

REMARKS ON THE KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION  
IN A ROBERTSON-WALKER UNIVERSE

Floyd L. Williams

Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, Massachusetts 01003-4515, USA  
e-mail: williams@math.umass.edu

**Abstract:** We present a class of solutions of certain radial equations associated to Klein-Gordon equations in a closed Robertson-Walker universe.

**AMS Subj. Classification:** 34A05, 34A30, 35J05, 85A45

**Key Words:** Klein-Gordon operator, Laplace-Beltrami operator, Robertson-Walker metric, hypergeometric equation

1. Introduction

The standard model in cosmology is the homogeneous, isotropic space-time Friedmann model  $Y = X \times (\alpha, \beta)$  with a Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right] \quad (1.1)$$

for a suitable choice of coordinates  $(t, \phi, \theta, r)$  on  $Y$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light,  $a(t)$  is a scale factor defined on the open interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $k = 0, 1$ , or  $-1$  corresponding to whether the constant curvature of the spatial section  $X$  of  $Y$  is zero, positive, or negative,

respectively. Thus we may take  $X = R^3, S^3$  (the 3-sphere of unit radius), or hyperbolic 3-space, respectively. This metric describes very well the expanding universe. Early stages of the universe, for example, are described by taking  $k = 0$  and  $a(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ , [2].

Given a pseudo-Riemannian metric on any manifold, there is a corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\square$ . In particular for the space-time metric (1.1) on  $Y$ ,  $\square$  is given by

$$\square = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{3}{c^2} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2(t)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $X$ , given by (1.13) below for the case that will be of interest to us, namely the case  $X = S^3$ .  $\dot{a}(t)$  denotes differentiation of  $a(t)$  with respect to time  $t$ . The Klein-Gordon equation with mass  $m$  assumes the form

$$(\square - m^2)\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } Y. \quad (1.3)$$

If one separates the space and time variables as usual, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= F(t)\phi(x), & t \in (\alpha, \beta), x \in X, \\ F &\in C^\infty(\alpha, \beta), \phi \in C^\infty(X), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

one finds that, by (1.2), (1.3) is equivalent to the system

$$-\frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{F}(t) - \frac{3}{c^2} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \dot{F}(t) + \left[ \frac{\nu}{a^2(t)} - m^2 \right] F(t) = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta\phi = \nu\phi, \quad (1.6)$$

for some scalar  $\nu$ . The first derivative  $\dot{F}(t)$  of  $F(t)$  appears in (1.5). Note however that if we set

$$H(t) = a(t)^{3/2} F(t) \quad \text{on } (\alpha, \beta), \quad (1.7)$$

we obtain the following alternate version of (1.5), where the first derivative  $\dot{H}(t)$  of  $H(t)$  does not appear:

$$\ddot{H}(t) + \left[ -\frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} - \frac{\nu c^2}{a^2(t)} + m^2 c^2 \right] H(t) = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

We shall confine our attention to a closed universe, where  $k = 1$ . The discussion for an open universe with  $k = -1$  proceeds along similar lines. For  $k = 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $X = S^3$  with its usual metric induced from that of  $R^4$ ; see formula (1.13). By a further separation of variables one can express  $\phi(x)$  as

$$\phi(x) = R(r)Z(\phi, \theta), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $Z(\phi, \theta)$  is a suitable eigenfunction (a spherical harmonic), and where the radial function  $R(r)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(1 - r^2)R''(r) + \left(\frac{2}{r} - 3r\right)R'(r) - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2}R(r) = \nu R(r) \quad (1.10)$$

on  $(0,1)$  for  $\ell \geq 0$  an integer; see [1], [5]. More precisely, equation (1.9) means that if we write for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in S^3$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad x_2 = r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad x_3 = r \cos \theta \\ x_4 &= \sqrt{1 - r^2}, \quad \text{with } 0 < \phi < 2\pi, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi, \quad 0 < r < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

then

$$\phi(x) = R(r)Z(\phi, \theta) = R(r)P_\ell(\sin \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta), \quad (1.12)$$

where  $P_\ell$  is the restriction to  $S^2$  of a harmonic, homogeneous polynomial on  $R^3$  of degree  $\ell$ . This is the  $\ell$  which appears in (1.10), where the factor  $-\ell(\ell + 1)$  is an eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_2$  on  $S^2$ :  $\Delta_2 P_\ell = -\ell(\ell + 1)P_\ell$ .  $(\phi, \theta, r)$  are the coordinates used in (1.1).

In the present paper our remarks deal with a method of obtaining an infinite family of solutions  $\{R_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of the radial equation (1.10), for a corresponding sequence  $\{\nu_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of values  $\nu$ . This equation appears in the work [1], but is not solved there. We shall construct, in fact, solutions of a more general equation (see equation (2.1)) which have applications indicated in [5]. By various changes of variables we shall reduce equation (1.10) (or more generally equation (2.1)) to an equation of hypergeometric type, in a generalized sense. We then apply the theory developed in [3] to obtain the desired solutions, under an elegant integrality condition which also yields an explicit form of the

eigenvalues  $\nu$ . These solutions tensored with the spherical harmonics  $P_\ell$  in (1.12) and the  $F(t) = a(t)^{-3/2}H(t)$  (for solutions  $H(t)$  of (1.8)) provide for solutions  $\psi(x, t)$  in the form (1.4) of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.3). Explicit solutions of (1.8) however are not generally available. One usually resorts to semi-classical approximation methods. For the sake of completeness we note that for the choice of coordinates  $(\phi, \theta, r)$  in (1.11),  $\Delta$  is given by the formula

$$\Delta = (1 - r^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \left( \frac{2}{r} - 3r \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\Delta_2}{r} \quad (1.13)$$

with  $\Delta_2$  (as above) given by

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}. \quad (1.14)$$

## 2. Statement and Proof of the Main Result

Consider the following differential equation on  $(0,1)$ :

$$(1 - x^2)R''(x) + \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{x} - \alpha x \right) R'(x) - \frac{\ell(\ell + \alpha - 2)R(x)}{x^2} = \gamma R(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha > 2$ ,  $\ell \geq 0$ ;  $\ell$  need not be an integer. For  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\gamma = \nu$ , equation (2.1) reduces to equation (1.10). For  $r > 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  define

$$\Phi_n(r) = (1 + r^2)^{\frac{n+\ell+\alpha-2}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dr^n} (1 + r^2)^{-(\ell+\frac{\alpha-1}{2})}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = (n + \ell)(n + \ell + \alpha - 1). \quad (2.3)$$

Then we have the following main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that for  $\gamma$  in (2.1) and  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  in (2.3) the following integrality condition is satisfied:*

$$-\gamma = \tilde{\lambda}_n. \quad (2.4)$$

Define  $R_n$  on  $(0,1)$  by

$$R_n(x) = \frac{1}{x} \Phi_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

for  $\Phi_n$  in (2.2). Then  $R_n$  is a solution of equation (2.1).

*Proof.* For  $y(x) \stackrel{def}{=} xR(x)$  on  $(0,1)$ , equation (2.1) implies the following differential equation for  $y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x^2)y''(x) + \left[ \frac{\alpha-3}{x} + (2-\alpha)x \right] y'(x) \\ + \left( \frac{\beta-\alpha+3}{x^2} + \alpha-2-\gamma \right) y(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\beta \stackrel{def}{=} -\ell(\ell+\alpha-2)$ . Next define  $v(t)$  on  $(0, \pi/2)$  by  $v(t) \stackrel{def}{=} y(\sin t)$ . Given equation (2.6) one has that  $v(t)$  satisfies

$$v''(t) + (\alpha-3)(\cot t)v'(t) + \left( \frac{C}{\sin^2 t} + A \right) v(t) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for  $A \stackrel{def}{=} \alpha-2-\gamma$ ,  $C \stackrel{def}{=} \beta-\alpha+3$ . Finally for  $\Phi(r) \stackrel{def}{=} v(\cot^{-1} r)$ ,  $r > 0$ , equation (2.7) implies that  $\Phi(r)$  satisfies

$$\sigma^2(r)\Phi''(r) + \sigma(r)\tilde{\tau}(r)\Phi'(r) + \tilde{\sigma}(r)\Phi(r) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\sigma(r) = 1+r^2$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}(r) = (5-\alpha)r$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(r) = C(1+r^2) + A$ .

An equation of the form (2.8), where  $\sigma(r)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(r)$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}(r)$  are polynomial functions of  $r$  with degree  $\sigma(r)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(r) \leq 2$  and degree  $\tilde{\tau}(r) \leq 1$ , is said to be of *hypergeometric type*, in a generalized sense. Thus by the above changes of variables we have transformed equation (2.1) to an equation of hypergeometric type, the point being that a nice theory exists, due to Nikiforov and Uvarov [3], for solving such an equation. Details of this theory, which we now apply, are presented also in Chapter 4 of [4].

One begins by choosing a polynomial square root  $p(r)$  of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(r) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{(\tilde{\tau} - \sigma')^2}{4}(r) + k\sigma(r) - \tilde{\sigma}(r) \\ &= \left[ \frac{(\alpha-3)^2}{4} + k - C \right] r^2 + k - A - C \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for  $k \in R$ . For this, assume that the discriminant

$$\Delta(k) = -4 \left[ \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + k - C \right] \cdot (k - A - C)$$

of  $f_k(r)$  vanishes:

$$f_k(r) = \begin{bmatrix} -A - \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} & \text{if } \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + k - C = 0 \\ \left[ \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + A \right] r^2 & \text{if } k - A - C = 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Now  $A \stackrel{def}{=} \alpha - 2 - \gamma$  with  $\alpha > 2$  and with  $-\gamma \leq 0$  in particular if  $\gamma$  satisfies (2.4) (since  $n, \ell \geq 0$ ). That is,  $A > 0$  and for  $k - A - C = 0$  (i.e. for the choice of  $k = A + C$ ) we can clearly take

$$p(r) = Br \stackrel{def}{=} \left[ \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + A \right]^{1/2} r \quad (2.11)$$

with  $B > 0$ .

Next set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\pm(r) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{(\sigma' - \tilde{\tau})}{2}(r) \pm p(r) \\ &= \left[ \frac{(\alpha - 3)}{2} \pm B \right] r, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\tau(r) \stackrel{def}{=} \tilde{\tau}(r) + 2\pi^\pm(r) = 2(1 \pm B)r. \quad (2.13)$$

As we had two choices for a square root  $p$  of  $f_k$ , we could also choose either the plus or minus sign in (2.12), (2.13). We shall choose the minus sign. We set

$$\mu \stackrel{def}{=} k + (\pi^-)' = A + C + \frac{\alpha - 3}{2} - B, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &\stackrel{def}{=} -n\tau' - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\sigma'' \\ &= -n + 2nB - n^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Finally set

$$\phi(r) \stackrel{def}{=} e^{\int \pi^-(r)/\sigma(r)dr} = (1+r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\alpha-3}{2}-B)}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$h(r) \stackrel{def}{=} \int \frac{\tilde{\tau}(r)}{\sigma(r)} dr = \frac{(5-\alpha)}{2} \log(1+r^2), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\rho(r) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\phi(r)^2}{\sigma(r)} e^{h(r)} = (1+r^2)^{-B}. \quad (2.18)$$

Then  $\rho$  satisfies

$$(\rho\sigma)' = \rho\tau \quad (2.19)$$

and for

$$\begin{aligned} y_n(r) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{\rho(r)} \frac{d^n}{dr^n} \sigma^n(r) \rho(r) \\ &= (1+r^2)^B \frac{d^n}{dr^n} (1+r^2)^{n-B} \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

it is shown in [3],[4] that  $y_n(r)$  (a polynomial in  $r$  of degree  $\leq n$ ) is a solution of

$$\sigma\omega'' + \tau\omega' + \mu\omega = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

provided

$$\mu = \lambda_n. \quad (2.22)$$

Moreover for  $\Phi$  and  $\omega$  related by  $\Phi = \phi\omega$ , one has that  $\Phi$  solves (2.8) if and only if  $\omega$  solves (2.21). It follows that under the condition (2.22), which we call an integrality condition,  $\Phi_n(r) \stackrel{def}{=} \phi(r)y_n(r)$  is a solution of equation (2.8). Note that equation (2.21) is also an equation of hypergeometric type (since we may express it as  $\sigma^2\omega'' + \sigma\tau\omega' + \mu\sigma\omega = 0$ ), which we call a canonical form of (2.8). To conclude the proof we therefore need to explicitate the condition (2.22), and work backwards through the above variable changes to express  $R$  directly in terms of  $\Phi$ . This will allow us to define a solution  $R_n$  of the original equation (2.1) (under the integrality condition).

By definitions (2.14), (2.15) condition (2.22) means that

$$A + C + \frac{\alpha - 3}{2} - B = -n + 2nB - n^2, \quad (2.23)$$

where  $B^2 \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + A$  by (2.11). That is,  $A = B^2 - \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4}$  which by (2.23) leads to the quadratic equation

$$B^2 - (1 + 2n)B + \frac{(\alpha - 3)(5 - \alpha)}{4} + C + n(n + 1) = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

for  $B$  with solutions

$$B = \frac{(1 + 2n) \pm [\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 16 - 4C]^{1/2}}{2}. \quad (2.25)$$

On the other hand,  $C \stackrel{def}{=} \beta - \alpha + 3 \stackrel{def}{=} -\ell(\ell + \alpha - 2) - \alpha + 3 \implies [\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 16 - 4C]^{1/2} = ([2\ell + (\alpha - 2)]^2)^{1/2} = 2\ell + \alpha - 2$  for  $\ell \geq 0, \alpha \geq 2$ , which by (2.25) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + \alpha - 2 - \gamma \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{(\alpha - 3)^2}{4} + A \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} B^2 = \left[ \frac{(1 + 2n) \pm (2\ell + \alpha - 2)}{2} \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

For the choice of the plus sign in (2.26) we get  $-\gamma = (n + \ell)(n + \ell + \alpha - 1)$ . That is, condition (2.22) reduces exactly to condition (2.4). For the choice of the minus sign in (2.26) we get

$$-\gamma = (n - \ell)(n - \ell + 3 - \alpha) + (2 - \alpha). \quad (2.27)$$

That is, there are actually two integrality conditions: (2.4) and (2.27). For physical reasons we shall focus first on solutions of equation (2.1) that derive from condition (2.4) - i.e. from the choice of the plus sign in (2.26). We mention, by the way, that in the special case when  $\alpha = 3$ , conditions (2.4) and (2.27) coincide with the quantization condition (i), (ii) in [1], which were found there by power series methods - methods of Frobenius.

Since  $\Phi(r) \stackrel{def}{=} v(\cot^{-1} r)$  for  $r > 0$ ,  $v(t) = \Phi(\cot t)$  on  $(0, \pi/2)$ . Similarly  $v(t) \stackrel{def}{=} y(\sin t) \implies y(x) = v(\arcsin x) = \Phi(\cot \arcsin x) =$



$\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}\right)$  on  $(0,1)$ , and  $y(x) \stackrel{def}{=} xR(x) \implies R(x) = y(x)/x = \frac{1}{x}\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}\right)$ . Thus since  $\Phi_n$  solves (2.8) under condition (2.22) if we set

$$R_n(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{x}\Phi_n\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}\right) \quad \text{on } (0,1), \tag{2.28}$$

we obtain a solution  $R_n$  of equation (2.1) for  $-\gamma = (n+\ell)(n+\ell+\alpha-1)$ , which as we have seen is condition (2.22). By definitions (2.16) and (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(r) &\stackrel{def}{=} \phi(r)y_n(r) \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} (1+r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\alpha-3}{2}-B)}(1+r^2)^B \frac{d^n}{dr^n}(1+r^2)^{n-B} \\ &= (1+r^2)^{\frac{n+\ell+\alpha-2}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dr^n}(1+r^2)^{-\ell-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

(as in (2.2)) since  $B = n+\ell+\frac{\alpha-1}{2}$  by (2.26), where (recall) we have chosen the plus sign there. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is concluded. ■

For the choice of the minus sign in (2.26) (i.e. in (2.25)),  $B = \frac{3+2n-2\ell-\alpha}{2}$  and we have seen that condition (2.22) reduces to condition (2.27). Then by the second statement of equality in (2.29) we get for  $r > 0$

$$\Phi_n^-(r) \stackrel{def}{=} \Phi_n(r) = (1+r^2)^{\frac{n-\ell}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dr^n}(1+r^2)^{\ell+\frac{\alpha-3}{2}}. \tag{2.30}$$

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 2.1 we therefore obtain also the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $\gamma$  in (2.1) satisfies condition (2.27):  $-\gamma = (n-\ell)(n-\ell+3-\alpha) + (2-\alpha)$ . Define  $R_n^-$  on  $(0,1)$  by*

$$R_n^-(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{x}\Phi_n^-\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}\right) \tag{2.31}$$

for  $\Phi_n^-$  defined in (2.30). Then  $R_n^-$  is a solution of equation (2.1). Here again we assume  $\alpha > 2, \ell \geq 0$ .

Since  $\nu$  in (1.10) is an eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  (by (1.6)) one knows that  $-\nu$  must have the form  $-\nu = p(p+2)$  for some integer  $p \geq 0$ . This is consistent with condition (2.4), where in (2.3) we take  $\alpha = 3$ :  $-\nu = \tilde{\lambda}_n \stackrel{def}{=} (n+\ell)(n+\ell+2) \implies p = -1 \pm (n+\ell+1) \implies$  we must have  $p = n+\ell \geq \ell$  (since  $p \geq 0$ ). On the other hand condition (2.27) requires (for  $\alpha = 3$ )  $-\nu = (n-\ell)^2 - 1$ , which implies that  $p = -1 \pm |n-\ell|$ . As  $p \geq 0$ , we must have  $p = -1 + |n-\ell|$ ; i.e.  $n \neq \ell$ . For the choice of eigenvalues  $\nu = -(n+\ell)(n+\ell+2), n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , for example (the choice made in [1] for physical reasons), one obtains solutions  $R_n$  equation (1.10) given by (2.5) of Theorem 2.1, where (again for  $\alpha = 3$ )

$$\Phi_n(r) \stackrel{def}{=} (1+r^2)^{\frac{n+\ell+1}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dr^n} (1+r^2)^{-(\ell+1)} \quad (2.32)$$

by (2.2).

## References

- [1] V. B. Bezerra, E. R. Bezerra De Mello, and A. C. V. V. De Siqueira, Bosonic and fermionic partition functions on  $S^3$ , *Modern Physics Letters A*, **13**, No. 11 (1998), 899-909.
- [2] J. Narlikar and T. Padmanabhan, *Gravity, Gauge Theories and Quantum Cosmology*, D. Reidel Pub. Co. (1986).
- [3] A. Nikiforov and V. Uvarov, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, A Unified Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston (1988), Translated from Russian by R. Boas.
- [4] F. Williams, *Notes on Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction for Mathematicians*, Manuscript submitted for publication to the *Amer. Math. Society* (2000).
- [5] F. Williams, Calculation of Bosonic matter fields on an  $N$ -sphere, In: *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Geometric Aspects of Quantum Fields*, Londrina-Pr, Brazil, April 17-22, World Scientific Pub. (2000), To appear.