $A \cap N \cap S \subseteq \{o_1, o_2, o_3\}$. Since $N \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$, each $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ contains o_1 , contradicting part (a) of Remark 13.

(b2) Now assume $L_3 \cap A = \emptyset$. Set $G := \langle A \cup L_2 \rangle$ and $\{u\} := L_3 \cap G$. In this case all configurations (A, L_2, L_3) with $L_2 \cap L_3 = \emptyset$ are projectively equivalent, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 4$ and $A \cup \{u\} \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$ and $B \cup L_1 \subset A$, we get $\beta = A \cup \{u\} \cup L_3 \cup L_3$.

Hence each point of $N \cap S$ is contained in one of the linear spaces A , $\langle \{u\} \cup L_2 \rangle$, and L_3 . We have $o_3 = N \cap L_3$. Since $m_{o_3} + d + 1 < w(N)$, N contains at least 3 non collinear points of M and hence $N \subset M$. Since $\{u\}$ is the only point of $L_3 \cap M$, we get $u = o_3$ and $N \cap S \subset (A \cap S) \cup (\langle \{o_3\} \cup L_2 \rangle \cap S)$. Since $\sharp(N \cap S) \geq 5$ (Remark 11) and $N \cap S$ spans N , we get that either $A = N$ (excluded by Lemma 13) or $A = \langle \{o_3\} \cup L_2 \rangle$ (excluded because $L_1 \subset A$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$). \square

Lemma 16. *Assume that N exists and that $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}$, say $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$. Let η be the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Let Q be a general element $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. By Remarks 11 and 13 $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ and so $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \eta$. Hence we may assume $S \subset \eta$. Set $E := \langle L_1 \cup B \rangle$. Since $L_h \cap L_1 = \emptyset$, $h = 1, 2$, each $\langle E \cup L_h \rangle$, is a hyperplane. Assume $\langle E \cup L_2 \rangle = \langle E \cup L_3 \rangle$. Since $\langle L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle$ is a hyperplane, we get $L_1 \subset \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \subset \langle L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle$. Hence $w(\langle L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle) \geq 3d + 3$, a contradiction. Therefore there is an index $h \in \{2, 3\}$, say $h = 3$, such that $L_3 \not\subset \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle$. Taking reducible quadrics $\langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \cup M$ with M a hyperplane containing L_3 we get that the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{E \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$ is contained in $\langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \cup L_3$. Since $B \cup L_1 \subset E$, we get $\tau \subset \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \cup L_3$. Hence $N \cap S \subseteq (N \cap \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \cap S) \cup \{o_3\}$. Since $m_{o_3} \leq d - 1$ (Lemma 3), $w(N) = 2d + 1$ and $w(L) \leq d + 1$ for every line L , we get $N \subset \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle$. We have $E \neq N$, because $N \cap L_1 = \{o_1\}$. If $L_2 \not\subset \langle E \cup L_3 \rangle$, we also get $N \subseteq \langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \cap \langle E \cup L_3 \rangle$. Since $L_1 \subset E$ and $\langle L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle = \mathbb{P}^4$, we first get $\langle E \cup L_2 \rangle \neq \langle E \cup L_3 \rangle$ and then $N \subseteq E$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 17. *If $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 16 we may assume that N does not exist. Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}$, say $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$. Let η be the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Let Q be a general element $|\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. By Remarks 11 and 13 $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree $d - 2$ and so $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \eta$. Hence we may assume $S \subset \eta$.

(a) Assume the existence of a point $q \in S$ such that each $R \in \mathcal{B}$ contains q ; if $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) = 1$ we also assume $q \notin J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$; we may do this by Lemma 11, because $J \notin \mathcal{B}$. Let β be the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{\{q\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Take $Q' \in |\mathcal{I}_{\{q\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since N does not exist, $\text{Res}_{Q'}(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 2$ (Remark 10). Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $S \subset \beta$. If $q \in J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, then we use Lemma 11. If $q \notin F_h$ for all h , then

$\beta = \{q\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$; hence $\sharp(R \cap S) \leq 2$ for all $R \in \mathcal{B}$, a contradiction. Now assume $q \in F_h \setminus (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$ for some h , say $h = 1$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)$ is spanned (use reducible quadrics $\langle F_1 \cup L_2 \rangle \cup M_3$ and $\langle F_1 \cup L_3 \rangle \cup M_2$ with M_i a hyperplane containing L_i), we get $\beta \subset F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. Hence for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we get $B \cap S = (B \cap F_1 \cap S) \cup (B \cap L_2 \cap S) \cup (B \cap L_3 \cap S)$; assume for the moment $R \not\subset F_1$ and hence $\sharp(R \cap F_1 \cap S) \leq 1$; since $\sharp(B \cap L_2) + \sharp(B \cap L_3) \leq 1$, we get $\sharp(R \cap S) \leq 2$, a contradiction. Thus each element of \mathcal{B} is contained in F_1 . Hence it meets L_1 . By Remark 3 we get $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$.

Let Ψ be the set of all $R \in B_1$ such that $R \neq J$, $R \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $R \cap L_3 \neq \emptyset$. By Remark 3 for each $R \in \Psi$ we have $R \cap L_2 \in S$ and $R \cap L_3 \in S$.

Claim : We have $\sharp(\Psi) \leq 2$.

Proof of the Claim: Assume $\sharp(\Psi) \geq 3$ and fix 3 distinct elements $R_1, R_2, R_3 \in \Psi$. If $R, T \in \Psi$ with $R \neq T$ and $R \cap T \neq \emptyset$, then the point $R \cap T$ is contained in $L_2 \cup L_3$, because the plane $\langle R \cup T \rangle$ cannot contain $L_2 \cup L_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$, we get $3d + 3 = w(R_1) + w(R_2) + w(R_3) \geq d - 2 + w(L_2) + w(L_3)$, a contradiction.

Since $\sharp(\Psi) \leq 2$ and each $R \in \Psi$ contains a point of $L_2 \cap S$ and a point of $L_3 \cap S$, we may find $u \in L_2 \cap S$ and $v \in L_3 \cap S$ such that each element of Ψ meets $\{u, v\}$. Let H be a hyperplane containing $L_1 \cup \{u, v\}$. It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$. It satisfies it with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and, since $B_3 = \emptyset$, all hyperplanes. To check the condition for lines, it is sufficient to check it for all $R \in B_1$. This condition is satisfied by all $R \in \mathcal{B}$, because $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$. It is satisfied by L_1, L_2, L_3 and by all $R \in B_1$ meeting L_1 . By the definition of Ψ, u, v it is satisfied by all $R \in B_1$, with $R \notin \{L_2, L_3\}$ and $R \cap L_1 = \emptyset$. Assume $B_2 \neq \emptyset$, say $B_2 = \{F\}$. Since N does not exist, we have $\sharp(S \cap F \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup J)) \geq 4$.

(a1) Assume for the moment $\sharp(S \cap F \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \geq 4$. Hence $L_j \subset F$ for some j . If $j = 1$, then $\sharp(F \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$. Assume $j \neq 1$, say $j = 2$. Since $u \in F \cap H \cap S$, to check the Segre condition for F we may assume $w(F) = 2d + 1$ and $F \cap L_1 \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $w(F) + w(L_1) > 3d + 1$, we get $F \cap L_1 = \emptyset$. Hence $F \cap F_1$ is a unique point, e . Since $\langle L_2 \cup L_3 \rangle \not\subset F$, $F \cap L_3$, is at most one point, o ; set $m_o = 0$ if $F \cap L_3 = \emptyset$. Since $S \subset F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, we get $d = w(F) - w(L_2) = m_e + m_o$. By Lemma 3 we get $o \in S$, $F \cap L_3 \neq \emptyset$, $F \cap L_3 \in S$ and $m_o + m_e = d$. If $e = v$, then $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(F) \leq 2d - 1$. Hence we may assume that we cannot take $v = e$. In particular (since $\sharp(B_2) = 1$) we may assume $\sharp(\Psi) = 2$, say $\Psi = \{R_1, R_2\}$. Since $o \notin L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ and $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$, we get $d - 2 - m_o \geq w(R_1) + w(R_2) - w(L_2 \cap (R_1 \cup R_2)) - w(L_3 \cap (R_1 \cup R_2))$. Since $\sharp(L_2 \cap S) \geq 3$, we have $w(L_2 \cap (R_1 \cup R_2)) \leq d$. Since $e \notin (R_1 \cup R_2)$, we get $w(L_3 \cap (R_1 \cup R_2)) \leq d + 1 - m_e$. Hence $d - 2 - m_o \geq 2d + 2 - d - d - 1 + m_e$,

contradicting the equality $m_e + m_o = d$.

(a2) Now assume $\sharp(S \cap F \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq 3$. First assume $J \subset F$. Since $w(F) - w(J) \geq d - 1 > w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3))$ there is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $o_i \in L_i \cap S \cap F$ with $o_i \in F_i$. We get $F = F_i$. By Remark 12 we have $F \cap L_i \in S$ for all i . Since $\sharp(S \cap F \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq 3$, we get $S \cap F \cap (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = S \cap J$ and hence $w(F) \leq d + 1$, a contradiction. Now assume $J \not\subset F$. Since J is the only line containing 3 points of $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, we get that $\sharp(S \cap F \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) = 3$, $F = \langle S \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \rangle$ and $F \cap J$ is a point of $S \cap J \setminus S \cap J \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. Hence each $F \cap F_i$ is a line not containing the point $J \cap L_i$. We get that $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$ is contained in the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{F \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Since $|\mathcal{I}_{J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)| = |\mathcal{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$, $\sharp(F \cap S \cap (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \geq 4$ and $F \cap (J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$ is not formed by 4 collinear points, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{F \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4 > h^0(\mathcal{I}_{F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3}(2))$, a contradiction.

(b) Assume that there is no point q contained in all $R \in \mathcal{B}$. By Remark 13 we have $\sharp(\mathcal{B}) \geq 3$ and there is a plane A containing all elements of \mathcal{B} . Since A contains 3 distinct elements of B_1 and $\sharp(R \cap S) \geq 3$ for all $R \in B_1$, we have $A \subset \tau$. Since $B \subset A$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$. If $A \neq F_i$ for all i and $L_i \not\subset A_i$ for all i , then we get $\sharp(L_i \cap A) = 1$ for all i and hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$ (Lemma 9). Since $B \cup L_1 \subset A$, and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{B \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \geq 4$, we get a contradiction. Now assume $L_j \subset A$ for some j . Since $\mathcal{B}_1 \neq \emptyset$, we get $j = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_3 = \emptyset$. If $A \cap L_2 = A \cap L_3 = \emptyset$, then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 3$ (Lemma 6) and again we get a contradiction. Hence we may assume $A \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $A \subset \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$.

(b1) First assume $L_3 \cap A = \emptyset$, i.e. $A \neq F_1$. For a general $v \in A$ we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{\{v\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) = 5$ and $\{v\} \cup J \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{\{v\} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$ (Lemma 11). Hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)) \leq 4$. We get that equality holds; write $w := \langle A \cup L_2 \rangle \cap L_3$ and $A' := \langle L_2 \cup \{w\} \rangle$. The set $A \cup A' \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{A \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$. Hence $S = (A \cap S) \cup (A' \cap S) \cup (L_3 \cap S)$ with $A \cup A' \subset \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$. Take $u \in L_2 \cap S$ and $v \in L_3 \cap S$ and call H a hyperplane containing $L_1 \cup \{u, v\}$. It is sufficient to check that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ and this is done as in step (a), except for the planes. Hence we may assume $B_2 \neq \emptyset$ and call E the only element of B_2 . Since N does not exist, we get $\sharp(E \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \geq 4$ and hence E contains one of the lines L_i (and exactly one such line). Hence $E \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for any choice of u, v and so we may assume $w(E) = 2d + 1$. If $L_1 \subset E$, then $\sharp(E \cap H \cap S) \geq 3$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(E) \leq 2d - 2$.

(b1.1) Now assume $L_2 \subset E$. Since $L_1 \cup \{u\} \subset H \cap S$, to prove the inequality $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(E) \leq 2d - 1$, we may assume $E \cap L_1 \cap S = \emptyset$. Since

$w(E) + w(L_1) > 3d + 1$, the Segre conditions of Z give $E \cap L_1 = \emptyset$. Since $L_2 \cap L_1 = \emptyset$, we get $E \neq A$. Assume $E = A'$. Since $A' \subset \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$, we have $E \cap L_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since $w(E) + w(L_1) > 3d + 1$, we get $E \cap L_1 \in S$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(E) \leq 2d - 1$. Thus we may assume $E \neq A'$. Since $L_2 \cap L_3 = \emptyset$, the set $E \cap L_3$ is either empty or a single point e ; in the latter case we set $m_e = 0$.

(b1.1.1) Assume $m_e = 0$. Since $E \cap S$ spans S (Remark 2), we get $E \subset \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$. Hence $E \cap L_1 \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

(b1.1.2) Assume $m_e > 0$. If $e \neq w$, then $\langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle \cap E = L_2$. Since $S \subset \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle \cup L_3$, we get $S \cap E = \{e\} \cup (L_2 \cap S)$ and hence $m_e = d$; we conclude by Lemma 3.

(b1.2) Now assume $L_3 \subset E$. We get $w(E \setminus L_3) = d$. The set $D := E \cap \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \rangle$ is a line containing w and $w(D \setminus \{w\}) = d$. Since $A \cap L_3 = \emptyset$, we have $e \notin A$ and hence $D \cap A$ is a single point, α . If $D \not\subset A'$ we get $D \cap A' = \{w\}$ and hence $m_\alpha = d$; we conclude by Lemma 3. Now assume $D \subset A'$. Call β the only point of $D \cap L_3$. Fix $R \in B_1$ and call γ the only point of $R \cap L_1$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)) \leq d - 2$, $w(R) = d + 1$ and $w(D \setminus \{w\}) = d$, we get $d - 2 \geq d + 1 - m_\gamma + d - m_\beta$ and hence $m_\beta + m_\gamma \geq d + 3$, a contradiction.

(b2) Now assume $A = F_1$. Since $F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)|$, we get $S \subset F_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$. We get a contradiction as in step (a). □

7. Proof of Lemma 1

In this section we assume $B_3 = \emptyset$ and conclude the proof of Lemma 1.

(a) Assume the existence of $L, D \in B_1$ such that $L \cap D = \emptyset$. By section 6 and Remark 3 we may assume $S \cap R \cap (L \cup D) \neq \emptyset$ for any $R \in B_1$. Set $H := \langle D \cup L \rangle$. By assumption $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to lines. It satisfies it with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 (since $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$) and with respect to the hyperplanes (since $B_3 = \emptyset$). If $B_2 = \emptyset$, we are done. Hence we may assume the existence of $N \in B_2$. By Lemma 7 N is unique. Since $w(L) + w(D) + w(N) > 4d + 1$, we have $N \cap S \cap (L \cup D) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $w(N) = 2d + 1$ and $\sharp(N \cap S \cap (L \cup D)) = 1$, say $N \cap S \cap L$ is a single point, o , and $N \cap S \cap D = \emptyset$. Let Δ be the set of all line $B \in B_1$ with $B \cap D = \emptyset$. Fix $q \in N \cap S \setminus \{o\}$ and set $H_q := \langle \{o, q\} \cup D \rangle$. The scheme $\text{Res}_{H_q}(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all hyperplanes, N and hence with respect to all planes since $\{N\} = B_2$, and with respect to all lines, except the elements of Δ not containing either o or q . Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$, unless $\sharp(\Delta) \geq 3$ and not all elements of Δ are through the same point. Since

any two elements of B_1 disjoint from D meet, we get the existence of a plane A containing all elements of Δ . Since $N \cap D = \emptyset$, we saw that each element of Δ meets $N \cap S$. Since we assumed that not all elements of Δ pass through the same point, we get that either $A = N$ (excluded, because $L \subset A$) or $A \cap N$ is a line. Note that each $R \in \Delta$ meets $(A \cap N) \cap S$ and that $\sharp(A \cap N \cap S) \geq 2$ by our assumptions on Δ (not all through a common point). Set $U := \langle (A \cap N) \cup D \rangle$. The scheme $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all hyperplanes, N (because $\sharp(A \cap N \cap S) \geq 2$) and hence with respect to all planes since $\{N\} = B_2$, with respect to all elements of Δ (they contain of $A \cap N \cap S$) and of $B_1 \setminus \Delta$ (they intersects $D \cap S$), concluding this case.

(b) Assume $B_1 \neq \emptyset$ and that any two elements of B_1 meets. Fix $L \in B_1$. If there is $N \in B_2$ take two points of $p, q \in N \cap S$. Otherwise take any two elements of p, q of $S \setminus \{p, q\}$. Let H be a hyperplane containing $L \cup \{p, q\}$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 (since $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$) all hyperplanes (since $B_3 = \emptyset$), all planes (since either $B_2 = \emptyset$ or the only element of B_2 has two points of $H \cap S$) and all lines (since each element of B_1 meets L).

(c) Assume $B_3 = B_1 = \emptyset$. Let H be a hyperplane containing 4 points of S with the only restriction that if $B_2 \neq \emptyset$, say $B_2 = \{N\}$, then H contains two points of $N \cap S$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree $d - 1$ with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 (since $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$) all hyperplanes (since $B_3 = \emptyset$), all planes (since either $B_2 = \emptyset$ or the only element of B_2 has two points of $H \cap S$) and all lines (since $B_1 = \emptyset$).

Parts (a), (b) and (c) conclude the proof of Lemma 1.

8. $d = 4, 5$

Proposition 2. *If Z satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 4, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(4)) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3 we may assume $m_1 = 3$. Set $S_i := \{p \in S : m_p = i\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Set $\{o\} := S_3$, $h := \sharp(S_2)$ and $c := \sharp(S_1)$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 17$, we have

$$2h + c \leq 14 \tag{4}$$

The elements of B_1 are the lines through o containing a point of S_2 (there are h of them, they meet only at o and, since they have weight 5, no 4 of them are in a plane and they are disjoint from S_1), the ones (call B_- the set of these lines) containing o and two points of S_1 (they exists only if $c \geq 2$, they are disjoint

from S_2), the ones (call B_+ the set of these lines) formed by 5 collinear points of S_3 (they exist only if $c \geq 5$ and they are disjoint from $S_2 \cup \{o\}$), the ones (call them B_-) containing 2 points of S_2 and one point of S_1 , and the ones (call B_V the set of these lines) containing a point of S_2 and 3 points of S_1 . We call *starred* (resp. *bistarred*) a point of $S_1 \cup S_2$ contained in a line $L \in B_-$ (resp. $L \in B_V$).

We assume that Proposition 2 fails and we take a counterexample S, Z with minimal weight. By [2] we may assume $h + c \geq 7$. Let $\ell : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the linear projection from o . Set $S'_i := \ell(S_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $S' := S'_1 \cup S'_2$. We have $S'_1 \cap S'_i = \emptyset$ and $\sharp(S') = h + c - \sharp(B_-)$. We say that a point of S'_i has multiplicity i . We say that $p \in S'_1$ is a *marked point* if $\ell^{-1} \cap (S_2 \cup S_1)$ is not a point, i.e. if it is the image of a line in B_- ; in this case the fiber contains no element of S_2 and two elements of S_1 .

Claim 1: If $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'}(2)) = 0$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(4)) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 1: First assume $c > 0$ and that not all points of S'_1 are marked points. Fix $q' \in S'_1$, q' not marked, and call q the point of S_1 with $\ell(q) = q'$. Since $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'}(2)) = 0$, there is a quadric surface $Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with $Q' \cap S' = S' \setminus \{q'\}$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the quadric cone with vertex o and Q' as a basis. We have $Q \cap S \neq S$ and $\text{Res}_Q(Z) \subseteq \{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q\}$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q\}}(2)) = 0$. The Segre conditions for Z give that no plane through o contains 4 points of $S_2 \cup \{q\}$, that no plane contains 6 points of $S_2 \cup \{q\}$ and that no line contains 3 points of $\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q\}$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q\}}(2)) = 0$. Now assume $c > 0$ (and hence $h \leq 6$), but that each point of S'_1 is marked. Fix $q'' \in S'_1$ and call q, q' the point of S_1 with q'' as their image. Take a quadric surface $Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with $Q' \cap S' = S' \setminus \{q''\}$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the quadric cone with vertex o and Q' as a basis. We have $Q \cap S \neq S$ and $\text{Res}_Q(Z) \subseteq \{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}}(2)) = 0$. Since q'' is marked, there is a line R with $R \cap S = \{o, q, q'\}$. The Segre conditions give that $\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}$ has no 4-secant line, R is its unique 3-secant line and that if A is a plane containing at least 5 points of $\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}$, then either $A \supset R$ and A contains $\{o, q, q'\}$ and two points of S_2 or it contains 4 points of S_2 and only one among the points q, q' . Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}}(2)) = 0$. Now assume $c = 0$. Fix $q \in S_2$ and set $E := S_2 \setminus \{q\}$. Taking a quadric surface Q' with $Q' \cap S' = S' \setminus \{\ell(q)\}$ we reduce to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup E \cup 2q}(2)) = 0$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \{q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the linear projection from q . Note that $\mu|_{S \setminus \{q\}}$ is injective. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{\mu(\{o\} \cup E)}(2)) = 0$. We have $\sharp(\mu(\{o\} \cup E)) = h \leq 7$. By the Segre condition no line contains 4 points of $\mu(\{o\} \cup E)$ and (since $w(U) \leq 13$ for every hyperplane U) no plane contains ≥ 6 points of $\mu(\{o\} \cup E)$. Hence

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{\mu(\{o\} \cup E)}(2)) = 0.$$

(a) By Claim 1, to check the case $h = 7$ it is sufficient to observe that in the case $c = 0$ for each plane $A \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ we have $\sharp(A \cap S_2) \leq 5$ by the Segre conditions for Z . In a similar way we do the case $(h, c) = (6, 1)$. Now assume $(h, c) = (6, 2)$. If S' has a marked point, then $\sharp(S') = 6$ and again $\sharp(A \cap S') \leq 5$ even for the planes $A \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ containing the marked point. Now assume that S' has no marked point. Assume the existence of a plane $A \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\sharp(A \cap S') \geq 6$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the hyperplane containing o and with $\ell(H \setminus \{o\}) = A$. We have $\text{Res}_H(Z) = \{o\} \cup S_2$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(3)) = 0$, because $\{o\} \cup S_2$ has cardinality 8, no 4-secant line, and it is not in a plane.

(b) Assume $h = 5$ and hence $c \leq 4$. Therefore $B_+ = \emptyset$. The cases with $c \leq 2$ are done as in the case $h = 6$. We assume $c = 4$, because the proof for $c = 3$ is very similar, but easier.

(b1) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 1$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup S_2}(2)) = 0$ (by the Segre condition for Z) we get the existence of a quadric Q such that $\{o\} \cup S_2 \subset Q$ and $2 \leq \sharp(Q \cap S_3) \leq 3$. The scheme $\text{Res}_Q(Z)$ is contained in the scheme W union of $2o$, S_2 and 2 points of S_3 . We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(4)) \leq h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(2))$. Set $E := W \setminus 2o$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(2)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{\ell(E)}(2))$. Assume the existence of a plane containing all points of $\ell(E)$. We get the existence of a hyperplane U containing o , S_2 and 2 points of S_1 . The scheme $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , because $\sharp(U \cap S) \geq 4$, and with respect to all lines, because $B_+ = \emptyset$ and hence every element of B_1 meets $S_2 \cup S_3 \subseteq U \cap S$. Fix $B \in B_2$. Since $w(B) \geq 8$, B contains at least 2 points of $U \cap S$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_U(Z)}(B) \leq 7$. Fix $B \in B_3$. Since $w(B) \geq 11$, B contains at least 2 points of $U \cap S$ and hence $w_{\text{Res}_U(Z)}(B) \leq 9$.

Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(2)) = 0$, unless there a line containing 4 points of $\ell(E)$. If this line exists, then we get a plane A with $w(A) \geq 3 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1$ and hence $A \cap S$ contains exactly 2 points of S_1 , 2 points of S_2 and $\{o\}$. Fix $p \in S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap A$ and set $H := \langle \{p\} \cup A \rangle$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. It satisfies the Segre conditions with respect to all lines, because $S_2 \cup S_3 \subset H$ and $B_+ = \emptyset$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, each $B \in B_3$ contains at least 2 points of $H \cap S$ and hence to check the Segre condition for U in degree 3 we may quote Lemma 4. Fix $B \in B_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, we have $B \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and hence to check the Segre in degree 3 we may assume $w(B) = 9$; since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, we get $\sharp(B \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$, unless $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) = 6$ (and hence $H \cap S = \{p\} \cup (A \cap S)$) and $\{o\} = A \cap B$. For each $q \in S_3 \cap B$ set $H_q := \langle \{q\} \cup A \rangle$. We get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{H_q}(Z)}(2)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(2)) = 0$, unless there is a plane $B_q \supset \{p\} \cup (B \cap S \setminus \{q\})$. If B_q exists, then $B_q \cap B$ is a line containing o , at least another element of S_2 and 2 points

of S_3 , contradicting the Segre conditions for Z .

(b2) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 0$. As in step (b1) we get the existence of a quadric Q such that $S \setminus Q \cap S$ is a point of S_1 . Working as in step (b1) we quickly get a contradiction.

(b3) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) \geq 2$.

(b3.1) Assume the existence of a line L with $\sharp(S \cap L) \geq 4$. Since $B_+ = \emptyset$, we get $\sharp(S \cap L) = 4$ and that either $S_1 \subset L$ or L contains one point of S_2 and 3 points of S_1 . In all case L is spanned by 3 collinear points of S_1 and hence it is unique. Take $q \in L \cap S$ and set $T := S \setminus \{q\}$. Let H be a plane containing L and with $a := \sharp(A \cap H)$ maximal. By Lemma 3 we may assume $a \leq 8$.

If $a = 6$, then $S \setminus S \cap L$ has no 3-secant line and hence $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{H \cap S}(2)) = 1$. The residual exact sequence of H gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap H}(1)) > 0$ and hence the 4 points of $S \setminus S \cap H$ span a plan A . Since $a = 6$, we have $A \cap L = \emptyset$. Since $\sharp(T) = 9$, T satisfies the Segre condition in degree 2. Fix a plane E . If $E \supset L$, then $\sharp(E \cap T) = -1 + \sharp(E \cap S) \leq 4$. If $E \not\supset L$, we get that either $E = A$ and hence $\sharp(E \cap T) = 3$ or $\sharp(T \cap A) \leq 5$, because $\sharp(S \cap A \cap E) \leq 2$. Fix a hyperplane U . If $U \supset L$, then we get $\sharp(U \cap T) \leq 5$ by the definition of a . Take $U \not\supset L$ and assume $\sharp(U \cap T) \geq 8$. Since U contains at most one point of L and all but one of the points of $S \setminus S \cap L$ have multiplicity > 1 , we get $w(U) \geq 8 + 6$, contradicting the Segre condition for Z . Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus \{q\}}(2)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Now assume $a = 8$. Since $\sharp(S \setminus S \cap H) = 2$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap H}(1)) = 0$. Hence $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{T \cap H}(2)) > 0$. Since $\sharp(T \cap H) = 9$ and T has no 4-secant line we get the existence of a plane $G \subset H$ with $\sharp(G \cap T) \geq 6$ and hence $\sharp(G \cap S) \geq 7$. Since $c = 4$, we get $w(G) \geq 10$, a contradiction.

Now assume $a = 7$. First assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap H}(1)) = 0$. As in the case $a = 8$ we first get $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{T \cap H}(2)) = 0$ and then a contradiction. Now assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap H}(1)) > 0$, i.e. assume the existence of a line $R \supset S \setminus S \cap H$. Taking $\langle L \cup R \rangle$ instead of H we conclude, unless there is a line D containing the 3 points of $S \cap H \setminus S \cap L$. Since $a = 7$, we have $D \cap L = \emptyset = D \cap R$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{D \cup L \cup R}(2)) = 0$, we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) = h^1(D \cup R \cup L, \mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 1$, a contradiction.

(b3.2) Now assume the non-existence of a quadrisecant line of S . Let E be a plane such that $b := \sharp(E \cap S)$ is maximal. Let M be a hyperplane with $a := \sharp(M \cap S)$ maximal. By Lemma 3 we may assume $a \leq 8$.

First assume $b \geq 7$. Since $c = 4$, we get $w(E) \geq 10$, a contradiction.

Now assume $b = 6$. Since $w(E) \leq 9$, we get that E contains 3 points of S_1 and 3 points of S_2 or 4 points of S_1 and two points of $S_2 \cup S_3$. If $o \notin E$, let H be a hyperplane containing $\{o\} \cup E$. If $o \in E$ let H be a hyperplane

containing E and another point of S_2 . Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all $U \in B_3$ and all $A \in B_2$ with $w(A) = 8$. Take a plane A with $w(A) = 9$. We get $w_W(A) \leq 7$, unless $A \cap H \cap S = \{o\}$ and $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) = 6$. In this case $E \cap S$ contains $\{o\} \cup S_1$ and exactly one point of S_2 . $S \setminus S \cap (E \cup A)$ is a point p with multiplicity 2 and A is spanned by o and 4 points of S_2 . Let p' be one of the points of $A \cap S_2$. Using $H' := \langle E \cup \{p'\} \rangle$ instead of H we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{H'}(Z)}(3)) = 0$.

Now assume $b = 5$. We get $h^1(A, \mathcal{I}_{S \cap A}(2)) = 0$ for every plane A . If $a \leq 7$, then $h^1(M, \mathcal{I}_{S \cap M}(2)) = 0$ by the Segre conditions; if $a = 7$, we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) \leq 1$, because $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap M}(1)) \leq 1$; if $a = 6$ we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap M}(1)) \leq 1$, because S has no quadrisecant line. Assume $a = 8$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap M}(1)) = 0$, it is sufficient to observe that for each $q \in S \cap M$, $S \cap M \setminus \{q\}$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 2 and hence $h^1(M, \mathcal{I}_{S \cap M}(2)) \leq 1 + h^1(M, \mathcal{I}_{S \cap M \setminus \{q\}}(2)) = 1$.

Now assume $b = 4$. If $a \geq 6$, then we conclude as in the case $b = 5$. Now assume $a = 5$. We conclude, because the 5 points of $S \setminus S \cap M$ are not contained in a plane.

Now assume $b = 3$, then S has no trisecant line. If $a \geq 5$, we conclude as in the case $b = 4, 5$. If $a = 4$, then S is in linearly general position and hence the inequality $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) \leq \sharp(S) - 9 = 1$ is classical.

(c) Assume $h = 4$. We have $c \leq 6$. By [2] we may assume $c \geq 4$ (anyway, in the case $c \leq 3$ we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(2)) = 0$).

(c1) Assume $B_+ = B_- = \emptyset$. Let H be a hyperplane containing o and at least 3 points of S_2 . It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. The condition for \mathbb{P}^4 is satisfied, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. The condition for lines is satisfied, because $B_+ = B_- = \emptyset$, $o \in H$ and $\sharp(S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap H) \leq 1$, so that $H \cap S$ meets each element of B_- . We have $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq c + 2 \leq 8$. Fix $U \in B_x$, $x = 2, 3$. We get $\sharp(S \cap U \cap H) \geq w(U) - c - 3$. Hence each hyperplane satisfies the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. A plane A may not satisfy the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ only if it contains 6 points of S_1 and either o or a point of $S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap H$. Hence we may assume that $c = 6$ and that S_1 is contained in a plane A . Since $w(S_1) = 6$, we get $A = \langle S_1 \rangle$. Therefore A is unique. Hence if it contains a point $q \in S_2$, then we may take this point q as a point of $S_2 \cap H$, so that $A \cap S \cap H \neq \emptyset$; since $w(A) = 8$, in this case A satisfies the Segre condition for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. Now assume $o \in A$. Let M be a hyperplane containing A and at least one point of S_2 . We have $\text{Res}_M(Z) = 2o \cup E$ with $E \subset S_2$ and $\sharp(E) \leq 3$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2o \cup E}(3)) = 0$.

(c2) Assume $B_+ \neq \emptyset$. Since $c \leq 6$, B_+ has a unique element, R . Fix $p \in S_2$ and let H be a hyperplane containing $\{o, p\} \cup R$. It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. The condition for \mathbb{P}^4

is satisfied, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. Since $\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H$ contains at most $c - 5 \leq 1$ points of S_1 and 3 points of S_2 , the conditions for hyperplanes is satisfied. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $w(A) \geq 8 > w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H)$, we have $A \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence to check the Segre condition we may assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) = 1$. Since $c \leq 6$, we get $c = 6$, that $A = \langle S_1 \rangle$ and that $o \in A$. Let M be a hyperplane containing A and at least one point of S_2 . We have $\text{Res}_M(Z) = 2o \cup E$ with $E \subset S_2$ and $\sharp(S_2) \leq 3$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2o \cup E}(3)) = 0$. The Segre condition in degree 3 for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ is satisfied by lines $\notin B_+$, because $R \subset H$ and $o \in H$. Since we may choose any $p \in S_2$ we may satisfies the Segre condition for lines, unless there are $D_1, D_2 \in B_+$ such that $S_2 \cap D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$. If $D_1 \cap S_1 \in R$ (resp. $D_2 \cap S_1 \in R$), it is sufficient to take $p \in S_2 \cap D_2$ (resp. $p \in S_2 \cap D_1$). Assume $R \cap D_1 = R \cap D_2 = \emptyset$. We get $c = 6$ and that $D_1 \cap D_2$ is the only point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$. Fix $q \in R \cap S_1$ and let H' be a hyperplane containing $\langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle \cup \{q\}$. We have $\text{Res}_{H'}(Z) = 3o \cup (S_1 \setminus \{q\})$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\ell(S_1 \setminus \{q\})}(2)) = 0$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{3o \cup (S_1 \setminus \{q\})}(3)) = 0$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(4)) = 0$.

(c3) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$ and $B_V \neq \emptyset$. If all points of S_2 are bistarred, then, $c \leq 6$, there is a plane B containing at least 3 points of S_2 and 5 points of S_1 and hence $w(B) \geq 11$, a contradiction. Hence there is a hyperplane H containing o and 3 points of S_2 and such that $H \cap S_2$ contains all bistarred points of S_2 . The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 (because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$) and with respect to all lines (because $B_+ = \emptyset$, $o \in H$ and all bistarred points of S_2 are in H). Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 8$, we have $U \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$ if $w(U) \geq 12$. If $w(U) = 13$ we may quote Lemma 4. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 8$, we have $A \cap H \cap S = \emptyset$ only if $c = 6$, $w(A) = 8$ and A contains S_1 and the point of $S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap H$; let M be a hyperplane containing $A \cup \{o\}$; since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 6$, $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all linear spaces of dimension > 1 ; it satisfies the condition for all lines, because $\{o\} \cup S_1 \subset M$ and each element of B_1 meets $\{o\} \cup S_1$. Now assume the existence of a plane A with $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) = 1$. Since $c \leq 6$, we get $c = 6$ and $A \supset \{o\} \cup S_1$; take a hyperplane M containing A and an element of S_2 and proceed as above.

(d) Assume $h = 3$. We have $c \leq 8$.

(d1) Assume $c = 8$ and that $E := \langle S_1 \rangle$ is a plane. Let M be a hyperplane containing $E \cup \{o\}$. It is sufficient to prove that $W := \text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. These conditions are satisfied by \mathbb{P}^4 (because $\sharp(M \cap S) \geq 4$) and by all lines (because $E \cup \{o\}$ meets each element of B_1). Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $S \setminus S \cap M \subseteq S_2$, we have $\sharp(U \cap M \cap S) \geq 3$. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $S \setminus S \cap M \subseteq S_2$, we get $A \cap M \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap S) = 1$. We get $S \cap H = S_1 \cup \{o\}$, $A \cap S = \{o\} \cup S_2$ and hence that $A = \langle \{o\} \cup S_2 \rangle$. We

get $S \subset A \cup E$. Fix $q \in E \cap S$ and set $H_q := \langle A \cup \{q\} \rangle$ and $W_q := \text{Res}_{H_q}(Z)$; if $B_+ \neq \emptyset$, then assume that q is one of the 5 points of the only elements of B_+ . The last condition and the inclusion of $S_2 \cup S_3$ in H_q implies that W_q satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 with respect to all lines. It satisfies it with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and with respect to all hyperplanes, because $W_q = 2o \cup S_2 \cup (S_1 \setminus \{q\})$ and $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H_q) = 7$. Each $B \in B_2$ meets $H_q \cap S$. Assume $w(B) = 9$ and $\sharp(B \cap S) = 1$. We get that $\sharp(B \cap S_1) \geq 6$. Hence $B = \langle B \cap S_1 \rangle$. Thus B contains q and at least one point of $S_2 \cup S_3$, a contradiction.

(d2) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$; if $c = 8$ assume that $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a plane. Let H be a hyperplane containing $S_3 \cup S_2$ and spanned by points of S . We have $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 8$ with strict inequality if $\langle S_3 \cup S_2 \rangle$ is a plane. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix $U \in B_3$. We have $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) \geq 2$, because $c = 8$. If $w(U) = 13$, then we use Lemma 4. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 8$, we have $A \cap H \cap S = \emptyset$ if and only if $c = 8$ and $A = \langle S_1 \rangle$; we excluded this case. Now assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) = 1$. We get that either A contains a point of S_2 and 7 points of S_1 or it contains o and 6 points of S_1 . Since $c \leq 8$ and we assumed $B_+ = \emptyset$, there are at most two planes A, A' , spanned by 6 points of S_1 and in this case we have $\sharp(A \cap A' \cap S') = 4$, $\sharp(A \cap S_1) = \sharp(S \cap A') = 6$ and $A \cap A'$ contains o and 4 points of S_1 , so that $w(A \cap A') \geq 7$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that A is the only plane spanned by 6 points of S_1 . Let M be a hyperplane containing A and a point of $S_3 \cup S_2 \setminus (S_3 \cup S_2) \cap A$ with maximal multiplicity. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 6$, $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all linear spaces of dimension ≥ 3 and with respect to all planes with weight ≤ 8 . Fix a plane B with $w(B) = 9$ and assume $\sharp(B \cap M \cap S) = 1$. It means that $B \cap M \cap S = \{o\}$ and that B contains 2 points of S_2 not in M and 2 points of S_1 . We get $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$ and in this case the plane A does not arise.

(d3) Assume $B_+ \neq \emptyset$; if $c = 8$ assume that $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a plane. Since $c \leq 8$, B_+ has a unique element, R . Since $\sharp(S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R) = 3$ and $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a plane, we get $\sharp(B_\vee) \leq 1$. Fix $q \in R \cap S$. Let M be a hyperplane containing q , o and two points of S_2 ; if $B_\vee \neq \emptyset$ we take inside M at least one bistarred point of S_2 . The scheme $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 . Now we check the Segre condition in degree 3 for lines for the scheme $\text{Res}_M(W)$; since $\{q, o\} \in M$, it is satisfied, except at most by the elements of $B_=-$ and B_\vee . Since $\sharp(S_2 \cap M) = 2$, every element of $B_=-$ satisfies the Segre condition. By our choice of the points of $S_2 \cap M$ every element of B_\vee satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3.

Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 9$, we have $\sharp(M \cap U \cap S) > 0$. Now assume

$w(U) = 12$ and $\sharp(M \cap U \cap S) = 1$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 9$, we get $o \in U$, $c = 8$ and that U contains the point of $S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap M$ and the seven points of $S_1 \setminus \{q\}$; since R is spanned by two points of $R \cap S_1$, we get $q \in U$ and hence $\sharp(S \cap U \cap M) \geq 2$. If $w(U) = 13$, then we use Lemma 4.

Fix $B \in B_2$. If $B \cap M \cap S = \emptyset$, then B must contain at least two points of $R \cap (S_1 \setminus \{q\})$, because $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 9$ and hence $q \in B$, a contradiction. Now assume that B is a plane with $w(B) = 9$ and $\sharp(B \cap M \cap S) = 1$.

(d3.1) Assume $B \cap M \cap S \in S_3 \cup S_2$. Since $q \notin B$, we get that $c = 8$, $o \in B \cap M \cap S$, B contains a point of $S_2 \setminus S_2 \cap M$, the 3 points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$ and one point p of $R \cap S_1$ with $p \neq q$. By the Segre condition for Z we have $B = \langle \{o\} \cup (S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R) \rangle$. Hence we may avoid the case taking inside M the only point of $S_2 \cap B$, because every $T \in B_\vee$ contains a point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$.

(d3.2) Now assume $B \cap M \cap S \in S_1$. Hence $o \notin B$ and $\sharp(S_2 \cap B) \leq 1$. Hence $\sharp(B \cap S_1) \geq 7$. Since $c \leq 8$, we get $\sharp(S_2 \cap B) = 1$, $R \subset B$ and $B = \langle B \cap S_1 \rangle$. Since $c \leq 8$, B is unique. Since $\sharp(S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap B) = 1$, all elements of B_\vee (if any) are contained in B . Hence we may take the point of $S_2 \cap B$ as one of the points of $S_2 \cap M$ and avoid this case (note that (d3.1) and (d3.2) cannot occur for different planes).

(f) Assume $h = 2$. We have $c \leq 10$. Note that either $B = \emptyset$ or $B = \langle \{S_2\} \rangle$.

(f1) Assume $\sharp(B_+) \geq 2$. Since $c \leq 10$, we have $\sharp(B_+) = 2$, say $B_+ = \{D_1, D_2\}$ and either $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$, $c = 10$ and $S_1 \subset D_1 \cup D_2$ or $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ and the plane $\langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$ contains at least 9 points of S_1 (by the Segre condition of Z it contains 9 points of S_1 and no point of $S_2 \cup S_3$). First assume $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ and let H be a hyperplane containing $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{o\}$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 5$, $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all linear spaces of dimension > 1 . It satisfies the Segre condition for all lines, unless $c = 10$, $\sharp(S_1 \cap \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle) = 9$ and the line $\langle S_2 \rangle$ contains the point of $S_1 \setminus \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$. Set $F := \langle D_1 \cup S_2 \rangle$. F is a hyperplane. $\text{Res}_F(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines, because it contains S_2 and no line though o may contain 2 points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap F = D_2 \cap S_1 \setminus D_1 \cap D_2$. Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus F) \leq 7$ and each point of $S \cap F$ has multiplicity ≤ 2 , we get $\sharp(F \cap U \cap S) \geq 2$, with strict inequality if $w(U) = 13$. Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus F) \leq 7$, we get $A \cap F \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap F \cap S) = 1$. We get that $A \cap F \cap S \in S_2$ and that A contains o and the 4 elements of $S_1 \setminus D_1 \cap D_2$. Hence $D_1 \cap D_2 \in A \cap F \cap S$ and so $\sharp(A \cap F \cap S) > 1$.

Now assume $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$. Fix $p_i \in D_i \cap S_1$, $i = 1, 2$, and let G be a hyperplane containing $\{o, o_2, o_3\}$ and one point of S_2 . By construction $\text{Res}_G(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus G) \leq 10$, we have $U \cap G \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $w(U) = 12$

and $\sharp(U \cap G \cap S) = 1$; since $w(U) - w(S_2 \cup S_3) \geq 5$, U must contain at least 4 points of $S \cap (D_1 \cup D_2)$ and hence at least one of the points p_i ; we also get that either $U \cap G \cap (S_2 \cup S_3) \neq \emptyset$ or that $G \supset \{p_1, p_2\}$ and hence $\sharp(U \cap G \cap S) > 1$. Now assume $w(U) = 13$ and $\sharp(U \cap G \cap S) = 2$; we exclude both the cases $\sharp(U \cap G \cap (S_2 \cup S_3)) = 2$ and $\sharp(U \cap D_i \cap S) \leq 1$ for all i and the case $\sharp(U \cap G \cap (S_2 \cup S_3)) = 1$ and $\sharp(U \cap D_i \cap S) = 1$ for at least one i (because $3 + 5 + 1 < 13$) and the case $U \cap G \cap (S_2 \cup S_3) = \emptyset$ (because $c = 10$, $o \notin U$ and U has at most one element of S_2). Fix $A \in B_2$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus G) \leq 10$ and if A contains 3 of the 8 points of $S_1 \setminus \{o_1, o_2\}$, then it also intersects $\{o_1, o_2\}$, we get $A \cap G \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $w(A) = 9$. If $A \cap G \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$, then $A \cap S$ is at most one point of multiplicity 2 and 7 points of multiplicity 1 and hence it contains $\{o_1, o_2\}$. If $\sharp(A \cap G \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)) = 1$, then it contains at least 6 points of $S \cap (D_1 \cup D_2)$ and hence at least one of the points o_1, o_2 .

(f2) Assume $\sharp(B_+) = 1$, say $B_+ = \{R\}$. Let H be a hyperplane containing $S_3 \cup S_2$ and $p \in R \cap S_1$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines and (since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 9$) and all hyperplanes with weight ≤ 11 . By Lemma 4 we do not need to test the hyperplanes with $w(U) = 13$. Let U be a hyperplane with $w(U) = 12$. Since $c \leq 10$, U contains a point of $S_2 \cup S_3$. If it contains a unique point of $S_3 \cup S_2$, then it contains at least 9 points of S_1 and hence it contains two points of $R \cap S_1$ and hence R and so another point p of $H \cap S$. Take a plane A with $w(A) \geq 8$ and assume $A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$. Since $c \leq 10$, $A \cap R \cap S_1 \geq 2$ and so $A \supset R$ and hence $A \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $w(A) = 9$, $\sharp(A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)) = 1$ and $p \notin A$. Since $c \leq 10$, we get $c = 10$, $o \in A$, that A contains all points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$ and a unique point $q \in R \cap S_1$. If A is unique, to avoid this case it is sufficient to take q as the point p . Since $w(T) \leq 5$ for any line, $\langle \{o\} \cup (S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R) \rangle$ is a plane and so $A = \langle \{o\} \cup (S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R) \rangle$ is unique.

(f3) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$. Let H be a hyperplane containing $S_3 \cup S_2$ and $p \in S_1$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines and (since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 9$), all hyperplanes with weight ≤ 11 . Let U be a hyperplane with $w(U) = 12$. Since $c \leq 10$, we have $(S_2 \cap S_3) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. If $\sharp(S_2 \cap S_3) \geq 2$, then U satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. If $(S_2 \cap S_3) \cap U = \{o'\}$ with $o' \in S_2 \cup S_3$, then either $o' \in S_2$, $c = 10$ and $U = \langle S_1 \rangle$, or $o' = o$ and $\sharp(U \cap S_1) = 9$. In the first case $p \in U$ and hence U satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 for $\text{Res}_H(Z)$. In the second case we may take $p \in U \cap S_1$ if U is unique. If there is another U' containing o and 9 points of S_1 , we get that $U \cap U'$ contain o and at least 8 points of S_1 and so $w(U \cap U') \geq 11$ and so $U \cap U'$ is not a plane, i.e. $U = U'$. By Lemma 4 we do not need to test the hyperplanes with weight 13.

Take a plane $A \in B_2$ with $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) \leq 9 - w(A)$.

(f3.1) First assume $A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$. A is the only plane containing at least 8 points of S_1 ; hence if $w(A) = 8$ it is sufficient to take $p \in S_1 \cap A$; note that in case all the hyperplanes U, U_1 contain A and hence we may choose p also for those hyperplanes. Thus we may assume $w(A) = 9$. Set $M := \langle \{o\} \cup A \rangle$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and (since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 5$ and $m_1 < 4$) all linear spaces of dimension > 1 . It also satisfies the Segre conditions for lines, unless $c = 10$ and the line $\langle S_2 \rangle$ contains the point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap A$; we assume that we are in this case. Let M be a hyperplane containing o , one point of S_2 and two points $p_1, p_2 \in A \cap S_1$. The scheme $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix a hyperplane U with $w(U) \geq 11$. By Lemma 4 we may assume $w(U) \leq 12$. If $U \cap M \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$, then U contains at least 9 points of S_1 and hence at least 8 points of $S_1 \cap A$; since these points span A by the Segre condition for Z ; we get $p_1, p_2 \in U$. Now assume $\sharp(U \cap M \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)) = 1$; we get that U has at least 5 points of $S_1 \cap A$ and (since $B_+ = \emptyset$), these points span A , so that $\sharp(U \cap M \cap S) > 1$. Let E be a plane with $w(E) \geq 8$ and $E \cap M \cap S = \emptyset$. We get that $\sharp(E \cap S_1) \geq 6$ and hence $\sharp(A \cap S_1) \geq 5$ and hence $E = A$ (since $B_+ = \emptyset$) and hence $E \cap M \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $w(E) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap M \cap S) = 1$. As above we see that $A \cap M \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)$ is a single point o' and that $E \cap S_1$ contains at least 3 point of A (case $o' = o$) or 4 points of A (case $o' \in S_2$); we may also assume $\langle E \cap A \cap S_1 \rangle \neq A$, i.e. that all points of $A \cap S_1 \cap E$ are collinear. In the case $o' \in S_2$ there are at most 3 4-secant lines of $A \cap S_1$ and all of them pass through 3 points of $A \cap S_1$; for suitable p_1, p_2 we find $E \cap M \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and hence E satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 for $\text{Res}_M(Z)$. Now assume $o' = o$. Since E contains $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap A$ and one point of S_2 , it contains the other point of S_2 , because $\langle S_2 \rangle$ contains the point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap A$.

(f3.2) Now assume that $A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)$ is a point q with $m_q = 2$. We get that A contains at least 4 points of $A \cap S_1$. Since $A \neq E$, the points of $E \cap A \cap S_1$ are collinear. There are at most 3 4-secant lines of $A \cap S_1$ and all of them pass through 3 points of $A \cap S_1$; for suitable p_1, p_2 we find $E \cap M \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and hence E satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 for $\text{Res}_M(Z)$.

(f3.3) Now assume $\{o\} = A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)$. We get that E contains at least 3 points of $A \cap S_1$. If E contains 4 points of $A \cap S_1$, then we conclude as in step (f3.2). Thus we may assume that E contains exactly 3 points of $A \cap S_1$ and hence it contains the point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap A$. Since E also contain a point of S_2 and the line $\langle S_2 \rangle$ contains the point of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap A$, we get $S_2 \subset E$ and hence $\sharp(E \cap A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)) = 2$, a contradiction.

(g) Assume $h = 1$. We have $c \leq 12$. Since $h = 1$, $B_- = \emptyset$. Set

$L := \langle S_3 \cup S_2 \rangle$. Every $D \in B_1 \setminus B_+$ meets L .

(g1) Assume the existence of $L_1, L_2 \in B_+$ such that $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$. Since $L \cap L_i \cap S = \emptyset$, Remark 3 gives $L \cap L_i = \emptyset$. Since $\sharp(S_1) - \sharp(S_1 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)) \leq 2$, we get $B_+ = \{L_1, L_2\}$. Fix $p_i \in L_i \cap S$, $i = 1, 2$, and let H be a hyperplane containing $L \cup \{p_1, p_2\}$. $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre condition in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 10$, we have $U \cap H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Assume $w(U) = 12$. Since $c \leq 12$ and $S_2 \cup S_3 \subset H$, we get $S_1 = U \cap S$ and hence $\{p_1, p_2\} \in U$. Lemma 4 takes care of the case $w(U) = 13$. Fix $A \in B_2$. We have $A \cap S \cap H \neq \emptyset$, because $A \cap S$ cannot be the $c - 10$ points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)$ and one point for each L_i . Now assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) = 1$; $A \cap H \cap S$ cannot be the $c - 10$ points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)$, at most 5 points of $L_i \cap S_1$ and one point of $L_{3-i} \cap S_1$; $A \cap H \cap S$ cannot be a point of $S_3 \cup S_2$, the $c - 10$ points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)$ and one point for each L_i .

(g2) Assume $\sharp(B_+) \geq 2$ and take $D_1, D_2 \in B_+$ with $D_1 \neq D_2$. By step (g1) we may assume $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence $D_1 \cap D_2 \in S_1$, the plane $\langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$ contains 9 points of S_1 and no other point of S . First assume that either $c \leq 11$ or the 3 points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$ are not contained in an element of B_\vee . Let H be a hyperplane containing $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{o\}$. Our assumption gives that $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 5$, $m_1 < 4$ and $m_1 + m_2 + 5 < 13$, $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all linear spaces of dimension > 1 . Now assume $c = 12$ and the existence of $R \in B_\vee$ containing the 3 points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$. Let M be a hyperplane containing $L \cup D_1$. Assume for the moment $B_- \neq \emptyset$ and take $T \in B_-$; since $S_1 \subset \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle \cup R$, and $o \notin \langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$, we get $T \cap R \neq \emptyset$ and hence $T \cap R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ (Remark 3). Thus $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) \leq 7$, $\text{Res}_M(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all hyperplanes (if $w(U) = 13$ use that $m_1 + m_2 + w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus M) < 13$) and all planes with weight ≤ 8 . Fix a plane A with $w(A) = 9$. If A contains at least two points of $D_2 \cap S_1$, then it contains $D_2 \cap D_1 \in M \cap S_1$. Since $S \setminus S \cap M$ is the union of o and 4 points of D_2 , then $\sharp(A \cap M \cap S) > 1$.

(g3) Assume $\sharp(B_+) = 1$, say $B_+ = \{R\}$. By [2] we may assume $c \geq 6$. Fix $p \in R \cap S_1$, $q \in S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$ and take a hyperplane $H \supset L \cup \{p, q\}$. $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 and all lines. Fix $U \in B_3$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 10$, we have $S \cap H \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Assume $w(U) = 12$ and $\sharp(U \cap S \cap H) = 1$. Either $U \supset R$ and $U \cap (S_2 \cup S_3) = \emptyset$ (and hence $c = 12$ and $U = \langle S_1 \rangle$) or U contains one element of $S_2 \cup S_3$, $c - 5$ elements $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap R$ and one element of R (this case give $w(U) \leq 11$). Assume $c = 12$ and $U = \langle S_1 \rangle$; we

get $\sharp(H \cap U \cap S) = 2$, because $p, q \in H \cap U \cap S$. If $w(U) = 13$, then we quote Lemma 4.

Fix $A \in B_2$. First assume $A \cap H \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $c - \sharp(S_1 \cap R) \leq 7$ and $q \in H \cap S_1$, we get that A contains at least two points of $R \cap S_1$ and hence it contains p , a contradiction. Now assume $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap H \cap S) = 1$. First assume $A \cap H \cap S \in \{p, q\}$. We get that A contains 8 other points of S_1 ; call G a hyperplane containing $A \cup \{o\}$; since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus G) \leq 4$, $\text{Res}_G(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. Now assume $(S_3 \cap S_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and hence that A contains 6 or 7 points of S_1 (6 if and only if $o \in A$), but neither p nor q . Since $p \notin A$, A contains at most one point of R . We have $A = \langle A \cap S_1 \rangle$ and hence to avoid this case would be sufficient to take $q \in A$ if A is unique. Take another A' containing one point of $S_3 \cup S_2$ and 6 or 7 points of S_1 (6 if and only if $o \in A$), but with at most one point of $R \cap S_1$. We get $\sharp(A \cap A' \cap S_1) \geq 3$ and hence $A \cap A'$ is a line. Since $w(\langle A \cup A' \rangle) \geq 18 - w(A \cap A') \leq 13$, we get $w(\langle A \cup A' \rangle) = 13$ and we use Lemma 4.

(g4) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$ and the existence of a plane E such that $\sharp(E \cap S_1) \geq 7$. Let F be a hyperplane containing L and two points p, q of $S_1 \cap E$ and spanned by S . The scheme $\text{Res}_F(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines and, since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus F) \leq 7$, all planes with weight ≤ 8 and (since $m_1 < 4$) all hyperplanes with weight ≤ 12 . For the hyperplanes with weight 13 we quote Lemma 4. Take a hyperplane U with $w(U) = 13$; if $U \supset L$, then it meets each element of B_1 , because $B_+ = \emptyset$; since $c < w(U)$, U contains at least one point, o' , of $S_2 \cup S_3$; if $U \cap (S_2 \cup S_3) = \{o'\}$, then $\sharp(U \cap S_1) \geq 10$ and hence $\sharp(U \cap E \cap S_1) \geq 5$; since $B_+ = \emptyset$, we get $U \supset E$ and hence $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) \geq 3$. Take a plane B with $\sharp(B \cap F \cap S) = 1$. If $B \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = 9$, then B has 9 points of S_1 ; since $B_+ = \emptyset$, we get $B = E$ and hence $\sharp(B \cap F \cap S) > 1$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that B contains one point of $S_2 \cup S_3$ and at least 6 points of S_1 , none of them on R . We have $B \cap E \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$. The planes E, B are the only ones containing at least 6 points of S_1 and with weight 9, the other one with at least 7 points of S_1 (because $B_+ = \emptyset$ and hence $\sharp(S_1 \cap A \cap E) \leq 4$). Hence we may take as p a point of $B \cap S_1$.

(g5) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$ and the existence of a plane A containing one point of $S_3 \cup S_2$ and at least 6 points of S_1 . Let H be a hyperplane containing A and the points of $(S_3 \cup S_2) \setminus (S_3 \cup S_2) \cap A$. The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines, all hyperplanes and all planes with weight ≤ 8 (because $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$ and $m_1 + m_2 + 6 < 13$). Fix a plane B with $w(B) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap B \cap S) = 1$. We get that B contains o and 6 points of $S_1 \setminus S_1 \cap H$. First assume $o \in A$. We get $S_2 \not\subseteq A \cup B$ and hence

$Q \cap S \neq S$, where Q is the union of a general hyperplane through A and a general hyperplane through B . The inductive assumption gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(4)) = 0$. Since o is a singular point of Q , we have $\text{Res}_Q(Z) = \{o\} \cup 2q$ (with $\{q\} = S_2$) and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(2)) = 0$. Now assume $o \notin A$. Since $o \in B$ we may reverse the role of B and A taking a hyperplane containing B and S_2 and conclude as above.

(g6) Assume $B_+ = \emptyset$. Let H be a hyperplane containing L and containing the maximal number $a \geq 2$ of points of S_1 among the hyperplanes containing L . The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions with respect to \mathbb{P}^4 , all lines and (since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 10$) all hyperplanes with weight ≤ 11 . Lemma 4 handles the hyperplanes with $w(U) = 13$. Part (g4) handles the case of a plane A with $w(A) \geq 8$ and $A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$. Part (g5) handles the case of a plane A with $w(A) = 9$ and $\sharp(A \cap (S_3 \cup S_2)) = 1$. Fix a hyperplane U with $w(U) = 12$ and $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) = 1$. First assume $U \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$. We get $c = 12$ and $U \supset S_1$; since $p, q \in H$, we get $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S) > 1$. Now assume $U \cap (S_3 \cup S_2) \neq \emptyset$. We get that U contains 9 points of S_1 and o or 10 points of S_1 and the point, o' , of S_2 . First assume $o' \in S_2 \cap U$; we get $a = 2$ and in particular $B_\vee = B_- = \emptyset$; we get that $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all lines and (since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus U) \leq 5$ and $9 - 5 > m_1$) with respect to all linear spaces of dimension ≥ 2 . Now assume $o \in U$; since $a \leq 3$, we get $B_\vee = \emptyset$; hence even in this case $\text{Res}_U(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3 with respect to all lines. \square

Proposition 3. *If Z satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 5, $m_1 \leq 3$ and Z has h points with multiplicity 3 with $h \in \{0, 5, 6, 7\}$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(5)) = 0$.*

Proof. Set $S_i := \{p \in S : m_p = i\}$. Set $h := \sharp(S_3)$, $c := \sharp(S_2)$ and $e := \sharp(S_1)$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4) \leq 21$, we have

$$3h + 2c + e \leq 21 \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 1 we may assume $h \geq 2$. If $h = 7$, then $\sharp(S) = 7$ and this case is covered by [2]. For the same reason from now on we assume $h + c + e \geq 8$. For any $o \in S_3$ let $\ell_o : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the linear projection from o . Set $S'_o := \ell_o(S \setminus \{o\})$. The Segre conditions for Z gives $w(A) \leq 11$ for all planes A and $w(U) \leq 16$ for all hyperplanes U . Every line spanned by two points of S_3 is in S_1 and it contains no other point of S .

Observation 1: Assume the existence of $o \in S_3$ such that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'_o}(2)) = 0$. Fix $q \in S'_o$. By assumption there is a quadric surface Q' such that $Q' \cap S'_o = S'_o \setminus \{q\}$. Let $Q = \{o\} \cup \ell^{-1}(Q')$ be the quadric cone with vertex containing

o. Let Z'' be the union of the connected components of Z whose support is a point of $S' \setminus \{q\}$ whose image is q and let Z' be the union of the connected components of Z whose support is a point of $S' \setminus \{q\}$ whose image is not q . Let Z_- be the closed subscheme of Z' obtained from Z' decreasing by one all the non-zero multiplicities of Z' . We have $\text{Res}_Q(Z) \subseteq \{o\} \cup Z_- \cup Z''$. Therefore to get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(3)) = 0$ it is sufficient to prove that $\{o\} \cup Z_- \cup Z''$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3.

(a) Assume $h = 6$.

(a1) First assume $c = e = 1$. Fix $o \in S_3$. The set S'_o has at most cardinality 7. Therefore $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'_o}(2)) = 0$, unless either it has 4 collinear points or it is contained in a plane. In the second case, S is contained in a hyperplane and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(5)) = 0$. Assume that S'_o has a 4-secant line. We get the existence of a plane $A \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ containing o and at least 4 other points of S . Hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction.

Assume for the moment $\sharp(S'_o) = 7$. Let $q \in S'_o$ be the image of the point with multiplicity 1. The scheme $W := \{o\} \cup Z_- \cup Z''$ has 5 points with multiplicity 2 (the points of $S_3 \setminus \{o\}$) and 3 points with multiplicity one ($\{o\} \cup S_2 \cup S_1$). Since each line spanned by two points of S_3 contains no other point of S , $\{o\} \cup Z_- \cup Z''$ satisfies the condition for lines. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = 13$. Assume the existence of a line L with $w_W(L) \geq 5$. Since L must contain at least two points of $S_3 \cup S_2$, we get $w(L) \geq 7$, a contradiction. Assume the existence of a plane A such that $w(A) \geq 8$. Since A must contain at least 4 points of $S_3 \cup S_2$, we get $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction. Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w(U) \geq 11$. Since $e = 1$, U contains either at least 6 points of $S_3 \cup S_2$ or o and at least 4 points of $(S_3 \setminus \{o\}) \cup S_2$ and hence $w(U) \geq 15$, contradicting the Segre condition for Z .

Now assume $\sharp(S'_o) < 7$, i.e. assume the existence of a line L containing o and the two points of $S_1 \cup S_2$, i.e. assume that $\langle S_1 \cup S_2 \rangle \in B_1$. To avoid this case it is sufficient to take as o any of the five points of S_3 not in $\langle S_1 \cup S_2 \rangle$.

(a2) Now assume $e = 2$. In this case S has no 4-secant line and no plane contains 7 points of S (by the Segre conditions for Z) and $\sharp(S) = 8$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 0$ and hence for each $q \in S_1$ there is a quadric hypersurface Q with $Q \cap S = S \setminus \{q\}$. The inductive assumption gives $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(5)) = 0$. We have $W := \text{Res}_Q(Z) = \sum_{p \in S_3} 2p \cup \{u\}$ with $S_1 = \{q, u\}$. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = 13$. Assume the existence of a line L with $w_W(L) \geq 5$. Since it contains at least two points of S_3 , we get $w(L) \geq 7$, a contradiction. Fix a hyperplane. By Lemma 3 we may assume $\sharp(S) - \sharp(S \cap U) \geq 2$ and hence $w_W(U) \leq 10$. Assume the existence of a plane A with $w_W(A) \geq 8$. If A contains at least 4 points of S_3 , then we get $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction. Hence $S_1 \subset A$ and $\sharp(A \cap S_3) = 4$. Fix a hyperplane H containing A and another point of S_3 . The scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$

has S_3 as its support, one point of multiplicity 3 and 5 points of multiplicity 2. It satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3, because no line contains 3 points of S_3 , no plane contains 4 points of S_3 and no hyperplane contains S_3 .

(b) Assume $h = 5$ and hence $2c + e \leq 6$ and $c + e \geq 3$.

(b1) Assume $c = 3$ and hence $e = 0$.

(b1.1) First assume that $\langle S_2 \rangle$ is a line. Note that $S_3 \cap \langle S_2 \rangle = \emptyset$. Fix $o \in S_3$. By Observation 1 it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'_o}(2)) = 0$. S'_o is given by 4 points images of $\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})$ and 3 points on the line $\ell_o(\langle S_2 \rangle)$. It is sufficient to prove that S'_o is contained in no plane (true, because $\langle S \rangle$) and no line contains 4 points of S'_o . The latter condition is equivalent to the non-existence of a plane E containing $\langle S_2 \rangle$, o and at least another point of S_3 ; this is true for any $o \in S_3$, because $w(E) \leq 11$.

(b1.2) Now assume that $\langle S_2 \rangle$ is not a line. In this case every element of B_1 is spanned by two points of S_3 . Let H be a hyperplane containing 4 points of S_3 . We check when $Y := \text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 4. First assume $S_3 \subset H$. In this case the condition for lines is satisfied, because each element of B_1 meets S_3 . Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w_Y(U) \geq 14$. We have $U \neq H$, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, we get $\sharp(U \cap S_3) \geq 4$ and hence $w(U) \geq 8$, a contradiction. Fix a plane A such that $w_Y(A) \geq 10$. We get $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 2$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction.

Now assume $S_3 \not\subset H$, i.e. S_3 is linearly independent. Assume the existence of a plane A such that $w_Y(A) \geq 10$. Since $w(S_2) = 6$, we get $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) \geq 2$. If $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 3$, then $\sharp(S \cap A \cap H) \geq 2$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction. Assume $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) = 2$. We get that A contains S_2 . Since $\langle S_2 \rangle$ is not a line, we get $A = \langle S_2 \rangle$ and it is sufficient to take inside $S_3 \cap H$ both points of $S_3 \cap \langle S_3 \rangle$.

Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w_Y(U) \geq 14$. We have $U \neq H$, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 9$, we get $\sharp(S_3 \cap U \cap H) \geq 2$ and hence $w(U) \geq 16$. By the Segre condition for Z we have $w(U) = 16$, i.e. $\sharp(S_2 \cap U) = 2$ and $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) = 4$. Hence $\sharp(U \cap H \cap S_3) \geq 3$ and so $w(U) \geq w_Y(U) + 3 = 17$, a contradiction.

(b2) Assume $c = 2$ and $e = 2$. Fix $o \in S_3$. First assume $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'_o}(2)) = 0$ and set $W := \{o\} \cup Z \cup Z''$ with q a point with multiplicity 1. Therefore W has 4 points with multiplicity 2 and 4 points with multiplicity 1. It is sufficient to prove that W satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = 12$. By Lemma 3 we may assume $\sharp(S \cap U) \leq \sharp(S) - 2$ for each hyperplane and hence $w_W(U) \leq 10$ for each hyperplane U . Assume the existence of a line L with $w_W(L) \geq 5$. If $o \in L$, then $w(L) \geq 7$, a contradiction. If $o \notin L$, then L contains at least two points of $S \setminus \{q\}$ and hence Now assume $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{S'_o}(2)) > 0$. By Lemma 3 we may assume $\sharp(A \cap S'_o) \leq 6$ for each plane A . Hence there is a line

$L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with $\sharp(S'_o) \geq 5$, i.e. there is a plane A containing o and 5 further points $S \setminus \{o\}$. We get $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction.

(b3) The cases $(c, e) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 2)\}$ are done as in step (b2). Assume $c = 1$ and $e = 4$. First assume that $L := \langle S_2 \cup S_1 \rangle$ is a line. Fix $q, q' \in S_1$, $q \neq q'$. Since $\sharp(S_3 \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}) = 8$, $\langle S_3 \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\} \rangle = \langle L \cup S_3 \rangle = \mathbb{P}^4$ and every secant line of S_3 does not contain other points of S , we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S_3 \cup S_2 \cup \{q, q'\}}(2)) = 0$. Hence there is a quadric Q with $Q \cap S = S \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ with $p_1, p_2 \in S_1$ and hence it is sufficient to prove that $W := \text{Res}_Q(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. W is the union of S_2 , $\{p_1, p_2\}$ and $\sum_{p \in S_3} 2p$. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = 13$. Assume the existence of a line R such that $w_W(R) \geq 5$. Since R contains at least two points of $S_3 \cup S_2$, we get $w(R) \geq 7$, a contradiction. Assume the existence of a plane A with $w_W(A) \geq 8$. We get $\sharp(A \cap (S_2 \cup S_3)) \geq 4$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, unless A contains $\{p_1, p_2\}$. In this case A contains L and hence $w(A) \geq w_W(A) + 3$, a contradiction. In the same way we get that if U is a hyperplane with $w_W(U) \geq 11$, then $w(U) \geq 17$. Hence $\langle S_2 \cup S_1 \rangle$ is not a line, i.e. every element of B_1 meets S_3 . Let H be a hyperplane containing 4 points of S_3 ; if there is a line containing a point of S_3 and 3 points of S_1 , then there is at most one such line and we impose that H contains the point of S_3 in this line. We check when $Y := \text{Res}_H(Z)$ satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 4. First assume $S_3 \subset H$. In this case the condition for lines is satisfied, because each element of B_1 meets S_3 . Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w_Y(U) \geq 14$. We have $U \neq H$, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus H) \leq 6$, we get $\sharp(U \cap S_3) \geq 4$ and hence $w(U) \geq 18$, a contradiction. Fix a plane A such that $w_Y(A) \geq 10$. We get $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 2$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction.

Now assume $S_3 \not\subset H$, i.e. S_3 is linearly independent. In this case the condition for lines is satisfied by our choice of $S_3 \cap H$. Assume the existence of a plane A such that $w_Y(A) \geq 10$. Since $w(S_1 \cup S_2) = 6$, we get $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) \geq 2$. If $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 3$, then $\sharp(S \cap A \cap H) \geq 2$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction. Assume $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) = 2$. We get that A contains S_2 and at least 3 points of S_1 . Since $\langle S_2 \cup S_1 \rangle$ is not a line, there is at most one plane containing S_2 and at least 3 points of S_1 and it is sufficient to take inside $S_3 \cap H$ both points of S_3 .

Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w_Y(U) \geq 14$. We have $U \neq H$, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. Since $w(\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2)) = 15$, we get $\sharp(S_3 \cap U \cap H) \geq 2$ and $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) = 3$. We get $S_2 \in U$ and that U contains at least 3 points of S_1 . Assume for the moment the existence of a plane $A \subset U$ containing S_2 and at least 3 points of S_1 ; A is spanned by S_2 and two points of S_1 ; we took A containing 2 points of $S_3 \cap H$; since $w(A) \leq 11$, we have $\sharp(S_1 \cap A) = 3$; we conclude taking U instead of H , unless there is $B \in B_1$ with $B_1 \cap U \cap S = \emptyset$; since $\langle S_2 \cup S_1 \rangle$ is not a line, the elements of B_1 are either the lines spanned by

two points of S_3 or they contain 3 points of S_1 and in the latter case they are contained in A .

Now assume the non-existence of A ; in this case U is spanned by $S_2 \cup (U \cap S_1)$ and it contains 3 points of S_3 . We may take U instead of H , because in this case no A exists and every $B \in B_1$ mets a point of $U \cap S_3$.

In the same way we do the case $c = 1$ and $e = 3$.

(b4) Assume $c = 0, e = 6$ (the proof works if $c = 0$ and $e < 6$).

(b4.1) First assume the existence of a line L with $\sharp(L \cap S_1) = 6$, i.e. assume that $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is a line. We claim that we may find $o \in S_3$ such that $\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\}) \cap \ell_o(L) = \emptyset$ and $h^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_{\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})}(2)) = 0$. This is true, unless either a plane contains both $L \cup \ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})$ (not possible, because $\langle S_2 \rangle$) or a point of $\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})$ is contained in $\ell_o(L)$ (not possible, because it would give a plane E containing S_1, o and another point of S_3 and hence with $w(E) > 11$) or $\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})$ is contained in a line (not possible, because no plane contains 4 points of S_3) or if $\ell_o(S_3 \setminus \{o\})$ contains 3 points in a line D intersecting $\ell_o(L)$. In the latter case there is a hyperplane containing $\sharp(S) - 1$ points of S and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(5)) = 0$ by Lemma 3. By the claim there is a quadric Q containing S_3 and two points of S_1 , with vertex containing o and with $L \not\subset Q$, i.e. with $\sharp(Q \cap S_1) = 4$. Since $Q \cap S \neq S$, the inductive assumption gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q}(5)) = 0$. The scheme $W := \text{Res}_Q(Z)$ is the union of $\{o\}$, 4 points of $S_1 \cap L$ and the schemes $2p, p \in S_3 \setminus \{o\}$. It is sufficient to prove that it satisfies the Segre conditions in degree 3. We have $w_W(\mathbb{P}^4) = 13$. W satisfies the Segre conditions for lines, because $L \cap S_3 = \emptyset$ and no line spanned by two points of S_3 meets L (it would give a plane E with $w(E) > 11$). Fix $A \in B_2$. First assume $L \subset A$. We have $w(A \setminus L) = w(A) - 6$ and hence A contains at least $w(A) - 10$ points of S_3 ; therefore $w_W(A) \leq 7$. Now assume $A \not\subset L$. Hence A contains at most one point of $L \cap S_1 = S_1$. If $S_1 \cap A = \emptyset$, then $\sharp(A \cap S) \geq 4$ and hence $w_W(A) \leq 7$. Now assume $\sharp(S_1 \cap A) = 1$. If $w(A) = 10$, then $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 3$, while if $w(A) = 11$ we have $\sharp(A \cap S_3) > 3$, contradicting the inequality $w(A) \leq 11$. Fix $A \in B'_2$, i.e. $8 \leq w(A) \leq 9$. If $A \supset L$, then we get $w_W(A) \leq w(A) - 2 \leq 7$; if $A \not\supset L$ we first get $\sharp(S_1 \cap A) \leq 1$, then $S_3 \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and then, if $w(A) = 9$, $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) \geq 2$; in all cases we get $w_W(A) \leq 7$. Fix $U \in B_3$. First assume $U \supset L$. We have $w(U \setminus L) = w(U) - 6$ and hence $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) \geq 3$ with strict inequality if $w(U) = 16$. Hence $w_W(U) \leq 10$. Now assume $U \not\supset L$ and hence $\sharp(U \cap A_1) \leq 1$. We get $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) \geq 5$ and hence $S_3 \subset U$; since o appears with multiplicity one in W , we get $w(U) \leq 10$.

Fix $U \in B'_3$, i.e. $11 \leq w(A) \leq 13$. Since $w(U) - w(L) \geq 7$, we always have $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) \geq 3$ and hence $w_W(U) \leq 10$.

(b4.2) Now assume that $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a line. Let H be a hyperplane con-

taining 4 points of S_3 ; there are at most two points of S_3 for which there are lines containing 3 points of S_1 and we take these points as some of the points of $S_3 \cap H$. If $S_3 \subset H$ we conclude as in step (b3). Thus we assume that S_3 is linearly independent. In this case the condition for lines is satisfied by our choice of $S_3 \cap H$. Assume the existence of a plane A such that $w_Y(A) \geq 10$. Since $w(S_1) = 6$, we get $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) \geq 2$. If $\sharp(A \cap S_3) \geq 3$, then $\sharp(S \cap A \cap H) \geq 2$ and hence $w(A) \geq 12$, a contradiction. Assume $\sharp(S_3 \cap A) = 2$. We get that A contains at least 5 points of S_1 . Since $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a line, there is at most one plane containing at least 5 points of S_1 and it is sufficient to take inside $S_3 \cap H$ both points of S_3 .

Assume the existence of a hyperplane U with $w_Y(U) \geq 14$. We have $U \neq H$, because $\sharp(H \cap S) \geq 4$. We have $\sharp(S_3 \cap U \cap H) \geq 2$ and $\sharp(S_3 \cap U) = 3$. We get that U contains at least 5 points of S_1 . Assume for the moment the existence of a plane $A \subset U$ containing at least 5 points of S_1 ; we took A containing 2 points of $S_3 \cap H$; since $w(A) \leq 11$, we have $\sharp(S_1 \cap A) = 3$; we conclude taking U instead of H , unless there is $B \in B_1$ with $B_1 \cap U \cap S = \emptyset$; since $\langle S_1 \rangle$ is not a line, the elements of B_1 are either the lines spanned by two points of S_3 or they contain 3 points of S_1 and in the latter case they are contained in $A \subset U$.

Now assume the non-existence of A ; in this case U is spanned by $U \cap S_1$ and it contains 3 points of S_3 . We may take U instead of H , because in this case no A exists and every $B \in B_1$ meets a point of $U \cap S_3$. \square

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] E. Ballico, On the Segre upper bound of the regularity for fat points in \mathbb{P}^4 , I. Preprint.
- [2] E. Ballico, O. Dumitrescu and E. Postinghel, On Segre's bound for fat points in \mathbb{P}^n . arXiv: 1504.05151.
- [3] B. Benedetti, G. Fatabbi and A. Lorenzini, Segre's bound and the case of $n + 2$ fat points of \mathbb{P}^n . *Comm. Algebra* 40 (2012), 395–403.
- [4] M. V. Catalisano, Linear systems of plane curves through fixed “fat” points of \mathbf{P}^2 . *J. Algebra* 142 (1991), no. 1, 81–100.

- [5] Catalisano, M. V.; Trung, N. V.; Valla, G. A sharp bound for the regularity index of fat points in general position. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), no. 3, 717–724.
- [6] G. Fatabbi, On the resolution of ideals of fat points. J. Algebra 242 (2001), no. 1, 92–108.
- [7] G. Fatabbi and A. Lorenzini, On a sharp bound for the regularity index of any set of fat points. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 161 (2001), no. 1-2, 91–111.
- [8] P. V. Thiên On Segre bound for the regularity index of fat points in \mathbb{P}^2 . Acta Math. Vietnam. 24 (1999), no. 1, 75–81.
- [9] P. V. Thiên, Segre bound for the regularity index of fat points in \mathbb{P}^3 . J. Pure Appl. Algebra 151 (2000), no. 2, 197–214
- [10] P. V. Thiên, Sharp upper bound for the regularity of zero-schemes of double points in \mathbb{P}^4 . Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 12, 5825–5847.
- [11] N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Upper bounds for the regularity index of fat points. J. Algebra 176 (1995), no. 1, 182–209.
- [12] N. C. Tu and T. M. Hung, On the regularity index of $n+3$ almost equi-multiple fat points in \mathbb{P}^n . Kyushu J. Math. 67 (2013), no. 1, 20–213.

