

**SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS  
SATISFYING CONTRACTIVE CONDITIONS OF  
INTEGRAL TYPE IN MODIFIED INTUITIONISTIC  
FUZZY METRIC SPACES**

Saurabh Manro<sup>1</sup>, Shin Min Kang<sup>2 §</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Computer Applications

Thapar University

Patiala 147004, Punjab, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Research Institute of Natural Science

Gyeongsang National University

Jinju, 660-701, KOREA

**Abstract:** In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

**Key Words:** modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, occasionally weakly compatible mapping, common fixed point

## 1. Introduction

Atanassov [2] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets.

In 2004, Park [9] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous  $t$ -norms and continuous  $t$ -conorms.

---

Received: December 26, 2014

© 2015 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [1] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous  $t$ -norm and continuous  $t$ -conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michálek [8]. In 2006, Türkoğlu et al. [13] proved Jungck's common fixed point theorem ([6]) in the setting of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for commuting mappings. In 2006, Gregori et al. [5] showed that the topology induced by fuzzy metric coincides with topology induced by intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

In view of this observation, Saadati et al. [11], in 2008, reframed the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and proposed a new notion under the name of modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of the notion of continuous  $t$ -representable.

In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** ([4]) A triangular norm ( $t$ -norm) on  $L^*$  is a mapping  $\mathfrak{S} : (L^*)^2 \rightarrow L^*$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, x', y, y', z \in L^*$ ,

(i)  $\mathfrak{S}(x, 1_{L^*}) = x$  (boundary condition);

(ii)  $\mathfrak{S}(x, y) = \mathfrak{S}(y, x)$  (commutativity);

(iii)  $\mathfrak{S}(x, \mathfrak{S}(y, z)) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(x, y), z)$  (associativity);

(iv) If  $x \leq_{L^*} x'$  and  $y \leq_{L^*} y'$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(x, y) \leq_{L^*} \mathfrak{S}(x', y')$  (monotonicity),

where  $L^* = \{(x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\}$  and for every  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in L^*$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1, y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \leq y_1$  and  $x_2 \geq y_2$ .

We denote its units by  $0_{L^*} = (0, 1)$  and  $1_{L^*} = (1, 0)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([4]) A continuous  $t$ -norm  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $L^*$  is called *continuous  $t$ -representable* iff there exists a continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  and a continuous  $t$ -conorm  $\diamond$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that for all  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}(x, y) = (x_1 * y_1, x_2 \diamond y_2)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** ([11]) Let  $M, N$  are fuzzy sets from  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  to  $[0, 1]$  such that  $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . The 3-tuple  $(X, \zeta_{M, N}, \mathfrak{S})$  is said to be a *modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space* if  $X$  is an arbitrary non-empty set,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a continuous  $t$ -representable and  $\zeta_{M, N}$  is a mapping from  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  to  $L^*$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

(i)  $\zeta_{M, N}(x, y, t) >_{L^*} 0_{L^*}$ ;

- (ii)  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t) = 1_{L^*}$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (iii)  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t) = \zeta_{M,N}(y, x, t)$ ;
- (iv)  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t + s) \geq_{L^*} \mathfrak{S}(\zeta_{M,N}(x, z, t), \zeta_{M,N}(z, y, s))$ ;
- (v)  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow L^*$  is continuous.

In this case,  $\zeta_{M,N}$  is called a *modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric*. Here,  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t) = (M(x, y, t), N(x, y, t))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** ([10]) In a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ , for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t)$  is non-decreasing with respect to  $t$  in  $(L^*, \leq_{L^*})$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** ([12]) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings of a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be *commuting* if

$$\zeta_{M,N}(fgx, gfx, t) = 1_{L^*}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 2.6.** ([12]) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings of a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be *weakly commuting* if

$$\zeta_{M,N}(fgx, gfx, t) \geq_{L^*} \zeta_{M,N}(fx, gx, t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 2.7.** ([11], [12]) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings of a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be *compatible* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{M,N}(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1_{L^*}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$  for some  $u \in X$ .

**Definition 2.8.** ([11], [12]) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings of a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be *weakly compatible* if they commute at the coincidence points, that is, if  $fu = gu$  for some  $u \in X$ , then  $fgu = gfu$ .

It is easy to see that compatible mappings are weakly compatible but converse is not true.

**Definition 2.9.** ([3], [7]) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings of a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be

occasionally weakly compatible if there exists a point  $x \in X$  which is coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$  at which  $f$  and  $g$  commute.

### 3. Main Results

Now, we prove common fixed point theorems for three mappings.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$  be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Suppose that  $f, g$  and  $h$  are three self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(C1) \quad \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fx,gy,t)} \phi(t)dt \leq_{L^*} \int_0^{P1} \phi(t)dt$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals numbers with  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ , where

$$P1 = \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hx, hy, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fx, hx, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gy, hy, t)] + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hx, gy, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hy, fx, t)]$$

and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Assume that the pair  $(f, h)$  or  $(g, h)$  is occasionally weakly compatible. Then  $f, g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Suppose that the pair  $(f, h)$  is occasionally weakly compatible. Then there exists an element  $u \in X$  such that  $fu = hu$  and  $fh u = hfu$ .

Now, we prove that  $fu = gu$ . Indeed, by inequality (C1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt &\leq_{L^*} \int_0^{P2} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta\zeta_{M,N}(gu,fu,t) + \gamma\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &<_{L^*} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P2 = \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hu, hu, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fu, hu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gu, hu, t)] + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hu, gu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hu, fu, t)],$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $gu = fu = hu$ .

Again, suppose that  $ffu \neq fu$ . Then by (C1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt &\leq_{L^*} \int_0^{P3} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gu,t)+2\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &<_{L^*} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gu,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P3 = &\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hfu, hu, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(ffu, hfu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gu, hu, t)] \\ &+ \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hfu, gu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hu, ffu, t)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $ffu = fu = hfu$ .

Now, suppose that  $gfu \neq fu$ . Then by (C1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gfu,t)} \phi(t)dt &\leq_{L^*} \int_0^{P4} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta\zeta_{M,N}(gfu,fu,t)+\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gfu,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &<_{L^*} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gfu,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P4 = &\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hu, hfu, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fu, hu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gfu, hfu, t)] \\ &+ \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hu, gfu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hfu, fu, t)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $gfu = fu$ . Put  $fu = gu = hu = z$ . Therefore,  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $f, g$  and  $h$ .

Similarly, if the pair  $(g, h)$  is occasionally weakly compatible, then  $f, g$  and  $h$  have a common fixed point.

Finally, let  $z$  and  $w$  ( $z \neq w$ ) be two common fixed points of  $f, g$  and  $h$ .

Then from (C1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t) dt &= \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fz,gw,t)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq_{L^*} \int_0^{P5} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)+2\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t) dt \\ &<_{L^*} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P5 &= \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hz,hw,t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fz,hz,t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gw,hw,t)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hz,gw,t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hw,fz,t)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $z = w$ . Thus the common fixed point is unique. This completes the proof. □

If we put  $\phi(t) = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$  be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Suppose that  $f, g$  and  $h$  are three self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_{M,N}(fx,gy,t) \\ &\leq_{L^*} \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hx,hy,t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fx,hx,t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gy,hy,t)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hx,gy,t) + \zeta_{M,N}(hy,fx,t)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals numbers with  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ .

Assume that the pair  $(f, h)$  or  $(g, h)$  is occasionally weakly compatible.

Then  $f, g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.

Next, we prove common fixed point theorems for four mappings.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$  be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Suppose that  $f, g, h$  and  $k$  are four self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(C2) \quad \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fx,gy,t)} \phi(t) dt \leq_{L^*} \int_0^{Q1} \phi(t) dt$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals numbers with  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ , where

$$Q1 = \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hx, ky, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fx, hx, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gy, ky, t)] + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hx, gy, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(ky, fx, t)]$$

and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Assume that the pairs  $(f, h)$  and  $(g, k)$  are occasionally weakly compatible. Then  $f, g, h$  and  $k$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since pairs of mappings  $(f, h)$  and  $(g, k)$  are occasionally weakly compatible. Then there exists two points  $u, v \in X$  such that  $fu = hu$  and  $fhu = hfu$ ,  $gv = kv$  and  $gkv = kgv$ .

Now, we prove that  $fu = gv$ . Indeed, by (C2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt &\leq L^* \int_0^{Q2} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gv,t)+2\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< L^* \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q2 = \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hu, kv, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fu, hu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gv, kv, t)] + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hu, gv, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(kv, fu, t)],$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $gv = fu = hu = kv$ .

Again, suppose that  $ffu = fhu = hfu \neq fu$ . Then by (C2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt &\leq L^* \int_0^{Q3} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gv,t)+2\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< L^* \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(ffu,gv,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q3 = \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hfu, kv, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(ffu, hfu, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gv, kv, t)] + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hfu, gv, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(kv, ffu, t)],$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $ffu = fu = hfu = fhu$ .

Similarly, we obtain  $gfu = kfu = fu$ . Put  $fu = z$ . Therefore,  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $f, g, h$  and  $k$ .

Finally, let  $z$  and  $w$  ( $z \neq w$ ) be two common fixed points of  $f, g, h$  and  $k$ . Then from (C2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t)dt &= \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(fz,gw,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &\leq L^* \int_0^{Q4} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)+2\gamma\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< L^* \int_0^{\zeta_{M,N}(z,w,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q4 &= \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hz, kw, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fz, hz, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gw, kw, t)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hz, gw, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(kw, fz, t)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $z = w$ . Thus, the common fixed point is unique. This completes the proof. □

If we put  $\phi(t) = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(X, \zeta_{M,N}, \mathfrak{S})$  be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Suppose that  $f, g, h$  and  $k$  are four self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_{M,N}(fx, gy, t) \\ &\leq L^* \alpha\zeta_{M,N}(hx, ky, t) + \beta[\zeta_{M,N}(fx, hx, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(gy, ky, t)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[\zeta_{M,N}(hx, gy, t) + \zeta_{M,N}(ky, fx, t)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals numbers with  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ .

Assume that the pairs  $(f, h)$  and  $(g, k)$  are occasionally weakly compatible. Then  $f, g, h$  and  $k$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric

$$\zeta_{M,N}(x, y, t) = \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - y|}, \frac{|x - y|}{t + |x - y|} \right)$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Define

$$fx = gx = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [0, 1), \\ 1, & x \in [1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$hx = \begin{cases} 3, & x \in [0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{x}, & x \in [1, \infty), \end{cases} \quad kx = \begin{cases} 9, & x \in [0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}, & x \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Clearly the pairs  $(f, h)$  and  $(g, k)$  are occasionally weakly compatible. Also if we define  $\phi(x) = 3x^2$  and by taking  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{5}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{6}$ , then all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied and  $x = 1$  is a unique common fixed point of  $f, g, h$  and  $k$ .

### References

- [1] C. Alaca, D. Türkoğlu, C. Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **29**, No. 5 (2006), 1073-1078, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2005.08.066
- [2] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20**, No. 1 (1986), 87-96, **doi:** 10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3
- [3] H. Chandra, A. Bhatt, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible maps in probabilistic semi-metric space, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **3**, No. 12 (2009), 563-570.
- [4] G. Deschrijver, C. Cornelis, E.E. Kerre, On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy  $t$ -norm and  $t$ -conorms, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy System*, **12**, No. 1 (2004), 45-61, **doi:** 10.1109/TFUZZ.2003.822678
- [5] V. Gregori, S. Romaguera, P. Veeramani, A note on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **28**, No. 4 (2006), 902-905, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2005.08.113
- [6] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **83**, No. 4 (1976), 261-263, **doi:** 10.2307/2318216
- [7] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, *Fixed Point Theory*, **7**, No. 2 (2006), 286-296.
- [8] I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11**, No. 5 (1975), 336-344.

- [9] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **22**, No. 5 (2004), 1039-1046, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2004.02.051
- [10] R. Saadati, J.H. Park, On the intuitionistic topological spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **27**, No. 2 (2006), 331-344, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2005.03.019
- [11] R. Saadati, S. Sedghi, N. Shobhe, Modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and some fixed point theorems, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **38**, No. 1 (2008), 36-47, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2006.11.008
- [12] M. Tanveer, M. Imdad, D. Gopal, D. Kumar, Common fixed point theorems in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with common property (E.A), *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2012**, No. 36 (2012), 12 pages, **doi:** 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-36
- [13] D. Türkoğlu, C. Alaca, Y.J. Cho, C. Yildiz, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math Comput.*, **22**, No. 1-2 (2006), 411-424, **doi:** 10.1007/BF02896489.