

## INITIAL SOFT $L$ -FUZZY QUASI-UNIFORM SPACES

Young Sun Kim<sup>1</sup>, Yong Chan Kim<sup>2 §</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics

Pai Chai University

Dae Jeon, 302-735, KOREA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Gangneung-Wonju University

Gangneung, Gangwondom 210-702, KOREA

---

**Abstract:** In this paper, we prove the existences of initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy (quasi-)uniformities in a complete residuated lattice. From this fact, we define subspaces and product spaces for soft  $L$ -fuzzy (quasi-)uniformities. Moreover, we give their examples.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 03E72, 06A15, 06F07, 54A05

**Key Words:** complete residuated lattice, initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformities, uniformly continuous soft maps

---

### 1. Introduction

Hájek in [5] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structure of fuzzy contexts, see [2,6,9,10]. Recently, Molodtsov in [13] introduced the soft set as a mathematical tool for dealing information as the uncertainty of data in engineering, physics, computer sciences and many other diverse field. Presently, the soft set theory is making progress rapidly, see [1,4]. Pawlak's rough set (see [14,15]) can be viewed as a special case of soft rough sets, see [4]. The topological structures of soft sets have been developed by many researchers, see [3,9,10,16,19,20].

---

Received: November 2, 2015

Published: April 9, 2016

© 2016 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

Kim in [9] introduced a fuzzy soft  $F : A \rightarrow L^U$  as an extension as the soft  $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$  where  $L$  is a complete residuated lattice. Kim [9,10] introduced the soft topological structures,  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft  $L$ -fuzzy topogenous orders in complete residuated lattices.

In this paper, we prove the existences of initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy (quasi-) uniformities in a complete residuated lattice. From this fact, we define subspaces and product spaces for soft  $L$ -fuzzy (quasi-)uniformities. Moreover, we give their examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** (see [2,6]) An algebra  $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1)  $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$  is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;

(C2)  $(L, \odot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid;

(C3)  $x \odot y \leq z$  iff  $x \leq y \rightarrow z$  for  $x, y, z \in L$ .

In this paper, we assume that  $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow, \oplus, *)$  is a complete residuated lattice with an order reversing involution  $x^* = x \rightarrow 0$  which is defined by  $x \oplus y = (x^* \odot y^*)^*$  unless otherwise specified and we denote  $L_0 = L - \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** (see [2,6]) For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$ , we have the following properties.

$$(1) 1 \rightarrow x = x, 0 \odot x = 0,$$

(2) If  $y \leq z$ , then  $x \odot y \leq x \odot z$ ,  $x \oplus y \leq x \oplus z$ ,  $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$  and  $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$ ,

$$(3) x \odot y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y \leq x \oplus y,$$

$$(4) (\bigwedge_i y_i)^* = \bigvee_i y_i^*, (\bigvee_i y_i)^* = \bigwedge_i y_i^*,$$

$$(5) x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i),$$

$$(6) x \oplus (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \oplus y_i),$$

$$(7) x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$$

$$(8) (\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$$

$$(9) \quad x \rightarrow (\bigvee_i y_i) \geq \bigvee_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$$

$$(10) \quad (\bigwedge_i x_i) \rightarrow y \geq \bigvee_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$$

$$(11) \quad (x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$(12) \quad x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$(13) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w),$$

$$(14) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \oplus z) \rightarrow (y \oplus w),$$

$$(15) \quad x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z) \text{ and } (x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z,$$

$$(16) \quad (x \oplus z) \odot y \leq x \oplus (y \odot z),$$

$$(17) \quad x \rightarrow y = y^* \rightarrow x^*.$$

**Definition 3.** (see [9]) Let  $X$  be an initial universe of objects and  $E$  the set of parameters (attributes) in  $X$ . A pair  $(F, A)$  is called a *fuzzy soft set* over  $X$ , where  $A \subset E$  and  $F : A \rightarrow L^X$  is a mapping. We denote  $S(X, A)$  as the family of all fuzzy soft sets under the parameter  $A$ .

**Definition 4.** (see [9,10]) Let  $(F, A)$  and  $(G, A)$  be two fuzzy soft sets over a common universe  $X$ .

(1)  $(F, A)$  is a fuzzy soft subset of  $(G, A)$ , denoted by  $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$  if  $F(a) \leq G(a)$ , for each  $a \in A$ .

(2)  $(F, A) \wedge (G, A) = (F \wedge G, A)$  if  $(F \wedge G)(a) = F(a) \wedge G(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ .

(3)  $(F, A) \vee (G, A) = (F \vee G, A)$  if  $(F \vee G)(a) = F(a) \vee G(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ .

(4)  $(F, A) \odot (G, A) = (F \odot G, A)$  if  $(F \odot G)(a) = F(a) \odot G(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ .

(5)  $(F, A)^* = (F^*, A)$  if  $F^*(a) = (F(a))^*$  for each  $a \in A$ .

(6)  $(F, A) \oplus (G, A) = (F \oplus G, A)$  if  $(F \oplus G)(a) = (F^*(a) \odot G^*(a))^*$  for each  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 5.** (see [9,10]) Let  $S(X, A)$  and  $S(Y, B)$  be the families of all fuzzy soft sets over  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. The mapping  $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$  is a soft mapping where  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  are mappings.

(1) The image of  $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$  under the mapping  $f_\phi$  is denoted by  $f_\phi((F, A)) = (f_\phi(F), B)$  where

$$f_\phi(F)(b)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{a \in \phi^{-1}(\{b\})} f^{\rightarrow}(F(a))(y), & \text{if } \phi^{-1}(\{b\}) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) The inverse image of  $(G, B) \in S(Y, B)$  under the mapping  $f_\phi$  is denoted by  $f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)) = (f_\phi^{-1}(G), A)$  where

$$f_\phi^{-1}(G)(a)(x) = f^{\leftarrow}(G(\phi(a)))(x), \quad \forall a \in A, x \in X.$$

(3) The soft mapping  $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$  is called injective (resp. surjective, bijective) if  $f$  and  $\phi$  are both injective (resp. surjective, bijective).

**Lemma 6.** (see [9,10]) *Let  $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$  be a soft mapping. Then we have the following properties. For  $(F, A), (F_i, A) \in S(X, A)$  and  $(G, B), (G_i, B) \in S(Y, B)$ ,*

- (1)  $(G, B) \geq f_\phi(f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)))$  with equality if  $f$  is surjective,
- (2)  $(F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}(f_\phi((F, A)))$  with equality if  $f$  is injective,
- (3)  $f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)^*) = (f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)))^*$ ,
- (4)  $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigvee_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$ ,
- (5)  $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$ ,
- (6)  $f_\phi(\bigvee_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$ ,
- (7)  $f_\phi(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$  with equality if  $f$  is injective,
- (8)  $f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B) \odot (G_2, B)) = f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B)) \odot f_\phi^{-1}((G_2, B))$ ,
- (9)  $f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B) \oplus (G_2, B)) = f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B)) \oplus f_\phi^{-1}((G_2, B))$ ,
- (10)  $f_\phi((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \leq f_\phi((F_1, A)) \odot f_\phi((F_2, A))$  with equality if  $f$  is injective,
- (11)  $f_\phi((F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A)) \leq f_\phi((F_1, A)) \oplus f_\phi((F_2, A))$  with equality if  $f$  is injective.

**Definition 7.** (see [9,10]) A mapping  $\mathcal{U} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$  is called a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $X$  iff it satisfies the properties.

- (SU1) There exists  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) = 1$ ,

(SU2) If  $(V, A) \leq (U, A)$ , then  $\mathcal{U}((V, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$ ,

(SU3) For every  $(U, A), (V, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (U, A)) \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \odot \mathcal{U}((V, A))$$

(SU4) If  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \neq 0$ , then  $(1_\Delta, A) \leq (U, A)$ , where

$$1_\Delta(a)(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

(SU5)  $\bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((V, A)) \mid (V, A) \circ (V, A) \leq (U, A) \} \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$

$$\begin{aligned} ((V, A) \circ (V, A))(a)(x, y) &= (V(a) \circ V(a))(x, y) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in X} (V(a)(z, x) \odot V(a)(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The triple  $(X, A, \mathcal{U})$  is called a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform space.

A soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform space  $(X, A, \mathcal{U})$  is called a soft  $L$ -fuzzy uniform space if

(U)  $\bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((V, A)) \mid (V, A) \leq (U^s, A) \} \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$  where  $U^s(a)(x, y) = U(a)(y, x)$  and  $(U, A)^s = (U^s, A)$ .

Let  $(X, A, \mathcal{U}_X)$  and  $(Y, B, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  be soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform spaces and  $f_\phi : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a soft map. Then  $f_\phi : (X, A, \mathcal{U}_X) \rightarrow (Y, B, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  is called an uniformly continuous soft map if, for all  $(V, B) \in S(Y \times Y, B)$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}_Y((V, B)) \leq \mathcal{U}_X((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((V, B))).$$

**Lemma 8.** (see [10]) *Let  $(X, A, \mathcal{U})$  be a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi uniform space. For each  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  and  $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$ , we define , for all  $x \in X, a \in A$ ,*

$$(U, A)[(F, A)](a)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (F(a)(y) \odot U(a)(y, x)).$$

Then we have the following properties.

(1)  $(F, A) \leq (U, A)[(F, A)]$  for each  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) > 0$ ,

(2)  $(U, A) \leq (U, A) \circ (U, A)$ , for each  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) > 0$ ,

(3)  $((V, A) \circ (U, A))[ (F, A) ] = (V, A)[ (U, A)[ (F, A) ] ]$ ,

(4)  $(U, A)[ \bigvee_i (F_i, A) ] = \bigvee_i (U, A)[ (F_i, A) ]$ ,

(5)  $((U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A))[ (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) ] \leq (U_1, A)[ (F_1, A) ] \odot (U_2, A)[ (F_2, A) ]$ ,

(6)  $((U_1, A) \odot ((U_2, A), A))[ (F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A) ] \leq (U_1, A)[ (F_1, A) ] \oplus ((U_2, A), A)[ (F_2, A) ]$ .

### 3. Initial Soft $L$ -Fuzzy Quasi-Uniform Spaces

**Lemma 9.** Let  $(X, A, \mathcal{U})$  be a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform space. We define a function  $\mathcal{U}^s : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$  by

$$\mathcal{U}^s((U, A)) = \mathcal{U}((U^s, A))$$

Then  $(X, A, \mathcal{U}^s)$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform space.

*Proof.* We easily proved from  $(V, A) \circ (V, A) \leq (U, A)$  iff  $(V^s, A) \circ (V^s, A) \leq (U^s, A)$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $\{(X_k, B_k, \mathcal{V}_k) \mid k \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of soft  $L$ -fuzzy (resp. quasi-)uniform spaces,  $X$  a set and for each  $k \in \Gamma$ ,  $(f_k)_{\phi_k} : (X, A) \rightarrow (X_k, B_k)$  a soft map. We define a function  $\mathcal{U} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}((U, A)) &= \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \\ &\mid \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A) \}. \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\bigvee$  is taken over every finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$ . Then:

(1) The structure  $\mathcal{U}$  is the coarsest soft  $L$ -fuzzy (resp. quasi-)uniformity on  $X$  for which each  $(f_k)_{\phi_k}$  is an uniformly continuous soft map.

(2) A map  $f_\phi : (Z, C, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (X, A, \mathcal{U})$  is an uniformly continuous soft map iff for each  $k \in \Gamma$ ,  $(f_k)_{\phi_k} \circ f_\phi : (Z, C, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (X_k, B_k, \mathcal{V}_k)$  is an uniformly continuous soft map.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge \{ (U, A)[(F, A)] \mid \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r \} \\ &\leq \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[(F, A)] \mid \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq r \} \\ &\bigwedge \{ (U, A)[(F, A)] \mid \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r \} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[(F, A)] \mid \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq s \} \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\bigwedge$  is taken over every finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$ , for all  $r > s$ .

(4) If  $\{\mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{V}_Y, f_j = f, \phi_j = \phi, Y_j = Y, B_j = B \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ , then

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A)) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) \mid (f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((V, B)) \leq (U, A) \}.$$

*Proof.* (1) First, we will show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $X$ .

(SU1) Since each  $(X_k, B_k, \mathcal{V}_k)$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi uniform space, by (SU1), there exists  $(V_k, B_k) \in S(X_k \times X_k, B_k)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_k((V_k, B_k)) = 1$ . For all finite indices  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$ , put  $(V, A) = \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))$ . Then there exists  $(V, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that  $\mathcal{U}((V, A)) = 1$ .

(SU2) It is trivial.

(SU3) Suppose there exists  $(U, A), (W, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (W, A)) \not\geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \odot \mathcal{U}((W, A)).$$

By Lemma 2(5) and the definition of  $\mathcal{U}((U, A))$ , there exists a finite index set  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (W, A)) &\not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \odot \mathcal{U}((W, A)), \\ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) &\leq (U, A). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by definition of  $\mathcal{U}((W, A))$ , there exists a finite index set  $L = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (W, A)) &\not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \odot (\odot_{j=1}^m \mathcal{V}_{p_j}((W_{p_j}, B_{p_j}))), \\ \odot_{j=1}^m (f_{p_j} \times f_{p_j})_{\phi_{p_j}}^{-1}((W_{p_j}, B_{p_j})) &\leq (W, A). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$U_m = \begin{cases} V_m, & \text{if } m \in L - L \cap K, \\ V_m \odot W_m, & \text{if } m \in L \cap K, \\ W_m, & \text{if } m \in K - L \cap K, \end{cases}$$

and  $\odot_{m \in L \cup K} (f_m \times f_m)_{\phi_m}^{-1}((U_m, B_m)) \leq (U, A) \odot (W, A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (W, A)) &\geq \odot_{m \in L \cup K} \mathcal{V}_m((U_m, B_m)) \\ &\geq (\odot_{m \in L - L \cap K} \mathcal{V}_m((U_m, B_m))) \odot (\odot_{m \in L \cap K} \mathcal{V}_m((U_m, B_m))) \\ &\odot (\odot_{m \in K - L \cap K} \mathcal{V}_m((U_m, B_m))) \\ &\geq (\odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \odot (\odot_{j=1}^m \mathcal{V}_{p_j}((V_{p_j}, B_{p_j}))). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (W, A)) \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \odot \mathcal{U}((W, A))$ , for all  $(U, A), (W, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ .

(SU4) Let  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \neq 0,$$

$$\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A)..$$

Hence  $\mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \neq 0$  for  $k_i \in K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Thus  $(1_{\Delta_{X_{k_i}}}, B_{k_i}) \leq (V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})$  for  $k_i \in K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{\Delta_X}, A) &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((1_{\Delta_{X_{k_i}}}, B_{k_i})) \\ &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A). \end{aligned}$$

(SU5) Suppose there exists  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that

$$\bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \circ (U_1, A) \leq (U, A) \} \not\geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)).$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}((U, A))$ , there exists a finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \circ (U_1, A) \leq (U, A) \} \not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})), \\ &\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A).. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $k_i \in K$ , since  $(X_{k_i}, \mathcal{V}_{k_i})$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniform space, by (SU5),

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \mid (U_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \circ (U_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \leq (V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \} \\ &\geq \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2(5), for each  $k_i \in K$ , there exists  $(V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \in S(X_{k_i} \times X_{k_i}, B_{k_i})$  with  $(W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \circ (W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \leq (V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \circ (U_1, A) \leq (U, A) \} \\ &\not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})). \end{aligned}$$

Put  $(W, A) = \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))$ . For each  $k_i \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(W, A) \circ (W, A) \\ &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n ((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \circ (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n ((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \circ (W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1} ((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $(W, A) \circ (W, A) \leq (U, A)$  and

$$\mathcal{U}((W, A)) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})).$$



It is a contradiction. Hence  $\bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \circ (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)\} \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$ , for all  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ .

(U) Let  $\{(X_k, \mathcal{U}_k) \mid k \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of soft  $L$ -fuzzy uniform spaces. Suppose that there exists  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that

$$\bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)^s\} \not\geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)).$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exists a finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)^s\} &\not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})), \\ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) &\leq (U, A). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $k_i \in K$ , since  $(X_{k_i}, \mathcal{V}_{k_i})$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy uniform space, by (U),

$$\bigvee\{\mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W, A)) \mid (W, A) \leq (U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})^s\} \geq \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})).$$

For each  $k_i \in K$ , there exists  $(W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \in L^{X_{k_i} \times X_{k_i}}$  with  $(W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \leq (U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})^s$  such that

$$\bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)^s\} \not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) &\leq \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})^s) \\ &= \odot_{i=1}^n ((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})))^s = (\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})))^s \\ &\leq (U, A)^s. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $(W, A) = \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))$ . Thus there exists  $(W, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ , such that

$$(W, A) \leq (U, A)^s, \quad \mathcal{U}((W, A)) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})).$$

Hence

$$\bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)^s\} \geq \mathcal{U}((W, A)) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((W_{k_i}, B_{k_i})).$$

It is a contradiction. So,  $\bigvee\{\mathcal{U}((U_1, A)) \mid (U_1, A) \leq (U, A)^s\} \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$ , for all  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ .

Second, by the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ , for all  $k \in \Gamma$ ,  $(V_k, B_k) \in S(X_k \times X_k, B_k)$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}((f_k \times f_k)_{\phi_k}^{-1}((V_k, B_k))) \geq \mathcal{V}_k((V_k, B_k)).$$

Hence each  $(f_k)_{\phi_k} : (X, A, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (X_k, B_k, \mathcal{V}_k)$  is an uniformly continuous soft map.

Finally, if  $(f_k)_{\phi_k} : (X, A, \mathcal{U}') \rightarrow (X_k, B_k, \mathcal{V}_k)$  is an uniformly continuous soft map ,that is,  $\mathcal{U}'((f_k \times f_k)_{\phi_k}^{-1}((U, A))) \geq \mathcal{V}_k((U, A))$  for all  $k \in \Gamma$ , then it is proved that  $\mathcal{U}' \geq \mathcal{U}$  from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \\ &= \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A) \} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}'((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ &\quad \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A) \} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}'(\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ &\quad \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A) \} \\ &\leq \mathcal{U}'((U, A)), \quad \text{for all } (U, A) \in S(X \times X, A). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Necessity of the composition condition is clear since the composition of a uniformly continuous soft maps is an uniformly continuous soft map.

Conversely, suppose that  $f_\phi : (Z, C, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (X, A, \mathcal{U})$  is not an uniformly continuous soft map. There exists  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  such that

$$\mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((U, A))) \not\geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)).$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exists a finite index set  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((U, A))) \not\geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})), \\ & \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each  $k_i \in K$ , since  $(f_{k_i} \circ f)_{\phi_{k_i} \circ \phi}$  is an uniformly continuous soft map, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq \mathcal{W}(((f_{k_i} \circ f) \times (f_{k_i} \circ f))_{\phi_{k_i} \circ \phi}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ &= \mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))))). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1} \circ (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ & \leq \mathcal{W}(\odot_{i=1}^n (f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})))) \\ &= \mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}(\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})))) \\ & \leq \mathcal{W}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((U, A))). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

(3) Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}(\odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \\ & \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}((f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq r, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge \{ (U, A)[(F, A)] \mid \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r \} \\ & \leq \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[ (F, A) ] \mid \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq r \} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for  $s < r$ , suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge \{ (U, A)[(F, A)] \mid \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r \} \\ & \not\leq \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[ (F, A) ] \mid \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq s \} \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists  $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$  with  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (U, A)[(F, A)] \\ & \not\leq \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[ (F, A) ] \mid \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq s \}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq r$ , for  $r > s$ , there exists a finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq s, \\ & \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \leq (U, A).. \end{aligned}$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge \{ \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[ (F, A) ] \mid \mathcal{V}_{k_i}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \geq s \} \\ & \leq \odot_{i=1}^n (f_{k_i} \times f_{k_i})_{\phi_{k_i}}^{-1}((V_{k_i}, B_{k_i}))[ (F, A) ] \\ & \leq (U, A)[(F, A)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

(4) From the definition of  $\mathcal{U}((U, A))$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \geq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) \mid (f_\phi)^{-1}((V, B)) \leq (U, A) \}.$$

Suppose

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \not\leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) \mid (f_\phi)^{-1}((V, B)) \leq (U, A) \}.$$

Then there exists a finite subsets  $K = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$  with  $\odot_{k=1}^n (f_\phi)^{-1}((V_{i_k}, B)) \leq (U, A)$  such that

$$\odot_{k=1}^n \mathcal{V}_Y((V_{i_k}, B)) \not\leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) \mid (f_\phi)^{-1}((V, B)) \leq (U, A) \}.$$

On the other hand, since  $\odot_{k=1}^n (f_\phi)^{-1}((V_{i_k}, B)) = (f_\phi)^{-1}(\odot_{k=1}^n (V_{i_k}, B)) \leq (U, A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) \mid (f_\phi)^{-1}((V, B)) \leq (U, A) \} \\ & \geq \mathcal{V}_Y(\odot_{k=1}^n (V_{i_k}, B)) \geq \odot_{k=1}^n \mathcal{V}_Y((V_{i_k}, B)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Hence the result follows. □

From Theorem 10, we define the following definition.

**Definition 11.** Let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformities on  $X_i$  for  $i \in \Gamma$ . Let  $X$  be a set and, for each  $i \in \Gamma$ ,  $(f_i)_{\phi_i} : (X, A) \rightarrow (X_i, B_i)$  a soft map. The *initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity* on  $X$  induced by  $\{(f_i)_{\phi_i} \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  is the coarsest soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $X$  for which  $(f_i)_{\phi_i}$  is an uniformly continuous soft map.

The *product soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity* on  $X = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$  is the initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity induced by  $\{(\pi_i)_{\phi_i} : (X, A) \rightarrow (X_i, B_i) \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  of projection soft maps.

The pair  $(Y, B, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  is a subspace of  $(X, A, \mathcal{U})$  where  $\mathcal{U}_Y$  is the initial soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity induced by an inclusion soft map  $i_\phi : (Y, B) \rightarrow (X, A)$ .

From Theorem 10, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 12.** Let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $X$  for  $i \in \Gamma$ . Let  $X$  be a set and, for each  $i \in \Gamma$ ,  $(id_i)_{id} : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A, \mathcal{U}_i)$  an identity soft map. Define the map  $\mathcal{U} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$  on  $X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \\ & = \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{k_i}((U_{k_i}, B_{k_i})) \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \leq (U, A) \} \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\bigvee$  is taken over every finite index  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is the coarsest soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $X$  which  $\mathcal{U}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{U}_i$  for each  $i \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 13.** Let  $X = \{h^i \mid i = \{1, \dots, 4\}\}$  with  $h^i$ =house and  $E_X = \{e, b, w, c, i\}$  with  $e$ =expensive,  $b$ = beautiful,  $w$ =wooden,  $c$ = creative,  $i$ =in the green surroundings.

Define operations  $\odot, \rightarrow$  and  $*$  on  $[0,1]$ (called Lukasiewicz structure) by

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, x^* = 1 - x$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}.$$

Then  $([0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow, * 0, 1)$  is a complete residuated lattice (ref.[2,6]). Let  $A = \{e, b\} \subset E_X$ ,  $Y = \{y^i \mid i = \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ ,  $Z = \{z^i \mid i = \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ ,  $B = \{b_i \mid i = \{1, 2\}\}$  and  $C = \{c_i \mid i = \{1, 2\}\}$  be given.

(1) Put  $V, V \odot V \in S(Y \times Y, B)$  as

$V(b_1)$	$y^1$	$y^2$	$y^3$	$V(b_2)$	$y^1$	$y^2$	$y^3$
	$y^1$	1	0.6	0.5	$y^1$	1	0.5
	$y^2$	0.1	1	0.5	$y^2$	0.7	1
	$y^3$	0.4	0.6	1	$y^3$	0.6	0.6
							1

  

$(V \odot V)(b_1)$	$y^1$	$y^2$	$y^3$	$(V \odot V)(b_2)$	$y^1$	$y^2$	$y^3$
	$y^1$	1	0.2	0	$y^1$	1	0
	$y^2$	0	1	0	$y^2$	0.4	1
	$y^3$	0	0.2	1	$y^3$	0.2	0.2
							1

We define  $\mathcal{V}_Y : S(Y \times Y, B) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_Y((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{Y \times Y}, B) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq (V, B), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq (V \odot V, B), (U, A) \not\geq (V, B), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $(V, B) \circ (V, B) = (V, B)$  and  $(V \odot V, B) \circ (V \odot V, B) = (V \odot V, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_Y$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $Y$ , but not a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $Y$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}_Y((U, B)) \mid (U, B) \leq (V, B)^s \} &= \mathcal{V}_Y((V \odot V, B)) = 0.3 \\ \not\geq \mathcal{V}_Y((V, B)) &= 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Put  $W \in S(Z \times Z, C)$  as

$W(c_1)$	$z^1$	$z^2$	$z^3$	$W(c_2)$	$z^1$	$z^2$	$z^3$
	$z^1$	1	0.5	0.5	$z^1$	1	0.3
	$z^2$	0	1	0.5	$z^2$	0.5	1
	$z^3$	0.3	0.6	1	$z^3$	0.6	0.5
							1

We define  $\mathcal{W}_Z : S(Z \times Z, C) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_Z((U, C)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, C) = (1_{Z \times Z}, B) \\ 0.5, & \text{if } (U, C) \geq (W, C), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $(W, C) \circ (W, C) = (W, C)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_Z$  is a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $Z$ , but not a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on  $Z$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{ \mathcal{W}_Z((U, C)) \mid (U, C) \leq (W, C)^s \} &= 0 \\ \not\leq \mathcal{W}_Z((W, C)) &= 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Put  $f_1 : X = \{h^1, h^3, h^4\} \rightarrow \{y^1, y^2, y^3\}$  and  $\phi_1 : A = \{e, b\} \rightarrow \{b^1, b^2\}$  as follows

$$f_1(h^1) = y^1, f_1(h^3) = y^2, f_1(h^4) = y^3,$$

$$\phi_1(e) = b_1, \phi_1(b) = b_2$$

$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V)(e)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$	$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V)(b)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0.6	0.5	$h^1$	1	0.5	0.3
$h^3$	0.1	1	0.5	$h^3$	0.7	1	0.5
$h^4$	0.4	0.6	1	$h^4$	0.6	0.6	1

$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V)(e)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0.2	0
$h^3$	0	1	0
$h^4$	0	0.2	1

$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V)(b)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0	0
$h^3$	0.4	1	0
$h^4$	0.2	0.2	1

By Theorem 10(4), we can obtain a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity  $(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_Y) : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_Y)((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V), A), \\ & (U, A) \not\leq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V), A), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V), A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(4) Put  $f_2 : X = \{h^1, h^3, h^4\} \rightarrow \{z^1, z^2, z^3\}$  and  $\phi_2 : A = \{e, b\} \rightarrow \{c^1, c^2\}$  as follows

$$f_2(h^1) = z^1, f_2(h^3) = z^2, f_2(h^4) = z^3,$$

$$\phi_2(e) = c_1, \phi_2(b) = c_2$$

$(f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W)(e)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$	$(f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W)(b)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0.5	0.5	$h^1$	1	0.3	0.3
$h^3$	0	1	0.5	$h^3$	0.5	1	0.4
$h^4$	0.3	0.6	1	$h^4$	0.6	0.5	1

By Theorem 10(4), we can obtain a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity  $(f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_Z) : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$(f_2)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_Z)((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A) \\ 0.5, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W), A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V) \odot (f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W)(e)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0.1	0
$h^3$	0	1	0
$h^4$	0	0.2	1

$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V) \odot (f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W)(b)$	$h^1$	$h^3$	$h^4$
$h^1$	1	0	0
$h^3$	0.2	1	0
$h^4$	0.2	0.1	1

From Theorem 10, we obtain a soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformity  $(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_Y) \odot (f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_Z) : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$(f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_Y) \odot (f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_Z)((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V), A), \\ 0.5, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W), A), \\ & (U, A) \not\geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V), A), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V), A), \\ & (U, A) \not\geq ((f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W), A), \\ 0.1, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V) \odot (f_2)_{\phi_2}^{-1}(W), A), \\ & (U, A) \not\geq ((f_1)_{\phi_1}^{-1}(V \odot V), A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**References**

[1] K.V. Babitha, J.J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, *Compu. Math. Appl.*, **60**(2010), 1840-1849, doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2010.07.014.

- [2] R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, (2002), **doi:** 10.1007/978-1-4615-0633-1.
- [3] N. Çağman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62**(1) (2011), 351-358. **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.05.016.
- [4] F. Feng, X. Liu, V.L. Fotea, Y.B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets, *Information Sciences*, **181** (2011), 1125-1137, **doi:** 10.1016/j.ins.2010.11.004.
- [5] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998), **doi:** 10.1007/978-94-011-5300-3.
- [6] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999, **doi:** 10.1007/978-1-4615-5079-2.
- [7] B. Hutton, Uniformities in fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **58** (1977), 74-79. **doi:** 10.1016/0022-247x(77)90192-5.
- [8] A.K. Katsaras, On fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **101**, 1984, 97-113. **doi:** 10.1016/0022-247x(84)90060-x.
- [9] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft  $L$ -topologies and soft  $L$ -neighborhood systems, (accepted to) *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*
- [10] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft  $L$ -fuzzy topogenous orders, (submit to) *J. Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*.
- [11] R. Lowen, Fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 370-385, **doi:** 10.1016/0022-247x(81)90202-x.
- [12] R. Lowen, Fuzzy neighborhood spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **7** (1982), 165-189.
- [13] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **37**(1999), 19-31.
- [14] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, **11** (1982), 341-356.
- [15] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, **32**(1984), 607-615.
- [16] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61** (2011), 1786-1799, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.02.006.
- [17] B. Tanay and M. B. Kandemir, Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61**(10) (2011), 2952-2957, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.03.056.
- [18] W.Z. Wu and W.X. Zhang, Neighborhood operator systems and approximations, *Information Sciences*, **144** (2002), 201-217.
- [19] Hu Zhao and Sheng-Gang Li, L-fuzzifying soft topological spaces and L-fuzzifying soft interior operators, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **5**(3) (2013), 493-503.
- [20] Í. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **3**(2) (2012), 171-185.