

## A VARIANT OF RECONSTRUCTIBILITY OF COLORED GRAPHS

Martin Dowd

1613 Wintergreen Pl.

Costa Mesa, CA 92626, USA

---

**Abstract:** A variant of reconstructibility of colored graphs is defined, and some facts proved. Some computational facts from an earlier paper are revised.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C60

**Key Words:** colored graph reconstructibility

---

### 1. Introduction

Colored graph reconstructibility has been considered since the early 1970's (see [1]). More recent references include [7],[6],[3]. Using terminology from [3], define a C-graph to be a graph, with colors assigned to the vertices and edges. C-graphs are called colored graphs in the literature. An isomorphism  $\phi$  of C-graphs must preserve colors (i.e., for a vertex  $v$   $\phi(v)$  must have the same color as  $v$  and similarly for edges). A C-graph is defined to be reconstructible if it is determined by its deck. That is, if  $G$  and  $H$  have the same deck, in that the members of the two decks can be paired as isomorphic pairs, then  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic.

Given C-graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , define  $\phi$  to be a  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphism if  $\phi(v_1)$  and  $\phi(v_2)$  have the same color whenever  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  do; and similarly for edges. A C-graph is defined to be  $\bar{C}$ -reconstructible if, whenever  $G$  and  $H$  have  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic decks, in that the members of the two decks can be paired as  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic pairs, then  $G$  and  $H$  are  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic.

Sections 6 and 7 of [3] contain many errors due to confusion of C-reconstructibility with  $\bar{C}$ -reconstructibility, and will be completely revised here.

All graphs will be assumed to have at least three vertices. For a graph  $G$   $V(G)$  denotes the vertices,  $E(G)$  the edges, and for  $v \in V(G)$   $G_v$  denotes the point-deleted subgraph.

## 2. Basic Facts

Define a  $\bar{C}$ -graph  $G$  to be a graph, together with partitions of its set of vertices and set of edges. An isomorphism between  $\bar{C}$ -graphs must preserve the partitions. If  $v$  is a vertex,  $G_v$  is the point deleted subgraph, together with the induced partitions, where two vertices or edges belong to the same part in  $G_v$  iff they do in  $G$ . To a C-graph  $G$  there corresponds a  $\bar{C}$ -graph  $\bar{G}$ , where a part is the vertices or edges of a given color.

**Theorem 1.** *Two C-graphs  $G, H$  are  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic iff  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Indeed, a bijection  $\phi$  from the vertex set  $V(G)$  to  $V(H)$  is a  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  iff it is an isomorphism from  $\bar{G}$  to  $\bar{H}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *A C-graph  $G$  is  $\bar{C}$ -reconstructible iff the corresponding  $\bar{C}$ -graph  $\bar{G}$  is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\bar{G}$  is reconstructible and  $G, H$  have  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic decks. By theorem 1  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  have isomorphic decks, whence by hypothesis  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  are isomorphic, whence by theorem 1  $G, H$  are  $\bar{C}$ -isomorphic. Suppose  $G$  is  $\bar{C}$ -reconstructible and  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  have isomorphic decks. A similar argument shows that  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

As in [3] define a V-graph to be a graph, with colors assigned to the vertices (alternatively a C-graph with constant edge color); and an E-graph to be a graph with edge colors. Similarly a  $\bar{V}$ -graph (resp.  $\bar{E}$ -graph) is a graph with a vertex (resp. edge) partition.

The notion of  $\bar{E}$ -reconstructibility is of little interest. Indeed, all three edge partitionings of  $K_3$  have the same deck. There are 25 edge partitionings of  $K_4$ , having 11 decks. Hereafter, only  $\bar{V}$ -graphs will be considered.

**Theorem 3.** *The multiset of part sizes of a  $\bar{V}$ -graph is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* Letting  $G$  denote the graph and  $n_v$  the number of vertices, the part size multiset of  $G$  is  $1^{n_v}$  iff the part size multiset of each  $G_v$  is  $1^{n_v-1}$ . Otherwise, the number of parts is the maximum such among the  $G_v$ . Let  $S$  be the lexicographically greatest part size multiset among the  $G_v$ ; the part size multiset of  $G$  is readily obtained from  $S$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *For a vertex  $v$  in a  $\bar{V}$ -graph  $G$ , the size of the part containing  $v$  is known from  $G_v$ .*

*Proof.* This value is the largest size of a part of  $G$ , whose multiplicity is 1 less in  $G_v$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *A regular  $\bar{V}$ -graph  $G$  is reconstructible*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be such that the part size of  $v$  is minimal.  $G$  may be reconstructed from  $G_v$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *A  $\bar{V}$ -graph  $G$  is reconstructible iff its complement  $G^c$  is.*

*Proof.* This follows because  $(G^c)_v = (G_v)^c$ .  $\square$

A basic fact about V-graphs is that a disconnected V-graph is reconstructible. Essentially the same argument (see theorem 3 of [3]) shows that for a  $\bar{V}$ -graph  $G$ , the components together with their vertex partitions are reconstructible. However, it does not follow (at least readily) that  $G$  is reconstructible.

If  $G$  is a V-graph,  $G$  may be represented by a bipartite graph  $G_r$  which has a vertex class  $V$  for the vertices of  $G$  and a vertex class  $C$  for the colors. The edges of  $G_r$  are those of  $G$ , and an edge  $\{v, c\}$  if  $v$  has color  $c$ . It is readily seen that given two V-graphs  $G, H$  with the same colors,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$  iff  $G_r$  and  $H_r$  are isomorphic by an isomorphism fixing  $V$  setwise and  $C$  pointwise; and  $\bar{G}$  is isomorphic to  $\bar{H}$  iff  $G_r$  and  $H_r$  are isomorphic by an isomorphism fixing  $V$  and  $C$  setwise. This observation will be used in the computations below.

### 3. Computations for V-Graphs

This section revises section 6 of [3].

**Theorem 7.** *For  $3 \leq |V(G)| \leq 9$ ,  $\bar{G}$  is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* For  $|V(G)| = 3$  the 14 cases of  $\bar{G}$  may be enumerated, and the decks seen to be distinct.

For  $|V(G)| \geq 4$  the claim may be verified by a computer program. By results of [4] the underlying graph  $G$  is reconstructible. By theorem 6 only  $G$  where  $|E(G)| \leq n(n-1)/4$  need be considered. By theorem 3, letting  $P$  denote the multiset of vertex partition part sizes, the  $\bar{V}$ -graphs for each  $G$  and  $P$  may be considered separately. Representing them as noted above, the  $\bar{V}$ -graphs may be canonicalized up to setwise fixing of the partition parts using the Nauty [5] library. Reconstructibility may be verified by canonicalizing the decks, and verifying that distinct canonicalized  $\bar{V}$ -graphs have distinct canonicalized decks.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *For  $3 \leq |V(G)| \leq 9$ ,  $G$  is  $V$ -reconstructible.*

*Proof.* By theorem 7, the  $V$ -graphs with a given  $\bar{V}$ -graph may be considered separately. In a vertex coloring, two parts may not have their colors exchanged if (A) they have different sizes, or (B) they have different degree sequences.

For  $|V(G)| = 3$ , for 6 of 14  $\bar{V}$ -graphs there is a single isomorphism class of vertex colorings, for 6 of them there are two classes which may be distinguished by criterion (A), and for 2 of them there are three classes which may be distinguished by criterion (B).

For  $|V(G)| \geq 4$  the claim may be verified by a computer program. The  $\bar{V}$ -graphs may be canonicalized “on the fly”, one graph at a time. By standard results on  $V$ -reconstructibility (see [3]), only  $G$  need be considered, which are connected, have at most half the possible edges present, and are not regular. For each  $\bar{V}$ -graph, the  $V$ -graphs may be generated and canonicalized. The parts may be grouped, where in a group the size and degree sequence is the same. Each group is assigned a distinct set of colors, and colors assigned to the nodes of a part in all possible ways. Algorithm 2.14 of [2] is useful in this step. A check is made that the decks are distinct.  $\square$

#### 4. Computations for E-Graphs

This section revises Section 7 of [3]. The claims will be stated as theorems; they have already appeared in [7]. More detailed proofs will be given here. Recall from [3] that a graph  $G$  is said to be  $E$ -reconstructible if every edge coloring of  $G$  is reconstructible. Recall also that the multiset of colored edges is reconstructible, whence the multiset incident to the vertex  $v$  is known for  $G_v$ . From hereon let  $G$  denote an edge coloring of  $K_n$ .

**Theorem 9.**  $K_3$  is  $E$ -reconstructible.

*Proof.*  $G$  is reconstructible from any  $G_v$  by adding the other two edges.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.**  $K_4$  is  $E$ -reconstructible.

*Proof.* The proof may be divided into cases.

Case T1, there is a monochromatic triangle. The remaining edges may be added arbitrarily.

Case S1, there is a monochromatic star. The remaining edges may be added arbitrarily.

Case T3, there is a 3 colored triangle. Let 123 be the colors and xyz the colors of the other 3 edges, the complementary star. The other 3 stars are colored 12x, 13y, and 23z. If these are distinct sets then  $G$  is readily reconstructed. Otherwise, w.l.g.  $x=3$  and  $y=2$ . Whether or not  $z=1$   $G$  is readily reconstructed.

Case S3, there is a 3 colored star. This is similar to case T3, with stars and triangles interchanged.

In the remaining case, there is a 112 star and an xyz triangle, where in the other 3 triangles 12x, 12y, 11z, x and y are 1 or 2 and z is 2 or 3. Both the cases  $z=3$  and  $z=2$  are readily reconstructible.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.**  $K_5$  is  $E$ -reconstructible.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a partition of  $n_e$ , the number of edges. Assign  $n_i$  colors to part  $i$ , where  $n_i$  is the value of part  $i$ . Let  $G$  be  $K_n$  with a partition  $Q$  of the edges, with part size list  $P$ . Let  $S_P$  be the set of canonicalized such  $G$  (writing a file of these may be done first). For  $G \in S_P$  with set partition  $Q$  let  $T_Q$  be the set of edge colorings of  $K_n$  which agree with the colors assigned to  $P$ . It suffices to verify by computer that for each  $P$ , the graphs in  $\cup_Q T_Q$  have distinct decks.

As a preliminary step, the number partitions  $1^{10}$ ,  $21^8$ ,  $31^7$ , and  $2^21^6$  may be omitted, since  $G$  may be seen to be reconstructible in these cases. Indeed, there is a vertex  $v$  such that in  $G_v$  the edge colors are distinct and there is an edge incident to  $v$  whose color is not one of these.  $G$  may be reconstructed from  $G_w$  where  $w$  is a vertex other than  $v$ .  $\square$

As noted in [3] even enumerating the set partitions of a 15 element set requires a fairly extensive computation. Further discussion of  $K_6$  is omitted.

### References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and R. L. Hemminger, Graph reconstruction – a survey, *J. Graph Theory* **1** (1977), 227–268.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002>
- [2] D. Kreher and D. Stinson, *Combinatorial algorithms: generation, enumeration, and search*, CRC Press (1999).
- [3] M. Dowd, Some Results on Reconstructibility of Colored Graphs, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **95**, no. 2 (2014), 309–321.  
<http://dx.doi.org/ijpam.v95i2.14>
- [4] B. McKay, Small graphs are reconstructible. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **15** (1997), 123–126.
- [5] B. D. McKay and A. Piperno, *Practical Graph Isomorphism, II*, *J. Symbolic Computation* **60** (2013), 94–112.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2013.09.003>.
- [6] R. Taylor, Note on the reconstruction of vertex colored graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* **11** (1987), 39–42.  
DOI: 10.1002/jgt.3190110107
- [7] J. Weinstein. Reconstructing colored graphs, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **57**, No. 1, (1975), 307–314.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1975.57.307>