

## ON A PROBLEM OF MINIMAL NON-FC-GROUPS

Yıldız Aydın

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Art and Science  
Ondokuz Mayıs University  
Samsun, Turkey

---

**Abstract:** In this paper Problem 17.13 by A.O. Asar in The Kourovka Notebook is studied which is 'Let  $G$  be a totally imprimitive  $p$  – group of finitary permutations on an infinite set. Suppose that the support of any cycle in the cyclic decomposition of every element of  $G$  is a block for  $G$ . Does  $G$  necessarily contain a *minimal non – FC – subgroup?*' and an example of a group  $G$  satisfying these conditions but not having a *minimal non – FC – subgroup* is given.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 20B35, 20F24, 20F05

**Key Words:** minimal non-FC-group, finitary symmetric group

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an infinite set and  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$  be subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ ,  $\Omega_i \cap (\bigcup_{i \neq j} \Omega_j) = \emptyset$  and  $|\Omega_i| = 3$  for all  $i$ . Consider the group  $G$  which is generated by 3 – cycles of  $\Omega_i$ 's. Clearly  $G$  is a finitary permutation 3 – group. Let us denote the 3 – cycle of  $\Omega_i$  by  $\sigma_i$ . Namely if  $\Omega_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \alpha_{i2}, \alpha_{i3}\}$  then  $\sigma_i = (\alpha_{i1}\alpha_{i2}\alpha_{i3})$  or  $\sigma_i = (\alpha_{i1}\alpha_{i3}\alpha_{i2})$ , it is not important which one you decide to be  $\sigma_i$ . For any pair of permutations  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are product of finitely many distinct 3 – cycles. So  $G \leq FSym(\Omega)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Some definitions need to be given for this study. Firstly a *support* of a permutation  $x$  is defined as  $supp(x) = \{\alpha \in \Omega | \alpha^x \neq \alpha\}$ . A group  $G$  is said to be *finitary permutation group* if every element of  $G$  has finite support. A subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Omega$  is called a *block* for a group  $G$  if  $\Delta^x = \Delta$  or  $\Delta^x \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus  $\Omega$  and  $\{\alpha\}$ , for every  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , are blocks for  $G$ . These blocks are called *proper blocks*. If  $G$  has no blocks except proper blocks  $G$  is called *primitive*, otherwise  $G$  is called *imprimitive group*. For an imprimitive group  $G$ , if there exists an infinite strictly ascending sequence of finite blocks,  $G$  is said to be *totally imprimitive group*. [2] A group  $G$  is called an *FC – group* if every element of  $G$  has finitely many conjugates in  $G$  and a group  $G$  is called *minimal non – FC – group* if  $G$  is not an *FC – group* but which each proper subgroup is an *FC – group*. [3].

## 3. On Solution

**Theorem 1.** *Support of any cycle in the cyclic decomposition of every element of  $G$  which is constructed above, is a block for  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let any pair of permutations  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  are written in cyclic decomposition as follows:  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  and  $\tau = \tau_1 \dots \tau_m$ . Of course  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are 3–cycles for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . To show that  $supp(\sigma_i)$  is a block for  $G$  we need to analyze that in two possible cases: Case 1:  $supp(\sigma_i) \cap supp(\tau_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . In this case since any element of  $supp(\sigma_i)$  does not appear in any cycle of  $\tau$ ,  $(supp(\sigma_i))^\tau = supp(\sigma_i)$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Case 2: At least one cycle contains same elements both in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  (at least one cycle in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  has same support). It is enough to prove it for one cycle. Let  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_j$  have same support in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Hence every element of  $supp(\sigma_i)$  is moved by  $\tau$ , especially by  $\tau_j$ . But  $supp(\sigma_i)$  is fixed setwise by  $\tau$ . So  $(supp(\sigma_i))^\tau = supp(\sigma_i)$ . Finally support of any cycle in the cyclic decomposition of every element of  $G$ , is a block for  $G$ .  $\square$

Also we now see that for all cases, support of any cycle in the cyclic decomposition of every element of  $G$ , is fixed setwise.

**Theorem 2.** *Any union of finitely many  $\Omega_i$  is block for  $G$ .*

*Proof.* We concluded that every permutation in  $G$  fixes the sets  $\Omega_i$ , for all  $i$ . Since  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $(\Omega_i \cup \Omega_j)^\sigma = \Omega_i^\sigma \cup \Omega_j^\sigma = \Omega_i \cup \Omega_j$ , for all  $\sigma \in G$ .

So  $\Omega_i \cup \Omega_j$  is a block for  $G$ . □

**Corollary 3.** *By adding different  $\Omega_i$  to previous term we obtain an infinite strictly ascending sequence of finite blocks  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \subset \dots$  of  $G$ . So  $G$  is a totally imprimitive group.*

*Proof.* One can easily see that it is a conclusion of Proposition 3.2 . □

**Theorem 4.** *The group  $G$ , which is defined above is an FC – group.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  ,  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  and  $\tau = \tau_1 \dots \tau_m$  in cyclic decomposition. We will prove it in similar way with proof of Proposition 3.1. Case 1:  $supp(\sigma_i) \cap supp(\tau_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . In this case  $\sigma^\tau = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ . Case 2: At least one cycle contains same elements both in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  (at least one cycle in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  has same support). We will prove it for one cycle. Let  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_j$  have same support in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . For simplicity we can write  $\sigma = \sigma_i \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  and  $\tau = \tau_1 \dots \tau_m \tau_j$ . Then we have  $\sigma^\tau = \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \tau_1 \dots \tau_m \tau_j \sigma_i \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n \tau_j^{-1} \tau_m^{-1} \dots \tau_1^{-1}$ . Since  $\tau_k$  and  $\sigma_t$  are distinct 3 – cycles for  $k \neq j$  and  $t \neq i$  we obtain  $\sigma^\tau = \tau_j \sigma_i \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n \tau_j^{-1} = \tau_j \sigma_i \tau_j^{-1} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$ . Now  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are 3 – cycles we have two subcases: Case 2.1:  $\sigma_i = \tau_j$ . In this case  $\sigma^\tau = \tau_j \sigma_i \tau_j^{-1} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n = \sigma$ , Case 2.2:  $\sigma_i^{-1} = \tau_j$ . In this case  $\sigma^\tau = \tau_j \sigma_i \tau_j^{-1} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n = \sigma$ . Anyone can easily see that  $\sigma^\tau = \sigma$  for any pair of permutations  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  which have one than more cycle contain same elements both in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  by induction. So  $G$  is an FC – group. □

**Corollary 5.**  *$G$  does not have a minimal non-FC-Subgroup*

*Proof.* Every subgroup of an FC – group is an FC – group by [1]. Hence  $G$  does not have a minimal non – FC – subgroup. □

Someone can ask question what if  $|\Omega_i| = p$ , for some prime  $p$ . In this case  $G$  would not be a  $p$  – group. For example if  $p = 5$ , though two 5 – cycles  $\sigma = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5)$  and  $\tau = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_3 \alpha_5)$  are belong to  $G$ ,  $\sigma \tau = (\alpha_1 \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 \alpha_5)$  is not a 5 – element so  $G$  is not a 5 – group.

### References

- [1] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).
- [2] J.D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1996).
- [3] M.J. Tomkinson, *FC-Groups*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston-London-Melbourne (1984).

