

THE RELATIONS BETWEEN SOFT L -FUZZY
TOPOGENOUS ORDERS AND SOFT L -FUZZY
PRE-UNIFORMITIES

Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics

Gangneung-Wonju University

Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, KOREA

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the relations between soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders and soft L -fuzzy pre-uniform spaces. We give their examples.

AMS Subject Classification: 03E72, 06A15, 06F07, 54A05

Key Words: complete residuated lattice, soft L -quasi-uniformities, soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders

1. Introduction

Recently, Molodtsov [12] introduced the soft set as a mathematical tool for dealing information as the uncertainty of data in engineering, physics, computer sciences and many other diverse field. Presently, the soft set theory is making progress rapidly [1,3]. Pawlak's rough set [13,14] can be viewed as a special case of soft rough sets [3]. The topological structures of soft sets have been developed by many researchers [2,7-10,15-18].

On the other hand, Hájek [4] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structures [5,7-10]. Kim [8] introduced a fuzzy soft $F : A \rightarrow L^U$ as an extension as the soft $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$ where L is a complete residuated lattice. Kim [7-10] introduced the soft topological structures, L -fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders in complete residuated lattices.

In this paper, we investigate the relations between soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders and soft L -fuzzy pre-uniform spaces. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. [4,5] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;

(C2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid;

(C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we assume that $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow, \oplus, *)$ is a complete residuated lattice with an order reversing involution $*$ which is defined by $x \oplus y = (x^* \odot y^*)^*$ and $x^* = x \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2. [4,5] For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$, we have the following properties:

$$(1) 1 \rightarrow x = x, 0 \odot x = 0,$$

(2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z$, $x \oplus y \leq x \oplus z$, $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$,

$$(3) x \odot y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y \leq x \oplus y,$$

$$(4) (\bigwedge_i y_i)^* = \bigvee_i y_i^*, (\bigvee_i y_i)^* = \bigwedge_i y_i^*,$$

$$(5) x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i),$$

$$(6) x \oplus (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \oplus y_i),$$

$$(7) x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$$

$$(8) (\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$$

$$(9) x \rightarrow (\bigvee_i y_i) \geq \bigvee_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$$

$$(10) (\bigwedge_i x_i) \rightarrow y \geq \bigvee_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$$

$$(11) (x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$(12) x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$(13) (x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w),$$

(14) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \oplus z) \rightarrow (y \oplus w),$

(15) $x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z)$ and $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z,$

(16) $x \odot y \odot (z \odot w) \leq (x \odot z) \oplus (y \odot w).$

(17) $x \rightarrow y = y^* \rightarrow x^*.$

Definition 3. [7-10] Let X be an initial universe of objects and E the set of parameters (attributes) in X . A pair (F, A) is called a *fuzzy soft set* over X , where $A \subset E$ and $F : A \rightarrow L^X$ is a mapping. We denote $S(X, A)$ as the family of all fuzzy soft sets under the parameter A .

Definition 4. [7-10] Let (F, A) and (G, A) be two fuzzy soft sets over a common universe X :

(1) (F, A) is a fuzzy soft subset of (G, A) , denoted by $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$ if $F(\epsilon) \leq G(\epsilon)$, for each $\epsilon \in A$.

(2) $(F, A) \wedge (G, A) = (F \wedge G, A)$ if $(F \wedge G)(\epsilon) = F(\epsilon) \wedge G(\epsilon)$ for each $\epsilon \in A$.

(3) $(F, A) \vee (G, A) = (F \vee G, A)$ if $(F \vee G)(\epsilon) = F(\epsilon) \vee G(\epsilon)$ for each $\epsilon \in A$.

(4) $(F, A) \odot (G, A) = (F \odot G, A)$ if $(F \odot G)(\epsilon) = F(\epsilon) \odot G(\epsilon)$ for each $\epsilon \in A$.

(5) $(F, A)^* = (F^*, A)$ if $F^*(\epsilon) = (F(\epsilon))^*$ for each $\epsilon \in A$.

(6) $(F, A) \oplus (G, A) = (F \oplus G, A)$ if $(F \oplus G)(\epsilon) = (F^*(\epsilon) \odot G^*(\epsilon))^*$ for each $\epsilon \in A$.

Definition 5. [10] A mapping $\xi : S(X, A) \times S(X, A) \rightarrow L$ is called a soft L -fuzzy semi-topogenous order on (X, A) if it satisfies the following axioms:

(ST1) $\xi((1_X, A), (1_X, A)) = \xi((0_X, A), (0_X, A)) = 1.$

(ST2) If $\xi((F, A), (G, A)) \neq 0$, then $(F, A) \leq (G, A).$

(ST3) If $(F_1, A) \leq (F, A)$, $(G, A) \leq (G_1, A)$, then $\xi((F, A), (G, A)) \leq \xi((F_1, A), (G_1, A)).$

A mapping ξ is called a soft strong L -fuzzy semi-topogenous order on (X, A) if it satisfies (ST1), (ST3) and the following axiom.

(S) $\xi((F, A), (G, A)) \leq S((F, A), (G, A))$ where

$$S((F, A), (G, A)) = \bigwedge_{a \in A} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (F(a)(x) \rightarrow G(a)(x)).$$

Remark 6. If ξ is a soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy semi-topogenous order on (X, A) . Define a mapping $\xi^s : S(X, A) \times S(X, A) \rightarrow L$ as $\xi^s((F, A), (G, A)) = \xi((G, A)^*, (F, A)^*)$. Then ξ^s is a soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy semi-topogenous order on (X, A) .

Definition 7. [10] A soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy semi-topogenous order ξ is called:

(1) soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy topogenous if (T)

$$\xi((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A), (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)) \geq \xi((F_1, A), (G_1, A)) \odot \xi((F_2, A), (G_2, A)).$$

(2) soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy cotopogenous if (CT)

$$\xi((F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A), (G_1, A) \oplus (G_2, A)) \geq \xi((F_1, A), (G_1, A)) \odot \xi((F_2, A), (G_2, A)),$$

(3) soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy bitopogenous if ξ are soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy topogenous and soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy cotopogenous.

Definition 8. [10] A soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy topogenous (resp. cotopogenous) order ξ on (X, A) is said to be a soft (resp. strong) L -fuzzy topogenous (resp. cotopogenous) structure if $\xi \circ \xi \geq \xi$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &(\xi \circ \xi)((F, A), (H, A)) \\ &= \bigvee_{(G, A) \in S(X, A)} \xi((F, A), (G, A)) \odot \xi((G, A), (H, A)). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9. [10] A mapping $\mathcal{U} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ is called a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity on X iff it satisfies the properties:

(SU1) There exists $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) = 1$,

(SU2) If $(V, A) \leq (U, A)$, then $\mathcal{U}((V, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$,

(SU3) For every $(U, A), (V, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$,

$$\mathcal{U}((U, A) \odot (V, A)) \geq \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \odot \mathcal{U}((V, A)),$$

(SU4) If $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) \neq 0$, then $(1_\Delta, A) \leq (U, A)$, where

$$1_\Delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

A soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity \mathcal{U} is called a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniformity if

$$(Q) \mathcal{U}(U, A) \leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((V, A)) \odot \mathcal{U}((W, A)) \mid (V, A) \circ (W, A) \leq (U, A) \},$$

where, for all $x, y \in X, a \in A$,

$$(V(a) \circ W(a))(x, y) = \bigvee_{z \in X} (V(a)(z, x) \odot W(a)(x, y)).$$

The triple (X, A, \mathcal{U}) is called a soft L -fuzzy pre-(resp. quasi-) uniform space.

Remark 10. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniform space, then by (SU1) and (SU2), we have $\mathcal{U}(1_{X \times X}) = 1$ because $(U, A) \leq (1_{X \times X}, A)$ for all $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$.

Lemma 11. [7,10] For every $(F, A), (G, A) \in S(X, A)$, we define

$$(U_{F,G}, A), (U_{F,G}^{-1}, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$$

by

$$U_{F,G}(a)(x, y) = F(a)(x) \rightarrow G(a)(y),$$

$$U_{F,G}^{-1}(a)(x, y) = U_{F,G}(a)(y, x),$$

then we have the following statements:

- (1) $(1_{X \times X}, A) = (U_{0_X, 0_X}, A) = (U_{1_X, 1_X}, A)$,
- (2) If $(F_1, A) \leq (F_2, A)$ and $(G_1, A) \leq (G_2, A)$, then $(U_{F_2, G_1}, A) \leq (U_{F_1, G_2}, A)$,
- (3) If $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$, then $(1_{\Delta}, A) \leq (U_{F,G}, A)$,
- (4) For every $(U_{F,G}, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ and $(H, A) \in S(X, A)$, we have $(U_{H,G}, A) \circ (U_{F,H}, A) \leq (U_{F,G}, A)$,
- (5) $(U_{F_1, G_1}, A) \odot (U_{F_2, G_2}, A) \leq (U_{F_1 \odot F_2, G_1 \odot G_2}, A)$,
- (6) $(U_{F_1, G_1}, A) \odot (U_{F_2, G_2}, A) \leq (U_{F_1 \oplus F_2, G_1 \oplus G_2}, A)$,
- (7) $(U_{F,G}^{-1}, A) = (U_{G^*, F^*}, A)$,
- (8) $(U_{F_1 \odot F_2, G_1 \odot G_2}^{-1}, A) = (U_{G_1^* \oplus G_2^*, F_1^* \oplus F_2^*}, A)$,
- (9) $(U_{F_1 \oplus F_2, G_1 \oplus G_2}^{-1}, A) = (U_{G_1^* \odot G_2^*, F_1^* \odot F_2^*}, A)$.

Lemma 12. [7,10] Let (X, A, \mathcal{U}) be a soft L -fuzzy quasi uniform space. For each $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ and $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$, the images $(U, A)[(F, A)]$, $(U, A)[[(F, A)]]$ of (F, A) with respect to (U, A) are defined by, for all $x \in X, a \in A$,

$$(U, A)[(F, A)](a)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (F(a)(y) \odot U(a)(y, x)),$$

$$(U, A)[[(F, A)]](a)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (F(a)(y) \odot U(a)(x, y)).$$

For each

$$(U, A), (V, A), (U_1, A), (U_2, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$$

and

$$(F, A), (G, A), (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_i, A) \in S(X, A),$$

we have:

(1) $(F, A) \leq (U, A)[(F, A)]$ and $(F, A) \leq (U, A)[[(F, A)]]$ for each $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) > 0$,

(2) $(U, A) \leq (U, A) \circ (U, A)$, for each $\mathcal{U}((U, A)) > 0$,

(3) $((V, A) \circ (U, A))[(F, A)] = (V, A)[(U, A)[(F, A)]]$,
 $((V, A) \circ (U, A))[[(F, A)]] = (V, A)[[(U, A)[[(F, A)]]]]$,

(4) $(U, A)[\bigvee_i (F_i, A)] = \bigvee_i (U, A)[(F_i, A)]$
 and $(U, A)[[\bigvee_i (F_i, A)]] = \bigvee_i (U, A)[[(F_i, A)]]$,

(5) $((U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A))[(F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)] \leq (U_1, A)[(F_1, A)] \odot (U_2, A)[(F_2, A)]$,

(6) $((U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A))[[(F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)]]$
 $\leq (U_1, A)[[(F_1, A)]] \odot (U_2, A)[[(F_2, A)]]$,

(7) $((U_1, A) \odot ((U_2, A), A))[(F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A)]$
 $\leq (U_1, A)[(F_1, A)] \oplus ((U_2, A), A)[(F_2, A)]$,

(8) $((U_1, A) \odot ((U_2, A), A))[[(F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A)]]$
 $\leq (U_1, A)[[(F_1, A)]] \oplus ((U_2, A), A)[[(F_2, A)]]$.

(9) $(U_{F,G}, A) = \bigvee \{ (W, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid (W, A)[(F, A)] \leq (G, A) \}$.

(10) $(U_{F,G}^{-1}, A) = \bigvee \{ (W, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid (W, A)[[(F, A)]] \leq (G, A) \}$.

(11) $(U_{F,G}, A)[(F, A)] \leq (G, A)$ and $(U_{F,G}, A)[[(G, A)^*]] \leq (F, A)^*$. Moreover, $(U_{F,F}, A)[(F, A)] = (F, A)$ and $(U_{F,F}, A)[[(F, A)^*]] = (F, A)^*$.

Theorem 13. [10] Let (X, A, \mathcal{U}) be a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniform space. Define mappings $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r, \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l : S(X, A) \times S(X, A) \rightarrow L$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r((F, A), (G, A)) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \mid (U, A)[(F, A)] \leq (G, A) \}, \\ \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l((F, A), (G, A)) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}((U, A)) \mid (U, A)[[(F, A)]] \leq (G, A) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l$ are soft L -fuzzy bitopogenous structures.

Theorem 14. [7] Let ξ be a soft L -fuzzy topogenous order on (X, A) . Define $\mathcal{U}_{\xi} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\xi}((U, A)) = \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \xi((F_i, A), (G_i, A)) \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \leq (U, A) \},$$

where \bigvee is taken over every finite family $\{(U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \mid i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Then:

(1) $\mathcal{U}_{\xi}((\odot_{i=1}^n F_i, \odot_{i=1}^n G_i, A)) = \xi(\odot_{i=1}^n (F_i, A), \odot_{i=1}^n (G_i, A))$.

(2) \mathcal{U}_{ξ} is a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity on X .

(3) If ξ is a soft L -fuzzy topogenous structure on (X, A) , then \mathcal{U}_{ξ} is a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on X .

(4) $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}}^r = \xi$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}}^l = \xi^s$.

Theorem 15. [7] Let ξ be a soft L -fuzzy cotopogenous order on (X, A) . Define $\mathcal{U}_{\xi} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{\xi}((U, A)) &= \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \xi((F_i, A), (G_i, A)) \mid \\ &\quad \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \leq (U, A) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where \bigvee is taken over every finite family $\{(U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \mid i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Then:

(1) $\mathcal{U}_{\xi}((\oplus_{i=1}^n F_i, \oplus_{i=1}^n G_i, A)) = \xi(\oplus_{i=1}^n (F_i, A), \oplus_{i=1}^n (G_i, A))$.

(2) \mathcal{U}_{ξ} is a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity on X .

(3) If ξ is a soft L -fuzzy cotopogenous structure on (X, A) , then \mathcal{U}_{ξ} is a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on X .

(4) $\mathcal{U}_{\xi^s}((U, A)) = \mathcal{U}_{\xi}((U, A)^{-1})$ for all $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, L)$.

(5) $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}}^r = \xi$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}}^l = \xi^s$.

3. The Relations between Soft L -Fuzzy Topogenous Orders and Soft L -Fuzzy Pre-Uniformities

Definition 16. A soft L -fuzzy pre-uniform structure \mathcal{U} on X is said to be right compatible (resp. left compatible) with a soft L -fuzzy topogenous order ξ on (X, A) if $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r = \xi$ (resp. $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l = \xi$).

The class $\Pi^r(\xi)$ (resp. $\Pi^l(\xi)$) denotes the family of all soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformities which are right compatible (resp. left compatible) with a given soft L -fuzzy topogenous structure ξ .

Theorem 17. Let ξ be a soft L -fuzzy topogenous order on (X, A) and the soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_\xi}^r$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_\xi}^l$ induced by \mathcal{U}_ξ . Then we have:

- (1) $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_\xi}^r = \xi$, that is, $\mathcal{U}_\xi \in \Pi^r(\xi)$.
- (2) $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_\xi}^l = \xi^s$, that is, $\mathcal{U}_\xi \in \Pi^l(\xi^s)$.
- (3) \mathcal{U}_ξ is the coarsest member of $\Pi^r(\xi)$; i.e. $\mathcal{U}_\xi \leq \mathcal{U}$ for all $\mathcal{U} \in \Pi^r(\xi)$.
- (4) \mathcal{U}_ξ is the coarsest member of $\Pi^l(\xi^s)$.

Proof (1) and (2) are easily proved from Theorems 14 (4) and 15 (5).

(3) By (1), we have that \mathcal{U}_ξ is right compatible with ξ . Let \mathcal{U} be an arbitrary member of $\Pi^r(\xi)$. We will show that $\mathcal{U}_\xi((U, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$, for all $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$.

Suppose that there exists $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_\xi((U, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)).$$

There exists a finite family $\{(U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \mid \odot_{i=1}^m (U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \leq (U, A)\}$ such that

$$\odot_{i=1}^m \xi((F_i, A), (G_i, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)).$$

Since $\mathcal{U} \in \Pi^r(\xi)$, that is, $\xi(\lambda_i, \rho_i) = \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r(\lambda_i, \rho_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and L is a complete residuated lattice, by the definition of $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r$, there exists $(V_i, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ with $(V_i, A)[(F_i, A)] \leq (G_i, A)$ such that

$$\odot_{i=1}^m \mathcal{U}((V_i, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)). \tag{I}$$

On the other hand, put $(V, A) = \odot_{i=1}^m (V_i, A)$. Since $(V_i, A)[(F_i, A)] \leq (G_i, A)$, by the definition of (U_{F_i, G_i}, A) , we have $(V_i, A) \leq (U_{F_i, G_i}, A)$. It follows that

$$(V, A) = \odot_{i=1}^m (V_i, A) \leq \odot_{i=1}^m (U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \leq (U, A).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \odot_{i=1}^m \mathcal{U}(V_i, A) &\leq \mathcal{U}(V, A) \\ &\leq \mathcal{U}(\odot_{i=1}^m (U_{F_i, G_i}, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction for the equation (I).

(4) It is similarly proved as (3).

Theorem 18. *Let (X, A, \mathcal{U}) be a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniform space. Then:*

(1) $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}}}^l((U, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)^{-1})$ for all $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$.

(2) $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}}}^r((U, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U, A))$ for all $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$.

Proof (1) Suppose that there exists $(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}}}^l((U, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)^{-1}).$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}}}^l$, there exists a finite family $\{(U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \mid \odot_{i=1}^n(U_{F_i, G_i}, A) \leq (U, A)\}$ such that

$$\odot_{i=1}^n \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l((F_i, A), (G_i, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U, A)^{-1}).$$

From the definition of $\xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there exists $(V_i, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ with $(V_i, A)[[(F_i, A)]] \leq (G_i, A)$ such that

$$\odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}((V_i, A)) \not\leq \mathcal{U}((U^{-1}, A)).$$

Let $(V, A) = \odot_{i=1}^n (V_i, A)$ be given. Since $(V_i, A)[[(F_i, A)]] \leq (G_i, A)$, by the definition of (U_{F_i, G_i}^{-1}, A) , we have $(V_i, A) \leq (U_{F_i, G_i}^{-1}, A)$. Hence $(U_{F_i, G_i}^{-1}, A) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{F_i, G_i}^{-1}, A) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n (V_i, A) = (V, A)$ and

$$\mathcal{U}((U^{-1}, A)) \geq \mathcal{U}(\odot_{i=1}^n (U_{F_i, G_i}^{-1}, A)) \geq \odot_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}((V_i, A)).$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}}}^l((U, A)) \leq \mathcal{U}((U^{-1}, A))$.

(2) It is similarly proved as (1).

Definition 19. [10] Let $S(X, A)$ and $S(Y, B)$ be the families of all fuzzy soft sets over X and Y , respectively. The mapping $f_{\phi} : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ is a soft mapping where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ are mappings.

(1) The image of $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$ under the mapping f_{ϕ} is denoted by $f_{\phi}((F, A)) = (f_{\phi}(F), B)$ where

$$f_{\phi}(F)(b) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{a \in \phi^{-1}(\{b\})} f^{\rightarrow}(F(a)), & \text{if } \phi^{-1}(\{b\}) \neq \emptyset, \\ \bar{0}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) The inverse image of $(G, B) \in S(Y, B)$ under the mapping f_{ϕ} is denoted by $f_{\phi}^{-1}((G, B)) = (f_{\phi}^{-1}(G), A)$ where

$$f_{\phi}^{-1}(G)(a)(x) = f^{\leftarrow}(G(\phi(a)))(x), \quad \forall a \in A, x \in X.$$

(3) The soft mapping $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ is called injective (resp. surjective, bijective) if f and ϕ are both injective (resp. surjective, bijective).

Lemma 20. [10] Let $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ be a soft mapping. Then we have the following properties. For $(F, A), (F_i, A) \in S(X, A)$ and $(G, B), (G_i, B) \in S(Y, B)$,

- (1) $(G, B) \geq f_\phi(f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)))$ with equality if f is surjective,
- (2) $(F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}(f_\phi((F, A)))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (3) $f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)^*) = (f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)))^*$,
- (4) $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigvee_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$,
- (5) $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$,
- (6) $f_\phi(\bigvee_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$,
- (7) $f_\phi(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (8) $f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B) \odot (G_2, B)) = f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B)) \odot f_\phi^{-1}((G_2, B))$,
- (9) $f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B) \oplus (G_2, B)) = f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B)) \oplus f_\phi^{-1}((G_2, B))$,
- (10) $f_\phi((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \leq f_\phi((F_1, A)) \odot f_\phi((F_2, A))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (11) $f_\phi((F_1, A) \oplus (F_2, A)) \leq f_\phi((F_1, A)) \oplus f_\phi((F_2, A))$ with equality if f is injective.

Theorem 21. [10] Let (X, A, \mathcal{U}) and (Y, B, \mathcal{V}) be soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniform spaces. Let $f_\phi : (X, A, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (Y, B, \mathcal{V})$ be a uniformly continuous soft map. Then:

- (1) $f_\phi : (X, A, \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^r) \rightarrow (Y, B, \xi_{\mathcal{V}}^r)$ is a topogenous continuous soft map.
- (2) $f_\phi : (X, A, \xi_{\mathcal{U}}^l) \rightarrow (Y, B, \xi_{\mathcal{V}}^l)$ is a topogenous continuous soft map.

Theorem 22. Let (X, A, ξ_X) and (Y, B, ξ_Y) be soft L -fuzzy topogenous ordered sets. Then a mapping $f_\phi : (X, \xi_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, \xi_Y, B)$ is a topogenous continuous soft map iff the mapping $f_\phi : (X, A, \mathcal{U}_{\xi_X}) \rightarrow (Y, B, \mathcal{U}_{\xi_Y})$ is an uniformly continuous soft map.

Proof. Since $f_\phi : (X, \xi_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, \xi_Y, B)$ is a topogenous continuous soft map, then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((U_{F,G}, B))(a)(x, y) &= U_{F,G}(\phi(a))(f(x), f(y)) \\ &= F(\phi(a))(f(x)) \rightarrow G(\phi(a))(f(y)) = f_\phi^{-1}(F)(a)(x) \rightarrow f_\phi^{-1}(G)(a)(y) \\ &= (U_{f_\phi^{-1}(F), f_\phi^{-1}(G)}, A)(a)(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{U}_{\xi_X}((f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((V, B))) \\
 & \geq \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \xi_X(f_\phi^{-1}((F_i, B)), f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))) \mid \\
 & \quad \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{f_\phi^{-1}(F_i), f_\phi^{-1}(G_i)}, A) \leq (f \times f)_\phi^{-1}((V, B)) \} \\
 & \geq \bigvee \{ \odot_{i=1}^n \xi_Y((F_i, B), (G_i, B)) \mid \odot_{i=1}^n (U_{F_i, G_i}, B) \leq (V, B) \} \\
 & = \mathcal{U}_{\xi_Y}((V, B)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $f : (X, \mathcal{U}_{\xi_X}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_{\xi_Y})$ is a uniformly continuous soft map. Since $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi_X}}^r = \xi_X$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi_Y}}^r = \xi_Y$, by Theorems 15(4) and 21, the result holds.

Example 23. Let $U = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, \dots, 6\}\}$ with h_i =house and $E = \{e, b, w, c, i\}$ with e =expensive, b = beautiful, w =wooden, c = creative, i =in the green surroundings.

Define a binary operation \odot on $[0, 1]$ by

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}$$

$$x \oplus y = \min\{1, x + y\}, \quad x^* = 1 - x$$

Then $([0, 1], \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice (ref.[4.5]). Let $A = \{b, c, i\} \subset E$ and $X = \{h_1, h_4, h_5, h_6\}$. Put (H, A) be a fuzzy soft set as follow:

(H, A)	h_1	h_4	h_5	h_6
b	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.6
c	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.6
i	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5

$(H, A) \odot (H, A)$	h_1	h_4	h_5	h_6
b	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
c	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
i	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0

(H^*, A)	h_1	h_4	h_5	h_6
b	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.4
c	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.4
i	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5

$(H^*, A) \oplus (H^*, A)$	h_1	h_4	h_5	h_6
b	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.8
c	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
i	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0

(1) Define a soft L -fuzzy topogenous order $\xi : S(X, A) \times S(X, A) \rightarrow L$ as follows

$$\xi((F, A), (G, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (F, A) = (\bar{0}, A) \text{ or } (G, A) = (\bar{1}, A) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (F, A) \leq (H, A) \leq (G, A), \\ & (F, A) \not\leq (H, A) \odot (H, A) \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (\bar{0}, A) \neq (F, A) \leq (H, A) \odot (H, A) \\ & \leq (G, A), (H, A) \not\leq (G, A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We obtain $(U_{H,H}, A), (U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that, for $a \in A$, $U_{H,H}(a) \in L^{X \times X}$ with $U_{H,H}(a)(x, y) = H(a)(x) \rightarrow H(a)(y)$,

$$U_{H,H}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0.7 & 1 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 0.6 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H,H}(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{H,H}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.8 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Theorem 14, we obtain a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity $\mathcal{U}_\xi : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ as follows

$$\mathcal{U}_\xi((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A), \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U_{H,H}, A) \leq (U, A) \neq (1_{X \times X}, A), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}, A) \leq (U, A) \\ & (U, A) \not\leq (U_{H,H}, A), \\ 0.2, & \text{if } (U_{H,H}, A) \odot (U_{H,H}, A) \leq (U, A), \\ & (U, A) \not\leq (U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}, A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $U_{H,H}(H, A) = (H, A)$ and $(U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}, A)(H \odot H, A) = (H \odot H, A)$ from Lemma 12 (11), by Theorems 13 and 14(4), we have $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_\xi}^r = \xi$, that is, $\mathcal{U}_\xi \in \Pi^r(\xi)$.

(2) By Remark 6 and (1), we obtain a soft L -fuzzy cotopogenous order $\xi^s : S(X, A) \times S(X, A) \rightarrow L$ as follows

$$\xi^s((F, A), (G, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (F, A) = (\bar{0}, A) \text{ or } (G, A) = (\bar{1}, A) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (F, A) \leq (H, A)^* \leq (G, A), \\ & (G, A) \not\leq (H, A)^* \oplus (H, A)^* \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (F, A) \leq (H, A)^* \oplus (H, A)^* \\ & \leq (G, A) \neq (\bar{1}, A), (F, A) \not\leq (H, A)^*, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We obtain $(U_{H,H}, A), (U_{H \odot H, H \odot H}, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that, for $a \in A$, $U_{H,H}(a) \in L^{X \times X}$ with $U_{H,H}(a)(x, y) = H(a)(x) \rightarrow H(a)(y)$,

$$U_{H^*, H^*}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.7 & 0.6 & 1 & 0.6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H^*, H^*}(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{H^*, H^*}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.8 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Theorem 15, we obtain a soft L -fuzzy pre-uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{\xi^s} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ as follows

$$\mathcal{U}_{\xi^s}((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A), \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U_{H^*, H^*}, A) \leq (U, A) \neq (1_{X \times X}, A), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}, A) \leq (U, A) \\ & (U, A) \not\leq (U_{H^*, H^*}, A), \\ 0.2, & \text{if } (U_{H^*, H^*}, A) \odot (U_{H^*, H^*}, A) \leq (U, A), \\ & (U, A) \not\leq (U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}, A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $U_{H^*, H^*}(H^*, A) = (H^*, A)$ and $(U_{H^* \oplus H^*, H^* \oplus H^*}, A)(H^* \oplus H^*, A) = (H^* \oplus H^*, A)$ from Lemma 12 (11), by Theorems 13 and 15(5), we have $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi^s}}^T = \xi^s$,

that is, $\mathcal{U}_{\xi^s} \in \Pi^r(\xi)$. Moreover, by Theorems 13 and 14(4), we have $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_{\xi}}^l = \xi^s$, that is, $\mathcal{U}_{\xi} \in \Pi^l(\xi^s)$.

(3) Let $B = \{e, b\} \subset E$ and $Y = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ be given. Put $V, V \odot V \in S(Y \times Y, B)$ as

$V(e)$	h_1	h_2	h_3	$V(b)$	h_1	h_2	h_3
	h_1	1	0.6	0.5	h_1	1	0.5
	h_2	0.1	1	0.5	h_2	0.7	1
	h_3	0.4	0.6	1	h_3	0.6	0.6
							1

$(V \odot V)(e)$	h_1	h_2	h_3	$(V \odot V)(b)$	h_1	h_2	h_3
	h_1	1	0.2	0	h_1	1	0
	h_2	0	1	0	h_2	0.4	1
	h_3	0	0.2	1	h_3	0.2	0.2
							1

We define $\mathcal{U}_Y : S(Y \times Y, B) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}_Y((U, A)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, A) = (1_{Y \times Y}, B) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq (V, B), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U, A) \geq (V \odot V, B), (U, A) \not\geq (V, B), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $(V, B) \circ (V, B) = (V, B)$ and $(V \odot V, B) \circ (V \odot V, B) = (V \odot V, B)$, \mathcal{U}_Y is a soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniformity on Y .

From Theorem 13, we obtain a soft L -fuzzy topogenous order $\xi_{\mathcal{U}_Y}^r : S(Y, B) \times S(Y, B) \rightarrow L$ as follows

$$\xi_{\mathcal{U}_Y}((F, B), (G, B)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } [(1_{Y \times Y}, B)](F, B) \leq (G, B), \\ 0.6, & \text{if } [(V, B)](F, B) \leq (G, B), \\ & [(V \odot V, B)](F, B) \not\leq [(V, B)](F, B), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } [(V \odot V, B)](F, B) \leq (G, B) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 14, we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}_Y}^r} : S(Y \times Y, B) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}_Y}^r}((U, B)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (U, B) = (1_{Y \times Y}, B) \\ 0.6, & \text{if } (U, B) \geq (U_{V_F, V_F}, B), \\ 0.3, & \text{if } (U, B) \geq (U_{(V \odot V)_F, (V \odot V)_F}, B), (U, B) \not\geq (V, B), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $[(V, B)](F, B) = (V_F, B)$ and $[(V \odot V, B)](F, B) = ((V \odot V)_F, B)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee_{x \in X} (V(b)(x, y) \odot F(b)(x)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{x \in X} (V(b)(x, z) \odot F(b)(x)) \\ & \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((V(b)(x, y) \odot F(b)(x)) \rightarrow (V(b)(x, z) \odot F(b)(x))) \\ & \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (V(b)(x, y) \rightarrow V(b)(x, z)) \geq V(b)(y, z), \end{aligned}$$

$U_{V_F, V_F} \geq V$ and $U_{(V \odot V)_F, (V \odot V)_F} \geq V \odot V$. Hence $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}_Y}} \leq \mathcal{U}_Y$.

References

- [1] K.V. Babitha, J.J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, *Compu. Math. Appl.*, **60** (2010), 1840-1849, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2010.07.014.
- [2] N. Çağman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (1) (2011), 351-358. **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.05.016.
- [3] F. Feng, X. Liu, V.L. Fotea, Y.B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets, *Information Sciences*, **181** (2011), 1125-1137, **doi:** 10.1016/j.ins.2010.11.004.
- [4] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998), **doi:** 10.1007/978-94-011-5300-3.
- [5] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999, **doi:** 10.1007/978-1-4615-5079-2.
- [6] A.K. Katsaras, On fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **101**, 1984, 97-113. **doi:** 10.1016/0022-247x(84)90060-x.
- [7] Y.C. Kim, Soft L -fuzzy preuniformities induced by soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders, (accepted to) *Int. J. Pure and Applied Math.*
- [8] J.M. Ko, Y.C. Kim, Soft L -topologies and soft L -neighborhood systems, (accepted to) *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*
- [9] J.M. Ko, Y.C. Kim, Soft L -uniformities and soft L -neighborhood systems, (accepted to) *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*
- [10] J.M. Ko, Y.C. Kim, Soft L -fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft L -fuzzy topogenous orders, (submit to) *Int. J. of Pure and Applied Math.*
- [11] R. Lowen, Fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 370-385, **doi:** 10.1016/0022-247x(81)90202-x.
- [12] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **37** (1999), 19-31.
- [13] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, **11** (1982), 341-356.
- [14] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, **32** (1984), 607-615.
- [15] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61** (2011), 1786-1799, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.02.006.
- [16] B. Tanay and M. B. Kandemir, Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61** (10) (2011), 2952-2957, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.03.056.
- [17] Hu Zhao and Sheng-Gang Li, L -fuzzifying soft topological spaces and L -fuzzifying soft interior operators, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **5** (3) (2013), 493-503.
- [18] Í. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **3** (2) (2012), 171-185.

